

Research Results

Presented In Partnership





Education

(Publications, meetings, seminars, web resources, clinics, reporting sessions.)





MISSION STATEMENT:

The mission of the *Michigan Sugarbeet Research Education Advisory Council* is to be the central trusted source of agronomic information for the sugarbeet industry.

The council will provide direction for the Michigan-Ontario sugarbeet researchers and assemble and distribute research/agronomy information.

Cooperative educational efforts will be conducted with the goal of improving productivity and profitability for all stakeholders.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY AgBioResearch	
PIONEER · BIG CHIEF MICHIGAN SUGAR	
MICHIGAN STATE	
MICHIGAN SUGARBEET REACH Research Education Advisory Council	
Sugarbeet Advancement	
UNIVERSITY SGUELPH	
USDA	

2023 Research Results Table of Contents

Rhizoctonia

Rhizoctonia Crown Rot Product Efficacy Trial	
Yoder LocationMSC	3-4
Maust LocationMSC	5-6
Stand CountsMSC	7-8
Inoculated RhizoctoniaMSC	
Blumfield West LocationMSC	9
Yoder LocationMSC	10
Evaluation of in-furrow and banded applications to	
manage RhizoctoniaMSU	11
Evaluation of banded and broadcast applications to	
manage RhizoctoniaMSU	12
Fusarium	
Screening Fusarium isolates for use in Michigan sugar beet	
variety selection	13-14
Corcospora and Altornaria	
Europeicide Application Timings (REETcast)	
Answer Plet Leastion MSC	15 16
Answei Pioi Location MSC	10-10
Fail Location	10
Correspondent Europeiaido Efficación MSC	19
Answer Plot Logation MSC	20.21
Answei Floi Location MSC	20-21
Fair Location	22-23
Fullyicide Treatment and Timing List	24-20
Answer Plet Leastion MSC	26.20
Answei Pioi Location	20-29
Pari Location Misc	30-33
Answer Plet Leastion MSC	24.26
Answei Pioi Location MSC	34-30
Corcospora Programe Optario CP+ MSC	37-39 40-41
Evaluate Sticker/Spreaders added to Europicides	40-41
Adama Cercospora Eungicide Efficacy	42
Answer Plot Location MSC	13
Parr Location MSC	40
Fail Euclion	44
Evaluating Sipcarr Fundicides for Cercospora Learspot controlMiSC	40
of sugar beet in Michigan 2023	46
Fundicide resistance screening of Cercosnora heticola	40
nonulations in Michigan MSU/USDA	47-50
Impact of cover crops and nH on Cercospore beticole growth	<i>⊣7=00</i>
and survival for Management of Cercospora leaf spot of	
sugar beet 2022-23	51-52
Development and validation of a spore-based Cercospore	01 02
beticola risk model for improved application timing and	
management of Cercospora	53-54
Fundicide resistance screening for Alternaria spn. causing	00-04
Alternaria leaf spot of sugarbeet 2022-23 MSU/USDA	55-57



RESEARCH SPECIALISTS:

MICHIGAN SUGAR COMPANY

Corey Guza PhD, Director of Agronomy and Research 989.415.3419 corey.guza@michigansugar.com

Zachary Young Research Scientist 989.439.5245 zachary.young@michigansugar.com

Brian Groulx Research Manager 989.225.6709 brian.groulx@michigansugar.com



CORPORATE AGRICULTURAL OFFICE 122 UpTown Dr. Suite 300 Bay City, MI 48708 Telephone (989) 686-0161 - Fax (989) 671-3714



2023 Research Results Table of Contents

Insect/Nematode/Root Aphid

Nematode TrialMSC	58
FMC Nematode TrialMSC	59
FMC Insecticide Trial MSC	60
Evaluating Cyst Nematode Resistance in Sugar Beet Varieties	
through Greenhouse experiments MSU	61-63
Fertilizer/Nutrition/Plant Health	
Nitrogen Application Strategies TrialMSC	64-66
N & K Fertility Trial Early HarvestMSC	67
N & K Fertility Trial Late Harvest MSC	68
Foliar CHS Plant Health MSC	69
CHS Sidedress MSC	70
Plant Health TrialMSC	71-73
Plant Health Trial - Sure Crop MSC	74
Clover vs Radish Trial (Sylvester Farms)SBA	75
Sugarbeet Yield Response to Input-Intensive Management MSU	76-79
Adjusting Nitrogen Management for Early and Conventional	
Sugarbeet Harvest MSU	80-85
Herbicide/Weed Control	
Herbicide TrialMSC	86-93
Pre-Emerge TrialMSC	94-95
Valent ExcaliaMSC	96
Sugarbeet tolerance to Ultra Blazer alone and tank-mixed	
with WarrantMSU	97
Sugarbeet tolerance with RinskorMSU	98
Naterhemp control with Rinskor in sugarbeetMSU	99
Naterhemp control with strategies using residual herbicides	
in sugarbeetMSU	100
Storage Research	
JPL Storage TrialMSC	101-102
mpacts of Cercospora leaf spot, mechanical damage, and variety on	
Postharvest beet rot susceptibility, 2022-23MSC	103-104



Yoder - Pigeon, MI - 2023

(Page 1 of 6)

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Sandy Loam	Rhi
Variety: SX-2294	% OM: 2.4 pH: 7.7 CEC: 12.9	Cer
Planted: May 22nd	P: Very High K: Very High	Pro
Harvested: October 3rd	Mn: Very High B: High	See
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 5 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Rai
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Prev Crop: Wheat	Bee
Applications ID 2520 tractor mounted n	lat aprover compressed air 20 pai 15.2 apo	Ealiar 7" hay

rc Control: Good blems: None eding Rate: 4.1 in. infall: 15.76 in. ets/100 ft: 208

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 30 psi, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Dead Beets/ 100 ft	Vigor*	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% suc	% CJP
		40.5			16-Aug	31-Aug	0.100		001		10.0	05.0
4	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	0.9	9.1	2408	8229	281	29.3	19.0	95.0
04	Quadris	14.25 fl 0Z	6 11	Banded	4 7	0.0	*0000	7000	070	00.5	40.5	011
24	Quadris	12 fl oz 9.2 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	1.7	9.3	\$2,230	7680	270	28.5	18.5	94.4
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
2	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	2.4	8.9	\$2,012	6819	280	24.4	18.5	96.0
15	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	2.4	9.3	\$1,931	6645	250	26.6	18.2	92.1
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
20	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	2.8	9.1	\$2,305	7903	271	29.2	18.7	94.1
	Excalia	2 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast								
10	Quadris Serifel	10 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	3.9	9.1	\$2,233	7630	281	27.1	19.1	94.6
11	Propulse	13.6 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	5.2	9.0	\$2,236	7777	271	28.7	18.6	94.4
	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
12	Propulse	13.6 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	5.8	9.1	\$2,035	7022	277	25.3	18.7	95.0
18	Excalia	2 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast	6.0	8.6	\$1,953	6664	266	25.0	18.3	94.3
8	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	6.3	8.9	\$1,937	6604	273	24.2	18.5	95.0
19	Excalia Quadris	2 fl oz 15.5 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast	6.3	8.8	\$1,959	6742	264	25.5	18.2	93.9
13	Quadris Topsin 4.5 FL**	10 fl oz 20 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	6.5	9.4	\$2,177	7407	279	26.7	18.9	94.8
14	Quadris Topsin 4.5 FL**	10 fl oz 20 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	8.4	9.2	\$2,219	7655	279	27.4	19.0	94.5
	Quadris Topsin 4.5 FL**	14.25 fl oz 20 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
21	Quadris Proline 480 SC	9.2 fl oz 5.7 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	8.4	9.1	\$2,066	7171	272	26.3	18.5	94.8
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
9	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	9.7	9.6	\$2,299	7899	273	28.9	18.5	94.8
	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
6	Quadris	12 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast	11.0	8.9	\$1,941	6609	263	25.1	18.4	93.4
17	Excalia Quadris	0.64 fl oz 14 25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded	11.0	8.4	\$1,769	6057	260	23.3	18.3	93.1
	Saaano											

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is best.

**Topsin is not labeled for in-furrow applications.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Yoder - Pigeon, MI - 2023

(Page 2 of 6)

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Dead Beets/ 100 ft	Vigor*	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
5	Quadris	15.5 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast	12.3	8.1	\$1,730	5912	256	23.0	17.8	93.8
22	Excalia	2 fl oz	6 lf	Banded	12.9	7.9	\$1,664	5740	249	23.3	17.3	93.9
	Quadris	14 fl oz	Bandeu	o II Banded								
23	Quadris	9.2 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	13.4	9.0	\$1,923	6614	259	25.5	18.0	93.6
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
3	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded	13.4	8.6	\$1,625	5555	254	21.9	17.6	93.8
1	Inoculated Check				14.4	7.9	\$1,503	5067	253	20.0	17.9	92.8
16	Excalia	0.64 fl oz	6 lf	Banded	18.1	8.9	\$1,600	5435	258	21.2	18.2	93.1
7	Quadris	15.5 fl oz	18 lf	Broadcast	19.0	8.0	\$1,395	4783	255	18.8	17.9	93.1
Δν	erade				8.4	8.8	\$1.965	6734	267	25.2	18.4	Q/ 1
	D 5%		10.4	0.0	φ1,905 252.5	1100 0	207	12	10.4	24		
	00 0 70	10.5	0.9	302.5	1100.0	20.5	4.3	1.0	2.4			
C\	/%				88.9	6.9	12.7	12.5	5.5	12.0	3.8	1.8

***Vigor** 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is best.

**Topsin is not labeled for in-furrow applications.

Comments: Study was designed to test products for efficacy on Rhizoctonia crown and root rot. All treatments were inoculated in this study.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Maust - Pigeon, MI - 2023

(Page 3 of 6)

4.1 in. in.

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Sandy Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low
Variety: SX-2294	% OM: 3.0 pH: 7.4 CEC: 18.7	Cerc Control: Good
Planted: May 23rd	P: Very High K: Very High	Problems: None
Harvested: September 29th	Mn: High B: High	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2x2, 120 lbs. sidedress	Rainfall: 15.66 in.
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Prev Crop: Soybean	Beets/100 ft: 218

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 30 psi, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Dead Beets/ 100 ft	Vigor*	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% C.JP
				motriou	17-Aug	31-Aug						
2	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	0.0	9.4	\$2,255	7378	252	29.2	17.4	94.1
15	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	0.0	9.4	\$2,014	6686	266	25.2	18.2	94.4
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
20	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	0.0	9.3	\$2,337	7736	258	29.9	17.8	94.3
	Excalia	2 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast								
4	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	0.2	9.4	\$2,397	7912	264	29.9	18.1	94.4
	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
13	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	0.7	9.3	\$2,460	8073	263	30.7	18.0	94.4
	Topsin 4.5 FL**	20 fl oz										
9	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	0.9	9.4	\$2,384	7905	263	30.1	18.0	94.5
	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
10	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	1.3	9.3	\$2,325	7669	269	28.5	18.3	94.7
	Serifel	4 fl oz										
24	Minuet	12 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	1.3	9.4	\$2,321	7713	267	28.9	18.2	94.6
	Quadris	9.2 fl oz	0.15	IN-Full								
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
14	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	1.7	9.4	\$2,337	7779	265	29.3	18.1	94.7
	Topsin 4.5 FL**	20 fl oz										
	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded								
	Topsin 4.5 FL**	20 fl oz			1.0		*• • • • •			07.0	10.0	
25	Non-Inoculated Ch				1.9	9.3	\$2,289	7451	268	27.8	18.3	94.5
11	Propuise	13.6 TI OZ	At Plant	In-Furr	3.0	9.3	\$2,384	7993	267	30.0	18.2	94.5
40	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 IT	Banded		0.5	* 0.000	7040	050	00.0	47.5	047
12	Propuise	13.6 TI OZ	At Plant	IN-Furr	3.2	9.5	\$2,200	7319	256	28.6	17.5	94.7
21	Quadris	9.2 TI OZ	At Plant	In-Furr	3.2	9.4	\$2,277	7610	272	28.1	18.3	95.2
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 11 0Z	C If	Dandad								
22	Proline 460 SC	0.2 fl oz	0 II		2.0	0.5	¢0.040	6704	254	26.7	17 5	04.4
23	Proline 490 SC	5.7 fl.oz	AL Plant	Bandod	3.2	9.5	φ2,048	0794	234	20.7	17.5	54.4
8	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	At Plant		5.6	9.2	¢2 111	6045	259	26.7	17.9	94.4
10	Evolia	2 fl oz	ALFIAIL	Broadcast	5.6	9.4	ψ <u>2</u> ,111 \$2.092	6850	255	20.7	17.6	94.4
10		2 11 02	011	Broaucast	5.0	0.4	φ <u>2</u> ,003	0009	207	20.7	0.11	34.3

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is best.

**Topsin is not labeled for in-furrow applications.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Maust - Pigeon, MI - 2023

(Page 4 of 6)

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Dead Beets/ 100 ft	Vigor*	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP	
22	Excalia	2 fl 07			17-Aug	31-Aug	\$1 878	6243	2/0	25.1	17 /	037	
	Quadris	2 11 02 14 fl oz	6 lf	Banded	0.0	0.5	ψ1,070	0240	243	20.1	17.4	35.7	
17	Excalia	.64 fl oz	0.15	Developed	6.9	8.6	\$2,031	6703	251	26.6	17.4	93.9	
	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 IT	Banded									
6	Quadris	12 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast	8.0	8.6	\$1,904	6264	249	25.1	17.3	93.8	
3	Quadris	14.25 fl oz	6 lf	Banded	9.7	8.5	\$1,981	6523	250	26.1	17.3	94.1	
16	Excalia	.64 fl oz	6 lf	Banded	9.7	8.7	\$1,833	6009	257	23.4	17.7	94.1	
19	Excalia	2 fl oz	6 If Broadcast	10.3	8.9	\$1,859	6185	250	24.5	17.2	94.3		
	Quadris	15.5 fl oz		Broadcast	Broaucast	Broadcast							
5	Quadris	15.5 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast	11.0	8.8	\$1,885	6215	251	24.8	17.4	94.0	
7	Quadris	15.5 fl oz	18 lf	Broadcast	11.9	8.1	\$1,765	5823	235	24.5	16.6	93.4	
1	Inoculated Check				13.8	7.9	\$1,748	5691	239	23.7	16.6	94.0	
Avor	200				1 9	0.0	2124.2	7010 1	257.4	27.2	177	04.2	
					4.0	9.0	2124.2	1019.1	207.4	21.2	07	94.3	
LSD						0.5	341.4	1111.4	13.2	3.8	0.7	0.8	
CV 5	5%				62.6	4.3	11.4	11.2	3.7	9.8	2.9	0.6	

***Vigor** 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is best.

**Topsin is not labeled for in-furrow applications.

Comments: Study was designed to test products for efficacy on Rhizoctonia crown and root rot. All treatments except for treatment 25 were inoculated in this study.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Yoder & Maust - 2023

(Page 5 of 6)

				Yo	der	Ма	ust
No.	Treatment	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Stand B/100 ft	Dead B/100 ft	Stand B/100 ft	Dead B/100 ft
			method	27-Jun	16-Aug	22-Jun	17-Aug
1	Innoculated Check	-		159	14	187	14
2	Quadris	At Plant	In-Furr	244	2	237	0
3	Quadris	6 lf	Banded	167	13	186	10
4	Quadris	At Plant	In-Furr	233	1	250	0
	Quadris	6 lf	Banded				
5	Quadris	6 lf	Broadcast	153	12	194	11
6	Quadris	6 lf	Broadcast	177	11	201	8
7	Quadris	18 lf	Broadcast	173	19	177	12
8	Proline 480 SC	At Plant	In-Furr	248	6	229	6
9	Proline 480 SC	At Plant	In-Furr	236	10	239	1
	Quadris	6 lf	Banded				
10	Quadris	At Plant	In Eurr	222	Α	231	1
	Serifel	ALFIAIIL	III-Full	233	4	231	
11	Propulse	At Plant	In-Furr	224	5	238	3
	Quadris	6 lf	Banded				
12	Propulse	At Plant	In-Furr	247	6	235	3
13	Quadris	At Plant	In-Eurr	222	6	241	1
	Topsin 4.5 FL*		III-I UII				
14	Quadris	At Plant	In-Eurr	228	8	241	2
	Topsin 4.5 FL*		in-i un				
	Quadris	6 lf	Banded				
	Topsin 4.5 FL*	011	Danueu				
15	Quadris	At Plant	In-Furr	239	2	253	0
	Proline 480 SC	6 lf	Banded				
16	Excalia	6 lf	Banded	184	18	203	10
17	Excalia	6 If	Banded	171	11	186	7
	Quadris		Danueu				
18	Excalia	6 lf	Broadcast	158	6	182	6
19	Excalia	6 lf	Broadcast	168	6	194	10
	Quadris	011	Divaucast				

*Topsin is not labeled for in-furrow applications.



Yoder & Maust - 2023

(Page 6 of 6)

			Applic Method	You	der	Maust		
No.	Treatment	Applic Timing		Stand B/100 ft	Dead B/100 ft	Stand B/100 ft	Dead B/100 ft	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		27-Jun	16-Aug	22-Jun	17-Aug	
20	Quadris	At Plant	In-Furr	225	3	238	0	
	Excalia	6 lf	Broadcast					
21	Quadris	At Diant	In Eurr	237	8	248	3	
	Proline 480	AL FIAIL	III-Full					
	Proline 480	6 lf	Banded					
22	Excalia	6 lf	6 lf	Dandad	181	13	169	6
	Quadris		Banded					
23	Quadris	At Plant	In-Furr	244	13	239	3	
	Proline 480	6 lf	Banded					
24	Minuet		In From	241	2	244	1	
	Quadris	At Plant	In-Furr					
	Proline 480	6 lf	Banded					
25	Non-Inoculated Chec	k		N.A	N.A	N.A	2	
Ave	rage			208	8	218	5	

Average	208	8	218	5
LSD	27.8	10.5	29.4	4.2
CV 5%	9.5	88.9	9.5	62.6

Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.

*Topsin is not labeled for in-furrow applications.

Inoculated Rhizoctonia PIONEER · BIG CHIEF MICHIGAN SUGAR Blumfield West - Richville, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Clay Loam
Variety: SX-2294	% OM: 1.9 pH: 7.3 CEC: 15.4
Planted: April 27th	P: Very High K: Medium
Harvested: September 21st	Mn: High B: Medium
Plots: 6 Rows X 38 ft., 4 Reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover
Application: JD 3520 tractor mounte	d plot spraver, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" ban

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 16.58 in. Beets/100 ft: 98

pplication: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Dead Beets / 100 ft	Vigor* 0-10	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP	B/10	00 ft
					10-Aug	21-Aug	·						12-May	25-May
5	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	7.4	6.3	\$2,098	6280	224	27.9	16.0	92.9	51	94
	Quadris	10 fl oz	**6 lf	Banded										
1	Non Inoculated Cl	neck			7.4	6.7	\$2,599	7676	217	35.1	15.5	93.0	57	112
10	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	At Plant	In-Fur	11.2	6.5	\$1,862	5577	197	28.2	14.8	91.2	36	77
	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	**6 lf	Banded										
6	Howler EVO	2.5 lb	At Plant	In-Fur	11.6	6.4	\$2,095	6245	203	30.9	14.9	91.9	60	102
12	Companion Maxx	8 oz	At Plant	In-Fur	14.0	6.3	\$1,848	5489	205	26.6	15.3	91.3	36	86
11	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	**6 lf	Banded	16.0	5.9	\$2,010	6020	223	27.2	16.2	92.1	78	115
	Quadris	10 fl oz	011	Banded										
3	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	16.7	7.0	\$2,045	6069	199	30.1	14.5	92.4	74	131
4	Quadris	10 fl oz	**6 lf	Banded	17.6	5.6	\$1,621	4838	210	23.5	15.5	91.5	37	81
7	Howler EVO	2.5 lb	**6 lf	Banded	24.5	4.7	\$1,305	3933	183	21.8	13.9	91.0	44	87
8	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	At Plant	In-Fur	26.2	6.0	\$2,020	5993	208	28.9	15.5	91.2	68	110
9	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	**6lf	Banded	29.0	3.3	\$1,186	3552	184	19.0	13.9	90.9	53	90
2 Inoculated Check			31.0	3.5	\$815	2406	186	12.9	14.2	90.3	61	90		
A۱	verage				17.7	5.7	\$1,792	5340	203	26.0	15.0	91.6	54	98
LS	SD 5%				13.2	1.7	709.4	2094.6	26.8	9.6	1.6	1.6	34.1	36.2
C١	/%				58.3	24.1	31.1	30.8	10.4	29.1	8.2	1.4	49.0	29.1

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

**6 If application: 6/2

Comments: This study was designed to test products for efficacy on Rhizoctonia crown and root rot. This trial was inoculated with disease.



MICHIGAN SUGAR Yoder - Pigeon, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Sandy Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low
Variety: SX-2294	% OM: 2.4 pH: 7.7 CEC: 12.9	Cerc Control: Good
Planted: May 22nd	P: Very High K: Very High	Problems: None
Harvested: October 2nd	Mn: Very High B: High	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.
Plots: 6 Rows X 38 ft., 4 Reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Rainfall: 15.76 in.
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat	Beets/100 ft: 178
	dulate summers and sin 450 and Ealies 70 ha	

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Dead Beets / 100 ft	Vigor* 0-10	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP	B/100 ft
					21-Jul	31-Aug	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						27-Jun
14	Elatus	7.1 oz	At Plant	In-Fur	1.7	9.1	\$2,291	8268	259	31.9	17.5	95.2	207
	Quadris	14.3 fl oz	**6 lf	Banded									
13	Quadris	14.3 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	1.9	9.1	\$2,355	8499	269	31.6	17.6	96.9	208
	Elatus	7.1 oz	**6 lf	Banded									
1	Non Inoculated Ch	eck			2.6	9.3	\$2,391	8522	265	32.2	17.5	96.4	236
15	Zironar	9 fl oz	At Plant	In-Eur	5.6	9.1	\$2,482	8936	275	32.6	17.9	96.9	224
	Quadris	9.5 fl oz	Arriant	in-i ui									
3	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	6.0	9.0	\$2,229	7984	278	28.7	18.1	97.0	208
16	Zironar	9 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	6.5	8.8	\$2,256	8173	266	30.6	17.2	97.6	177
	Quadris	14.3 fl oz	2-4 lf	Banded									
6	Howler EVO	2.5 lb	At Plant	In-Fur	6.9	9.1	\$2,078	7478	262	28.3	17.7	95.4	205
5	Quadris	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	9.1	8.8	\$2,246	8108	273	29.7	17.6	97.5	183
	Quadris	10 fl oz	**6 lf	Banded									
17	Quadris	9.5 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	10.3	8.1	\$2,055	7360	287	26.7	18.9	96.3	195
8	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	At Plant	In-Fur	12.3	8.4	\$1,939	6945	229	29.8	17.1	90.9	192
10	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	At Plant	In-Fur	12.3	8.3	\$1,766	6390	252	25.3	16.4	97.3	167
	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	**6 lf	Banded									
18	Quadris	14.3 fl oz	2-4 lf	Banded	13.4	7.9	\$1,917	6912	261	26.5	16.9	97.3	145
4	Quadris	10 fl oz	**6 lf	Banded	17.5	7.4	\$1,916	6893	265	25.9	17.6	96.0	130
12	Companion Maxx	8 oz	At Plant	In-Fur	18.8	7.4	\$1,618	5807	245	23.5	17.0	94.4	175
19	VBC-0062B	10 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	19.2	8.0	\$1,865	6672	260	25.6	16.8	97.4	180
9	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	**6 lf	Banded	21.6	6.9	\$1,309	4727	220	21.1	15.2	94.8	1447
11	Howler EVO	1.25 lb	**6 If	Banded	23.1	7.5	\$1,623	5883	243	23.9	17.1	94.0	132
	Quadris	10 fl oz	011	Bunaca									
7	Howler EVO	2.5 lb	**6 lf	Banded	23.9	6.8	\$1,467	5324	248	21.1	16.9	95.4	127
2	Inoculated Check				36.9	6.1	\$1,091	3887	261	14.7	17.0	97.1	137
Average			12.8	8.2	\$1,947	7010	258	27.0	17.3	95.7	178		
L	SD 5%				12.5	1.2	514.2	1832.4	34.4	6.0	1.5	4.3	31.4
C	V%				69.0	10.6	18.7	18.5	9.4	15.6	5.9	3.2	12.5

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

**6 If application: 6/2

Comments: This study was designed to test products for efficacy on Rhizoctonia crown and root rot. This trial was inoculated with disease.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY EXTENSION

Evaluation of in-furrow and banded fungicide applications to manage Rhizoctonia root and crown rot, 2023 Chris Bloomingdale and Jaime Willbur, Michigan State University

Location: Frankenmuth (SVREC)	Treatment Timings: In-Furrow & Banded (6-8 leaf stage)
Planting Dates: May 10, 2023	Pesticides: see table
Soil Type: Loam	O.M.: 5.0 pH: 7.5
Replicates: 4	Sugar Beet Variety: BTS-1065

Summary: Significant differences in the percent stand loss were observed among tested programs (P < 0.0001). All programs had lower rates of stand loss, ranging from 0 to 13.8%, than the inoculated control (program 1), which had 26.9% loss. Stand reduction in programs 5 to 14 and 16 did not differ from the non-inoculated control (program 2). Disease index values also differed significantly among fungicide programs (P < 0.0001). Programs 6, 8-11, 13, 14, and 16 all had significantly lower disease indices than the inoculated control. Yield estimates also were significantly different among programs (P < 0.001). Fungicide programs 5, 6, 8-11, and 14-16 had significantly greater yield than the inoculated control.

Table 1. End-of-season stand loss, Rhizoctonia root rot index, and yield from the tested fungicide programs.

No.	Treatment, Rate ^a	Application Type ^b	Stand Loss (%) ^{c,d}	Disease Index (%) ^e	Yield (t/A)
1	Inoculated Control ^f	-	26.9 a	48.6 ab	2.8 d
2	Non-Inoculated Control ^f	-	0.0 e	15.2 f	9.2 bc
3	Experimental 1, 32.1 fl oz	In-Furrow	13.8 b	52.9 a	5.3 cd
4	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	In-Furrow	11.6 bc	42.3 abc	8.2 bd
5	Experimental 1, 32.1 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Experimental 1, 32.1 fl oz	Banded	0.9 e	38.8 bcd	11.7 ab
6	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	Banded	0.0 e	23.6 ef	17.5 a
7	Experimental 1, 32.1 fl oz	Banded	1.3 e	37.9 bcd	9 bd
8	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	Banded	0.4 e	24.3 ef	13.5 ab
9	Experimental 1, 32.1 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	Banded	0.6 e	28 def	14.2 ab
10	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Experimental 1, 32.1 fl oz	Banded	0.0 e	32.9 cde	11.6 ab
11	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Experimental 2, 18.9 fl oz	Banded	0.0 e	23.1 ef	16.8 a
12	Zironar, 9 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Quadris, 9.5 fl oz	In-Furrow	3.2 de	44.6 abc	5.1 cd
13	Zironar, 9 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Quadris, 14.3 fl oz	Banded	0.0 e	19.6 ef	9.3 bd
14	Experimental 3, 9 fl oz	In-Furrow			
	Quadris, 14.3 fl oz	Banded	0.0 e	18.7 f	11.3 abc
15	Quadris, 9.5 fl oz	In-Furrow	8.2 cd	50 ab	12.4 ab
16	Quadris, 14.3 fl oz	Banded	0.0 e	32.6 cde	12.4 ab

^a All rates are listed as a measure of a product per acre.

^b In-furrow treatments were applied at planting (May 10), banded applications were applied at the 6-8 leaf stage (Jun 21).

^c Stand loss percentages calculated from initial stand counts collected Jun 8 and final dead beet counts collected Aug 11.

^d Column values followed by the same letter were not significantly different based on Fisher's Protected LSD (α =0.05).

^e Disease index was calculated by multiplying the Rhizoctonia root rot incidence (0-100%) by the mean symptomatic root severity (1-7) and dividing by 7.

^fNon-treated control.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY EXTENSION

Michigan State University



Evaluation of banded and broadcast fungicide applications to manage Rhizoctonia root and crown rot of sugar beet in Michigan, 2023

Chris Bloomingdale and Jaime Willbur, Michigan State University

Location: Frankenmuth (SVREC)	Treatment Timings: Banded & Broadcast (6-8 leaf stage)
Planting Dates: May 10, 2023	Pesticides: see table
Soil Type: Loam	O.M.: 5.0 pH: 7.5
Replicates: 4	Variety: BTS-1065

Summary: Significant differences in the percent stand loss were observed among treatments (P < 0.0001). All treatments, including the non-inoculated control, had significantly lower stand loss than the inoculated control. Percent stand loss ranged between 0 and 11% in programs 2-8, while the inoculated control had a stand loss of 40.3%. There were no significant differences among root disease index (P > 0.05) or yield (P > 0.05) in the programs. All programs had numerically lower DX than the inoculated control (57.0%). The relatively high DX for the non-inoculated control (44.8%) suggests that there was natural pressure that influenced these results. The lowest yield was observed in the inoculated control, with a value of 1.9 t/A. All treated programs and the non-inoculated control resulted in greater values of 12.2-16.1 t/A. All fungicide programs resulted in significantly greater sugar content (P < 0.01) and RWST than the control (P < 0.01).

Table 1. End-of-season stand loss	Rhizoctonia root rot index	, and yield from the teste	ed fungicide programs.
-----------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------

No.	Treatment, Rate ^a	Application	Stand Loss	Disease	Yield (t/A)	Sugar (%)	RWST ^f
		Type ^b	(%) ^{c, d}	Index (%) ^e			
1	Inoculated Control ^g	-	40.3 a	57.0	1.9	11.7 c	163.3 c
2	Non-inoculated	-	1.7 b	44.8	13.7	13.8 a	198.9 ab
	Control ^g						
3	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	Banded	0.0 b	38.2	16.1	13.8 a	200.6 a
4	Elatus, 7.1 oz	Banded	0.0 b	34.5	12.2	13.5 ab	195.0 ab
5	Excalia, 0.47 fl oz	Banded	0.4 b	41.1	12.4	13.2 ab	186.6 b
6	Quadris, 13.9 fl oz	Broadcast	1.8 b	46.4	13.4	13.1 ab	186.0 b
7	Elatus, 7.1 oz	Broadcast	11.0 b	45.7	12.7	12.9 b	185.6 b
8	Excalia, 2.0 fl oz	Broadcast	0.3 b	44.9	13.7	13.3 ab	189.9 ab

^a All rates are listed as a measure of a product per acre.

^b Banded and broadcast applications were applied at the 6-8 leaf stage (Jun 21).

^c Stand loss percentages calculated from initial stand counts collected Jun 8 and final dead beet counts collected Aug 11.

^d Column values followed by the same letter were not significantly different based on Fisher's Protected LSD (α =0.05).

^e Disease index was calculated by multiplying the Rhizoctonia root rot incidence (0-100%) by the mean symptomatic root severity (1-7) and dividing by 7.

^f Pounds of recoverable white sugar per ton of beets.

^g Non-treated control.

Screening Fusarium isolates for use in Michigan sugar beet variety selection

K. D. Pollok¹ and L. E. Hanson²

¹Dept. of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University ² Sugarbeet and Bean Research Unit, USDA

Introduction

While there are cultural practices to manage Fusarium yellows of sugar beet, the best strategy to minimize losses is through selection of resistant sugar beet varieties. At present, sugar beet varieties used in MI are screened for Fusarium yellows response in Minnesota fields using *Fusarium* spp. isolates native to MN. There are no isolates from the Great Lakes Region included in this screening. This presents a dilemma, as it has been widely observed that *F. oxysporum* is a species complex with distinct pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains in varied production regions (Harveson and Rush 1997, Hill et. al. 2011). As such, a sugar beet variety that is resistant to *Fusarium* spp. strains in one region may not be resistant to strains in another. To optimize resistance screening for MI sugar beet fields, there is an interest in identifying pathogenic *Fusarium* spp. isolates native to MI for use in a MI *Fusarium* nursery. These could be used for breeders in greenhouse screenings to identify germplasm with regional or broad-spectrum resistance. Stains also would be useful in screening other management options.

Materials and Methods

Michigan isolates were screened against a moderately susceptible and moderately resistant USDA sugar beet germplasm, C869 and F1042 respectively. Both had shown varied response to isolates from several different production regions in greenhouse tests (unpublished data). The green house was maintained at 25- 30° C with a 16-hour photoperiod. Sugar beets were inoculated at the six to eight leaf growth stage via root dip in 4x10⁴ hyphal fragments and macroconidia/ml (Hanson and Hill 2004). There were three plants per pot and three pots per treatment. After inoculation, plants were watered as needed for optimal plant growth for two weeks to allow for recovery from transplant stress, after which plants were watered biweekly to simulate optimal disease conditions. Foliar disease ratings were taken on a weekly basis for six weeks, using the USDA foliar rating scale of 0-5 (Hanson et. al. 2009) where 0 is no symptoms and 5 is plant death. On week six after taking the final set of foliar ratings, sugar beet roots were dug up, washed with tap water, and cross sectioned. From this, root disease ratings were assigned. The root rot disease scale was a range of 0 – no symptoms, to 5 – the majority of the root was necrotic or functionally dead.

To determine pathogenicity, the foliar ratings and the root rot ratings were compared to control plants (water alone), with a statistically significant difference indicating pathogenicity, and a lack of statistical difference indicating avirulence. The approximate virulence level of each pathogenic isolate was determined by comparing those same disease ratings to two positive controls representing highly virulent (F19) and moderately virulent (F0B220a) isolates from the *F. oxysporum* species complex. Low virulence was assigned to isolates that were statistically different from the water control and significantly lower than the moderately virulent control. Moderate virulence and high virulence were assigned to isolates that were not statistically different from the low controls, respectively. Statistical significance was determined using Fisher's protected LSD post hoc (α =0.05) through R studio. Isolates that were classified as moderately virulent or higher were run through the greenhouse screening a second time to confirm consistency. To save resources and time, avirulent isolates were not tested again. One of the low virulence isolates (F10-58) was selected at random to run a second time, to ensure that results were consistent across low virulence isolates. Pathogenicity was confirmed by isolation from symptomatic tissue and confirming the isolates by morphological and molecular comparison to the strain used in inoculation.

Results

Of the 20 *Fusarium* isolates screened to date, 6 were avirulent, and 14 were pathogenic. Of the pathogenic isolates, 11 were classified with low virulence, and 3 were classified with moderate virulence. The low virulence isolate, F10-58 was determined to show low virulence across both experiment runs, confirming that an isolate initially found to show low virulence does not need to be run again. Thus far, no MI isolates have been identified as highly virulent. The moderately virulent isolates are promising for downstream research and industry applications. Efforts to identify additional moderately virulent and highly virulent isolates are ongoing.

	Icolata	Foliar Symptoms		Root Sy	Experimental	
	isolate	Pathogenicity	Virulence	Pathogenicity	Virulence	Replicates
	F19	Yes	MV/HV	Yes	HV	∞
Controlo	Fob220a	Yes	MV/HV	Yes	MV/HV	∞
Controis	F05-284	Yes	LV	Yes	LV	1
	Water	No		No		∞
	F09-87	No		Yes	LV	1*
	F11-67	No		No		1
	F12-12	No		No		1
	F12-36	No		No		1
	F21-8	No		No		1
	F22-35	No		No		1
	F09-16	Yes	LV	Yes	LV	1
	F10-58	Yes/Yes	LV/LV	Yes/No	LV/	2
	F11-2	Yes	LV	Yes	LV	1
Trials	F11-63	Yes	LV	No		1
111015	F12-44	Yes	LV	No		1
	F14-22	Yes	LV	Yes	LV	1
	F21-22	Yes	LV	Yes	LV	1
	F21-76	Yes	LV	Yes	LV	1
	F22-7	Yes	LV	No		1
	F23-11	Yes	LV	Yes	LV	1
	F23-7	Yes	LV	No		1
	F07-48	Yes	MV	Yes	LV	1*
	F08-207	Yes/Yes	MV/MV	Yes/No	MV/	2
	F13-10	Yes	MV	Yes	LV	1*

Table 1. Pathogenicity and virulence levels of screened MI Fusarium isolates

* Indicates isolates that have been screened once and are in the process of being screened a second time for validation or clarification of results.

^a F05-284 is a known low virulence pathogen. It was included in the first experimental run to ensure the experimental design could detect a range of virulence levels.

Literature Cited

Hanson L.E. and Hill A.L. 2004. Fusarium species causing Fusarium yellows of sugar beet. Journal of Sugar Beet Research. 41:163-178.

Hanson L.E., Hill A.L., Jacobsen B.J., and Panella L. 2009. Response of sugarbeet lines to isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. betae from the United States. Journal of Sugar Beet Research. 46:11-26.

Harveson R.M. and Rush C.M. 1997. Genetic variation among *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates from sugar beet as determined by vegetative compatibility. Plant Disease. 81:85-88.

Hill A.L., Reeves P.A., Larson R.L., Fenwick A.L., Hanson L.E. and Panella L. 2011. Genetic variability among isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* from sugar beet. Plant Pathology. 60:496-505.



Evaluating Fungicide Application Timings (BEETcast) for control of

Cercospora Leafspot - Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 1 of 5)

Trial Quality: Good Variety: C-G021, SX-2296	Soil Info: Clay Loam % OM: 2.3 pH: 7.4 CEC: 12.3	Rhizoc Level: Low Problems: None
Planted: May 15th	P: Very High K: High	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in
Harvested: October 23rd	Mn: High B: High	Rainfall: 22.37 in.
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Beets/100 ft: 192
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Corn	

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 100 psi, 25 gpa

No.	Treatment	Variety	# of Applic	CLS* Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% C IP
			Дррпс	16-Oct					000	001
8	More Aggressive	C-G021	4	2.0	\$3,341	13374	293	45.7	18.2	95.8
10	55/55/55	C-G021	3	2.2	\$3,611	14460	311	46.5	18.8	97.3
7	Standard	C-G021	4	2.5	\$3,408	13649	300	45.6	18.6	96.0
9	Less Aggressive Early	C-G021	2	2.8	\$3,229	12933	294	44.0	18.6	94.9
6	Untreated Check	C-G021	0	4.8	\$3,145	12611	290	43.4	18.3	95.2
3	More Aggressive	SX-2296	7	2.5	\$3,020	12100	316	38.3	19.1	97.3
2	35/35/35	SX-2296	6	3.3	\$3,099	12419	317	39.1	19.2	97.3
4	1st and 15th	SX-2296	7	3.5	\$2,961	11867	303	39.2	19.2	94.6
5	Less Aggressive	SX-2296	5	4.5	\$2,883	11561	300	38.6	19.1	94.3
1	Untreated Check	SX-2296	0	8.4	\$1,989	8018	260	30.8	17.1	93.0
Average					\$3,068	12299	298	41.1	18.6	95.6
LS	D 5%			0.5	169.7	678.3	12.4	2.0	0.5	1.9
CV	/ %			12.7	4.8	4.7	3.6	4.2	2.2	1.7

*Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

Comments: Study was designed to compare a CR+ variety to a conventional variety with multiple spray timings.



Evaluating Fungicide Application Timings (BEETcast) for control of

PIONEER · BIG CHIEF MICHIGAN SUGAR Cercospora Leafspot - Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 2 of 5)

			RWSA			
Program	Trt	# Sprays	021	Trt	# Sprays	2296
Untreated	6	0	12611	1	0	8018
Standard	7	4	13649	Х	Х	Х
More Aggr	8	4	13374	3	7	12100
Less Aggr	Х	X	Х	5	5	11561
Less Aggr Early	9	2	12933	Х	Х	Х
1st and 15th	Х	Х	Х	4	7	11867
35/35/35	Х	Х	Х	2	6	12419
55/55/55	10	3	14460	X	X	Х
			RWST			
Program	Trt	# Sprays	021	Trt	# Sprays	2296
Untreated	6	0	290	1	0	260
Standard	7	4	300	X	X	Х
More Aggr	8	4	293	3	7	316
Less Aggr	X	X	X	5	5	300
Less Aggr Early	9	2	294	X	X	X
1st and 15th	X	X	X	4	7	303
35/35/35	X	X	X	2	6	317
55/55/55	10	3	311	Х	Х	X
		% Lea	f Damage Octob	er 6th		
Program	Trt	# Sprays	021	Trt	# Sprays	2296
Untreated	6	0	4.8	1	0	8.4
Standard	7	4	2.5	Х	Х	Х
More Aggr	8	4	2.0	3	7	2.5
Less Aggr	Х	X	Х	5	5	4.5
Less Aggr Early	9	2	2.8	Х	X	X
1st and 15th	Х	X	Х	4	7	3.5
35/35/35	Х	Х	Х	2	6	3.3
55/55/55	10	3	2.2	Х	Х	Х



Evaluating Fungicide Application Timings (BEETcast) for Control of

Cercospora Leafspot - Parr, Brown City - 2023

Tri Va Pla Ha Plo Ro Ap	al Quality: Good riety: C-G021, SX-2296 anted: May 10th irvested: October 9th ots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps ow Spacing: 22 in. oplication: JD 3520 tracto	Soil Info % OI P: V Mn: Added N Previou	Info: LoamRhizoc Level: Low6 OM: 3.7 pH: 6.9 CEC: 13.3Problems: None9: Very High K: HighSeeding Rate: 4.1 in.In: Medium B: MediumRainfall: 20.99 in.2d N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. SidedressBeets/100 ft: 220ious Crop: Cornorayer, compressed air, 100 psi, 25 gpa						n.	
No.	Treatment	Variety	# of Applic	CLS* Rate 14-Sep	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
10	55/55/55	C-G021	3	0.0	\$3,088	12831	270	47.6	18.1	95.4
8	More Aggressive	C-G021	4	0.1	\$3,103	12982	269	48.3	18.1	95.1
7	Standard	C-G021	4	0.6	\$3,063	12796	268	47.8	18.1	94.9
9	Less Aggressive Early	C-G021	2	1.2	\$3,083	12642	271	46.6	18.2	95.5
6	Untreated Check	C-G021	0	1.3	\$3,021	12085	269	44.9	18.1	95.1
4	1st and 15th	SX-2296	7	0.0	\$2,739	11850	267	44.4	18.0	95.3
5	Less Aggressive	SX-2296	5	0.3	\$2,588	11070	261	42.2	17.6	95.3
3	More Aggressive	SX-2296	7	0.3	\$2,800	12090	273	44.4	18.3	95.4
2	35/35/35	SX-2296	7	0.5	\$2,680	11368	262	43.4	17.7	95.0
1	Untreated Check	0	2.1	\$2,531	10124	262	38.6	17.9	94.7	
Av	Average				\$2,870	11984	267	44.8	18.0	95.2
LS	D 5%		0.6	409.3	1637.4	N.S.	5.8	N.S.	N.S.	
C٧	/ %			63.3	9.8	9.4	4.5	8.9	3.2	0.8

*Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

Comments: Study was designed to compare a CR+ variety to a conventional variety with multiple spray timings.



Evaluating Fungicide Application Timings (BEETcast) for control of Pioneer · Big Chief Michligan Sugar Cercospora Leafspot - Parr, Brown City - 2023 (Page 4 of 5)

			RWSA								
Program	Trt	# Sprays	021	Trt	# Sprays	2296					
Untreated	6	0	12085	1	0	10124					
Standard	7	4	12796	Х	Х	Х					
More Aggr	8	4	12982	3	7	12090					
Less Aggr	Х	Х	Х	5	5	11070					
Less Aggr Early	9	2	12642	Х	Х	Х					
1st and 15th	Х	Х	Х	4	7	11850					
35/35/35	Х	х	Х	2	6	11368					
55/55/55	10	3	12831	Х	Х	Х					
	RWST										
Program	Trt	# Sprays	021	Trt	# Sprays	2296					
Untreated	6	0	269	1	0	262					
Standard	7	4	268	Х	Х	Х					
More Aggr	8	4	269	3	7	273					
Less Aggr	Х	Х	Х	5	5	261					
Less Aggr Early	9	2	271	Х	Х	Х					
1st and 15th	Х	Х	Х	4	7	267					
35/35/35	Х	Х	X	2	6	262					
55/55/55	10	3	270	Х	Х	X					
		% Leaf D	Damage October	18th							
Program	Trt	# Sprays	021	Trt	# Sprays	2296					
Untreated	6	0	1.3	1	0	2.1					
Standard	7	4	0.6	Х	Х	Х					
More Aggr	8	4	0.1	3	7	0.3					
Less Aggr	X	X	X	5	5	0.3					
Less Aggr Early	9	2	1.2	Х	Х	Х					
1st and 15th	Х	Х	Х	4	7	0.0					
35/35/35	Х	Х	Х	2	6	0.5					

0.0

Х

Х

Х

3

10

55/55/55



Evaluating Fungicide Application Timings (BEETcast) for control of

Cercospora Leafspot - Parr & Answer Plot

(Page 5 of 5)

Na	Drogram	Tuo of month**	A 10 10	Deta/A	Pa	arr	Ans	wer
NO.	Program	I reatment**	Арр	Rate/A	Date	DSV	Date	DSV
1	UTC - SX-2296							
2	35/35/35	EBDC*	Α	2 lb	30-Jun	42	5-Jul	53
	SX-2296	Proline + EBDC*	В	5.7 fl oz + 2 lb	18-Jul	85	12-Jul	70
		Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	С	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	28-Jul	111	28-Jul	113
		Inspire XT + EBDC*	D	7 fl oz + 2 lb	17-Aug	156	9-Aug	142
		Super Tin + EBDC*	E	8 fl oz + 2 lb	29-Aug	183	28-Aug	186
		EBDC*	F	2 lb	13-Sep	216	11-Sep	216
3	More Aggr	EBDC*	Α	2 lb	30-Jun	42	5-Jul	53
	SX-2296	Proline + EBDC*	В	5.7 fl oz + 2 lb	18-Jul	85	18-Jul	87
		Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	С	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	31-Jul	118	31-Jul	122
		Inspire XT + EBDC*	D	7 fl oz + 2 lb	10-Aug	143	11-Aug	146
		Super Tin + EBDC*	E	8 fl oz + 2 lb	28-Aug	181	28-Aug	186
		EBDC* + Badge	F	2 lb + 2 pt	8-Sep	207	11-Sep	216
		Priaxor + Badge	G	8 fl oz + 2 pt	13-Sep	216	14-Sep	222
4	1st and 15th	EBDC*	Α	2 lb	30-Jun	42	5-Jul	53
	SX-2296	Proline + EBDC*	В	5.7 fl oz + 2 lb	7-Jul	63	10-Jul	65
		Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	С	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	18-Jul	85	12-Jul	70
		Inspire XT + EBDC*	D	7 fl oz + 2 lb	1-Aug	120	31-Jul	122
		Super Tin + EBDC*	E	8 fl oz + 2 lb	17-Aug	156	14-Aug	153
		EBDC* + Badge	F	2 lb + 2 pt	1-Sep	187	1-Sep	192
		Priaxor + Badge	G	8 fl oz + 2 pt	13-Sep	216	13-Sep	222
5	Less Aggr	Proline + EBDC*	Α	5.7 fl oz + 2 lb	30-Jun	42	5-Jul	53
	SX-2296	Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	В	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	18-Jul	85	21-Jul	92
		Inspire XT + EBDC*	С	7 fl oz + 2 lb	8-Aug	138	9-Aug	142
		Super Tin + EBDC*	D	8 fl oz + 2 lb	28-Aug	181	30-Aug	190
		Priaxor + Badge	E	8 fl oz + 2 pt	13-Sep	216	13-Sep	222
6	UTC - C-G021			- -				
7	Standard	EBDC*	Α	2 lb	30-Jun	42	5-Jul	53
	C-G021	Delaro + Proline + EBDC*	В	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz + 2 lb	7-Jul	63	10-Jul	65
		Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	С	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	1-Aug	120	31-Jul	122
		Provysol + EBDC*	D	5 fl oz + 2 lb	1-Sep	187	1-Sep	192
8	More Aggr	Delaro + Proline + EBDC*	Α	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz + 2 lb	30-Jun	42	5-Jul	53
	C-G021	Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	В	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	25-Jul	101	21-Jul	92
		Provysol + EBDC*	С	5 fl oz + 2 lb	10-Aug	143	11-Aug	146
		Super Tin + EBDC*	D	8 fl oz + 2 lb	1-Sep	187	1-Sep	192
9	Less Aggr Early	Delaro + Proline + EBDC*	А	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz + 2 lb	7-Jul	63	5-Jul	53
	C-G021	Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	В	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	9-Aug	140	9-Aug	142
10	55/55/55	Delaro + Proline + EBDC*	А	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz + 2 lb	7-Jul	63	10-Jul	65
	C-G021	Super Tin + Topsin + EBDC*	В	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	31-Jul	118	28-Jul	113
		Provysol + EBDC*	С	5 fl oz + 2 lb	28-Aug	181	28-Aug	186

* EBDC = Manzate Pro-stick

**All Treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz



MIGHIGAN SUGAR Answer Plot - Bach, MI - 2023

Tri Va Pla Ha Ro Ap	ial Quality: Good iriety: BTS-197N anted: May 15th irvested: Oct 23rd ots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 ow Spacing: 22 in. oplication: JD 3520 tr	4 reps ractor mounted	Soil Info: Cla % OM: 2 P: Very I Mn: High Added N: 35 Previous Cro d plot sprayer,	ay Loam 2.3 pH: 7 High K: n B: Hig i Ibs. 2X2, op: Corn compress	7.4 CEC: High Jh , 120 lbs. S	12.3 Sidedress D psi, 25 gp	Rhizoc Level: Low Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 22.37 in. Beets/100 ft: 147			
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing	CLS*** Rate 16-Oct	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
20	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	3.6	\$2,512	10800	250	43.2	18.6	90.9
	EBDC*	2 lb								
	Delaro	11 fl oz	ВD							
	Luna Privilege	2 fl oz	66							
	Proline	1.7 fl oz								
22	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	3.8	\$2,618	11216	254	44.2	18.8	91.3
	EBDC*	2 lb								
	Luna Flex	13.6 fl oz	ВD							
10	Propuise	13.6 11 02	A C	10	*0 705	44000	0.07	40.0	40.4	04.0
10		210	AC	4.0	\$2,725	11293	267	42.3	18.4	94.3
	EDUC Supor Tip		вD							
		0 11 02 20 fl o 7	60							
Q	FBDC*	201102	A C	44	\$2 534	10458	254	413	18 3	92.2
J	EBDC*	2 lb	7.0	7.7	ΨΖ,004	10400	204	41.0	10.0	52.2
	Super Tin	8 fl oz	ВD							
3	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	4.5	\$2.854	11866	278	42.7	18.5	95.7
-	EBDC*	2 lb			<i>,</i>					
	Proline	5.7 fl oz	ВD							
6	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	4.6	\$2,360	9845	238	41.5	18.6	88.9
	EBDC*	2 lb	вD							
	Minerva	13 fl oz	66							
11	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	4.9	\$2,619	10893	255	42.7	18.7	91.7
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
0.4	Minerva Duo	16 fl oz		5.0	<u> </u>	40000	0.50	10.0	40.5	00.5
21	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	5.0	\$2,649	10939	259	42.3	18.5	92.5
	EBDC [*]	2 ID	ВD							
16		2.1b	AC	5.0	\$2.405	10222	269	29.6	10.2	04.0
10	EBDC*	2 ID 2 lb	A C	5.0	φ Ζ ,490	10555	200	30.0	10.2	94.9
	Conner*	2 nt	ВD							
12	FBDC*	2 lb	AC	50	\$2 425	10358	257	40.5	18.6	92.1
	EBDC*	2 lb		0.0	<i><i><i>v</i>_<i>i</i>, <i>i</i>_<i>v</i></i></i>					•=
	Propulse	13.6 fl oz	ВD							
23	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	51	\$2,421	10036	257	39.1	18.3	92.7
	EBDC*	2 lb		<u>.</u>	↓_, · _ ·		_0,			
	GF-4536	20.5 fl oz	вD							
4	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	5.1	\$2,993	12373	299	41.4	18.8	98.5
	EBDC*	2 lb	R D							
	Topquard	14 fl oz	ВD							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick, Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

*** Cercospora Rate (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Answer Plot - Bach, MI - 2023

(Page 2 of 6)

No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing	CLS*** Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
7	EBDC*	2 lh	A C.	16-Oct	\$2 719	11346	272	41 7	18.4	95 1
ľ l	EBDC*	2 lb		0.0	Ψ2,710	11040	2/2	41.7	10.4	00.1
	Provvsol	5 fl oz	ВD							
24	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	5.4	\$2,529	10558	256	41.3	18.5	91.9
	EBDC*	2 lb	PD							
	Chitosan 90+	.5 gal	вр							
19	EBDC*	2 lb	A-D	5.4	\$2,561	10518	267	39.1	17.8	95.6
2	EBDC*	2 lb	A C	5.4	\$2,438	10160	252	40.3	18.4	92.2
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	Inspire XT	7 fl oz	5.5							
17	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	5.5	\$2,564	10853	264	41.0	18.3	93.9
	EBDC*	2 lb								
	Priaxor	8 fl oz	ВD							
4.4		20 fl oz			¢0.040	40000	000	44.7	40.0	04.4
14	EBDC*		AC	5.5	\$2,640	10988	263	41.7	18.2	94.1
	EBDC		ВD							
13	EBDC*	2 lb	A C	5.5	\$2.967	12367	287	/3.1	18.7	96.7
15	EBDC*	2 lb	70	0.0	φ2,307	12307	207	45.1	10.7	50.7
	Delaro	11 fl oz	ВD							
	Proline	1.6 fl oz								
8	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	5.8	\$2.204	9339	225	41.6	18.0	88.1
	EBDC*	2 lb			· · ·			-		
	Priaxor	8 fl oz	ВD							
18	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	5.9	\$2,258	9563	240	39.8	17.9	90.8
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	Veltyma	10 fl oz								
5	EBDC*	2 lb	A C	6.0	\$2,489	10353	255	40.6	18.3	92.5
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	Enable	8 fl oz								
15	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	6.4	\$2,581	10700	264	40.4	17.9	95.0
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
1	Dexter Max	2.1 lb		0.0	¢0 4 40	9500	244	25.7	16.6	045
	1 Untreated Check			9.0	\$2,149	8598	241	35.7	10.0	94.5
Av				5.2	\$2,554	10656	259	41.1	18.3	93.2
	D 5%			0.7	405.6	1022.5	30.5	2.6	0.7	5.8 4.4
	///			J.Z	11.5	10.0	10.0	4.J	۷.۱	4.4

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick, Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Cercospora Rate (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

Comments: Study was designed to test products for Cercospora leafspot efficacy.



Trial Quality: Good

Soil Info: Loam

Va Pla Ha Pla Ro	Planted: May 10th Harvested: Oct 9th Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps Row Spacing: 22 in. Application: JD 3520 tractor mount		% OW: 3.7 pr: 6.9 CEC: 13.3 Problems: None P: Very High K: High Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Mn: Medium B: Medium Rainfall: 20.99 in. Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress Beets/100 ft: 215 Previous Crop: Corn 25 grad					1 in.		
Ар	oplication: JD 3520	tractor mounted	d plot sprayer,	compres	ssed air, ´	100 psi, 25 gp	а			
lo.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
10	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.3	\$3,285	11967	274	43.7	17.7	94.0
	EBDC* Super Tin Topsin	2 lb 8 fl oz 20 fl oz	ВD							
13	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.5	\$3,227	11852	277	42.7	17.9	94.3
	EBDC* Delaro Proline	2 lb 11 fl oz 1.6 fl oz	ВD		. ,					
8	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.6	\$3,267	12018	277	43.4	17.8	94.6
	EBDC* Priaxor	2 lb 8 fl oz	ВD							
12	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.7	\$3,252	12083	272	44.4	17.9	93.3
	EBDC* Propulse	2 lb 13.6 fl oz	ВD							
24	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,299	12016	282	42.6	17.9	94.9
	EBDC* Chitosan 90+	2 lb .5 gal	ВD							
18	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,079	11361	273	41.6	17.8	93.7
	EBDC* Veltyma	2 lb 10 fl oz	ВD							
14	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$2,944	10792	271	39.8	17.7	93.7
	EBDC* Lucento	2 lb 5.5 fl oz	ВD							
9	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,295	11936	273	43.8	17.8	93.8
	EBDC* Super Tin	2 lb 8 fl oz	ВD							
2	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,262	11900	274	43.5	17.8	93.9
	EBDC* Inspire XT	2 lb 7 fl oz	B D							
22	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,036	11396	273	41.8	17.9	93.6
	EBDC* Luna Flex Propulse	2 lb 13.6 fl oz 13.6 fl oz	ВD							
20	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,008	11305	269	42.1	17.6	93.5
	EBDC* Delaro Luna Privilege Proline	2 lb 11 fl oz 2 fl oz 1.7 fl oz	ВD							
17	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,057	11339	267	42.4	17.6	93.3
	EBDC* Priaxor Topsin	2 lb 8 fl oz 20 fl oz	ВD							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick, Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Cercospora Rate (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.

Topsin

Rhizoc Level: Low



Parr - Brown City, MI - 2023

(Page 4 of 6)

No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing	CLS*** Rate 14-Sep	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
16	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,183	11568	269	43.0	17.5	94.0
	EBDC*	2 lb	РП							
	Copper*	2 pt	UG							
11	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.8	\$3,227	11806	275	43.0	18.0	93.7
	EBDC*	2 lb	вD							
	Minerva Duo	16 fl oz								
4	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.9	\$3,122	11572	271	42.6	17.7	93.8
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	Topguard	14 fl oz								
3	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.9	\$3,236	11842	277	42.7	17.7	94.8
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	Proline	5.7 fl oz						10.0	17.0	
5	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	0.9	\$3,217	11726	271	43.3	17.8	93.4
	EBDC"		ВD							
15	Enable	8 fl 0Z	A C	1.0	¢2.205	44004	075	42.0	40.0	02.1
15		2 ID 2 lb	AC	1.0	\$3,295	11984	2/5	43.0	18.2	93.1
	Dexter Max	2.1 lb	ВD							
7	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	1.0	\$3,321	12165	274	44.3	17.8	93.9
	EBDC*	2 lb								
	Provysol	5 fl oz	ВD							
6	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	1.1	\$3,165	11555	264	43.9	17.6	92.8
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	Minerva	13 fl oz								
23	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	1.2	\$3,388	12293	280	43.9	17.8	94.9
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	GF-4536	20.5 fl oz								
21	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	1.3	\$3,313	12035	269	44.8	17.7	93.4
	EBDC*	2 lb	ВD							
	Luna Flex	13.6 fl oz								
19	EBDC*	2 lb	A-D	1.4	\$3,136	11332	270	42.0	17.5	94.0
1	Untreated Check			2.0	\$2,971	10510	263	40.1	17.4	93.0
Av	erage			0.9	\$3,191	11681	272	42.9	17.8	93.8
LS	D 5%			0.6	268.4	949.2	13.5	3.1	0.6	1.5
C∖	/ %			49.0	6.0	5.8	3.5	5.1	2.3	1.2

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick, Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz - Except Treatment 21, 22, 23 (B/D Timing)

***Cercospora Rate (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

Comments: Study was designed to test products for Cercospora leafspot efficacy.



BUGAR Answer Plot, Bach & Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 5 of 6)

No.	Treatment**	Application	Answer Plot	Parr
	noutmont	Timing	Date	Date
1	Untreated Check			
2	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
3	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Proline	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
4	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Topguard	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
5	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Enable	B D	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
6	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Minerva	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
7	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Proyvsol	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
8	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Priaxor	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
9	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Super Tin	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
10	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
11	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Minerva Duo	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
12	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Propulse	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
13	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
14	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Lucento	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
15	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Dexter Max	B D	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
16	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Copper*	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
17	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
18	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Veltyma	B D	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
19	EBDC*	A-D	7/5, 7/19, 8/9, 9/1	6/30, 7/18, 8/8, 8/28
20	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Luna Privilege + Proline	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick , Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz



Answer Plot, Bach & Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 6 of 6)

No	Troatmont**	Application	Answer Plot	Parr
NO.	Treatment	Timing	Date	Date
21	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Luna Flex	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
22	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Luna Flex + Propulse	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
23	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* GF-4536	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28
24	EBDC*	AC	7/5, 8/9	6/30, 8/8
	EBDC* + Chitosan90+	ВD	7/19, 9/1	7/18, 8/28

* EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick, Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz



Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

1	Daga	4	~f	0	١	
(Page		OI	Ö)	

т	rial Quality: Good	Soil Info	: Loam				Rhizoc Level: Low			
V	ariety: BTS-197N	% OI	M: 2.3	pH: 7.4 C	CEC: 12.3	3		Problem	ns: Non	е
P	lanted: May 15th	P: V	ery High	K: High				Seeding	g Rate:	4.1 in.
Н	arvested: October 23rd	Mn:	High B:	: High				Rainfall: 20.99 in.		
Р 	lots: 6 rows X 38 ft, 4 reps	Added N	1: 35 IDS.	2X2, 120	ibs. Sidea	ress		Beets/100 ft: 130		
R	ow Spacing: 22 In.	Previous	s crop:	COM and air 10	0 noi 25 c					
A	ppilcation: JD 3520 tractor mot	unted plot sprayer,	compres	sed all, 10	u psi, zo g	jpa				
			Applic	CLS****					0/	0/
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Timing	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	%	% 0.1D
			***	16 Oct					500	CJP
17	EBDC* + GE-4536	2 lb + 20.5 fl oz	В	3.0	\$2.638	11151	261	42.8	18.2	93.5
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	C		+_,					
	EBDC* + GF-4536	2 lb + 20.5 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
	EBDC* + GF-4536	2 lb + 20.5 fl oz	F							
12	EBDC*	2 lb	A	3.0	\$2,130	9410	224	42.1	18.3	87.4
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +			+_,					
	NDemand + Boron	1.6 fl oz +1 gal +	В							
		2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20	C							
		fl oz	C							
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz + 1	D							
	NDemand + Boron	gal + 1 qt								
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT +	2 lb + 7 fl oz + 1	F							
	NDemand + Boron	gal + 1 qt				11100		44.0	10.0	
3		2 ID 2 lb L 7 fl or	A	3.0	\$2,657	11400	278	41.2	18.8	95.0
	EBDC + Inspire X1	2 ID + 7 II OZ 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20	В	-						
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Provvsol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
		2 lb + 11 fl oz +								
	EBDC" + Delaro + Proline	1.6 fl oz	F							
14	EBDC*	2 lb	A	3.1	\$2,629	11493	266	43.4	18.6	93.4
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	В							
	Microthiol Disperss	1.6 fl oz + 10 lb								
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20	С							
	+ Microthiol Disperss									
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 ID + 5 II OZ	D							
	EBDC + Super III + Microthial Disperse		E							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							
5	EBDC*	2 lb	A	31	\$2 470	10631	256	41.6	18 7	91.6
Ŭ	EBDC* + Provvsol	$\frac{2}{10} + 5 \text{ fl } 07$	B	V .1	Ψ_, 770	10001	200	71.0	10.7	01.0
		2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20								
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	D]						
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E]						
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-stick

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz - Except Trt 24

***Application dates for all treatments: A-7/5, B-7/12, C-7/26, D-8/9, E-8/30, F-9/13

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.



Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 2 of 8)

			Annlia	CLS****						
No	Troatmont**	Pato/A	Timina	Rate	Not ¢/A		DWGT	τ/Λ	%	%
NO.	Treatment		***	0-9	Νοιψη	NIIOA		1/2	SUC	CJP
				16-Oct						
4	EBDC*	2 lb	A	3.1	\$2,428	10519	258	40.7	18.6	92.0
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	D							
		20 TI OZ								
		2 ID + 5.7 II 02								
		2 ID + 0 II OZ	Г							
24	Lustified + HM1028	+ 12 07	А	3.3	\$2,750	11970	288	41.5	18.8	96.8
	FBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz +								
	+ Cohere + Justified +	1.6 fl oz + 4.0 z +	в							
	HM-1028	4 07 + 12 07								
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Topsin + Cohere +	20 fl oz + 4 oz +	С							
	Justified + HM-1028	4 oz + 12 oz								
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +								
	Cohere + Justified +	4 oz + 4 oz +	D							
	HM-1028	12 oz								
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	_							
	Cohere + Justified +	4 oz + 4 oz +	E							
	HM-1028	12 OZ								
	Cohoro + Inspire XT +	2 10 + 7 11 02 +	-							
		4 02 + 4 02 +	Г							
15		12 02 2 lb	Δ	2.2	\$2,117	0477	242	30.3	18.0	01.0
13	EBDC* + Provvsol +	2 lb+ 5 fl oz +		5.5	ψz , 117	9477	242	39.3	10.0	31.0
	Microthiol Disperss	10 lb	В							
	FBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Microthiol Disperss	10 lb	С							
		2 lb + 8 fl oz +	_							
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Proline +	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz +	_							
	Microthiol Disperss	10 lb	E							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
13	EBDC*	2 lb	А	3.3	\$2,423	10497	247	42.5	18.9	89.7
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
	EPDC* + Driever + Tensin	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
		20 fl oz								
11	EBDC*	2 lb	A	3.4	\$2,654	11224	263	42.6	18.4	93.4
	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	B-F							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-stick

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz - Except Trt 24

***Application dates for all treatments: A-7/5, B-7/12, C-7/26, D-8/9, E-8/30, F-9/13

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 3 of 8)

Γ			Applia	CLS****						
No	Treatmont**	Poto/A	Applic	Rate	Not ¢/A		DWet	т/л	%	%
NO.	Treatment	Rale/A	***	0-9	Nel 🦓 A	RWSA	RWSI	1/A	SUC	CJP
			L	16-Oct						
9	EBDC* + Topguard	2 lb + 14 fl oz	В	3.4	\$2,470	10625	270	39.4	18.2	95.2
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	С							
	Topsin	20 fl oz	Ŭ							
	EBDC* + Enable	2 lb + 8 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Eminent VP	2 lb + 13 fl oz	F							
10	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	Δ	35	\$2 528	11010	265	116	18.2	9/3
	Proline	1.6 fl oz	^	5.5	ΨΖ,3ΖΟ	11010	205	41.0	10.2	34.3
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Priaxor +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	6							
	Topsin	20 fl oz	U							
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							
8	EBDC*	2 lb	А	3.5	\$2,378	10407	250	41.7	18.5	91.1
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В		. ,					
	EBDC* + Priaxor +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Topsin	20 fl oz	С							
	FBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Elint Extra	2 lb + 3.6 fl oz	F							
	EBDC* + Proline	2 lb + 57 fl oz	F							
7	EBDC*	2 lb	Δ	3.5	\$2 639	11446	279	41 1	18 7	95.3
ľ í	EBDC* + Provvsol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	B	0.0	<i>42,000</i>	11440	210		10.17	00.0
	EBDC* + Priaxor +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Topsin	20 fl oz	С							
	FBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl 07	D							
	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 nt	F							
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 2 pt 2 lb + 11 fl oz +								
	Proline	1.6 fl.oz	F							
6	FBDC*	2 lb	Δ	3.5	\$2.422	10475	259	40.5	18.7	92.1
Ŭ	EBDC* + Topquard	$2 \text{ lb} \pm 14 \text{ fl} \text{ oz}$	R	5.5	ΨΖ,ΨΖΖ	10475	200	40.5	10.7	52.1
	EBDC + Topguaru EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	Ь							
		20 fl oz	С							
	FBDC* + Enable	20 h 02 2 lb + 8 fl 07								
		2 lb + 9 fl oz								
		$2 \text{ ID} \neq 0 \text{ II} \text{ OZ}$								
2		210 + 1311 02		2.5	¢0.005	0714	242	40.1	40.4	00.0
2		2 ID	A	3.5	¢∠,∠35	9714	242	40.1	18.4	69.8
	Brolino	1.6 fl.oz	В							
		1.0 02								
		$20 \pm 0102 \pm$	С							
	EBDC" + Super Tin		E							
	EBDC [*] + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz - Except Trt 24

***Application dates for all treatments: A-7/5, B-7/12, C-7/26, D-8/9, E-8/30, F-9/13

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 4 of 8)

			Applic	CL3						
NO	Troatmont**	Rate/A	Timina	Rate	Not \$/A	RWSA	RWST	Τ/Δ	%	%
NO.	incatinent		***	0-9		NIIOA	NW01	1/5	SUC	CJP
40		0.11	A	16-Oct	\$0.700	44745	070	40.5	40 7	04.0
18			A	3.6	\$2,766	11/45	270	43.5	18.7	94.0
	EBDC [*] + Delaro +	2 ID + 1 1 II 02 +	С							
	EBDC + Super Tin +	2 ID + 8 II 02 +	D							
		20 11 02 2 lb 1 5 fl oz								
		2 ID + 5 II 0Z								
10	EBDC* Inspire X I	2 ID + 7 TI OZ	F	0.0	AO 110	10505	050		10 -	01.0
16	EBDC*	2 ID	A	3.8	\$2,419	10565	253	41.8	18.7	91.0
	EBDC [*] + Topguard +	2 lb + 14 fl oz +	В							
	Microthiol Dispress	10 lb								
	EBDC + Super Till +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
		20 fl oz + 10 lb	C							
		$2 lb \pm 8 fl oz$								
		2 ID + 0 II OZ								
	Alicrothial Dispress	2 ID + 0 II 02 + 10 lb	Е							
		$2 \text{ lb} \pm 13 \text{ fl} \text{ oz}$								
22	EBDC * Millerva	2 lb + 13 ll 02		4.0	\$2.467	11202	275	40.7	18.5	95.3
22	VCP-035 + VCP-820	7.6 fl oz + 4.5 at	C-F	4.0	ΨΖ, Τ ΟΙ	11202	215	40.7	10.5	33.5
19	EBDC* + OxiDate 5.0	2 lb + 32 fl oz	A	4.1	\$2.606	11352	270	42.1	18.6	94.0
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +			+_,					
	Proline + OxiDate 5.0	1.6 fl oz + 32 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Topsin + OxiDate 5.0	20 fl oz + 32 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +	_							
	OxiDate 5.0	32 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT +	2 lb + 7 fl oz +	E							
	OxiDate 5.0	32 fl oz	Г							
20	EBDC*	2 lb	ΑB	4.3	\$2,487	10470	260	40.0	18.2	93.5
	Proline	5.7 fl oz	C-E							
21	EBDC*	2 lb	ΑB	4.4	\$2,748	11450	271	42.5	18.3	95.4
	VCP-035	7.6 fl oz	C-E							
23	Chitosan 90+	.5 gal	B-F	6.5	\$2,298	9841	257	38.2	17.6	94.5
1	Untreated Check			8.5	\$1,898	7591	233	32.3	16.9	92.2
A١	Average				\$2,469	10653	260	41.0	18.4	93.0
LS	SD 5%			0.5	342.6	1370.5	27.3	3.3	0.8	4.2
C١	/%			9.6	9.8	9.1	7.5	5.7	3.0	3.2
22 19 20 21 23 1 4 5 5 7	EBDC* + Enable EBDC* + Super Tin + Microthiol Dispress EBDC* + Minerva EBDC* VCP-035 + VCP-820 EBDC* + OxiDate 5.0 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline + OxiDate 5.0 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin + OxiDate 5.0 EBDC* + Provysol + OxiDate 5.0 EBDC* + Inspire XT + OxiDate 5.0 EBDC* Proline EBDC* VCP-035 Chitosan 90+ Untreated Check	2 lb + 8 fl oz 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 10 lb 2 lb + 13 fl oz 2 lb 7.6 fl oz + 4.5 qt 2 lb + 32 fl oz 2 lb + 32 fl oz 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz + 32 fl oz 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 32 fl oz 2 lb + 5 fl oz + 32 fl oz 2 lb 5.7 fl oz 2 lb 7.6 fl oz .5 gal	D E F A B C-E A C D E F A B C-E A B C-E B-F	4.0 4.1 4.3 4.4 6.5 8.5 3.8 0.5 9.6	\$2,467 \$2,606 \$2,606 \$2,487 \$2,748 \$2,298 \$1,898 \$2,469 342.6 9.8	11202 11352 10470 10470 11450 9841 7591 10653 1370.5 9.1	275 270 260 271 257 233 260 27.3 7.5	40.7 42.1 40.0 42.5 38.2 32.3 41.0 3.3 5.7	18.5 18.6 18.2 18.3 17.6 16.9 18.4 0.8 3.0	

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz - Except Trt 24

***Application dates for all treatments: A-7/5, B-7/12, C-7/26, D-8/9, E-8/30, F-9/13

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.

Comments: This study was designed to compare fungicide programs in conventional or less tolerant Cercospora leafspot varieties.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 5 of 8)

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low							
Variety: BTS-197N	% OM: 3.7 pH: 6.9 CEC: 13.3	Problems: None							
Planted: May 10th	P: Very High K: High	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.							
Harvested: October 9th	Mn: Medium B: Medium	Rainfall: 20.99 in.							
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft, 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Beets/100 ft: 212							
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Corn								
Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 100 psi, 25 gpa									

No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing ***	CLS**** Rate 0-9	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
14		2 lb		28-Sep	¢2.026	11250	257	42.6	17 1	06.2
14	EBDC EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 ID 2 lb + 11 fl oz +	A	1.0	φ 2,9 30	11250	207	43.0	17.1	90.2
	+ Microthiol Disperse	1.6 fl oz + 10 lb	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin + Microthiol Disperss	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 10 lb	С							
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Microthiol Disperss	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 10 lb	E							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							
4	EBDC*	2 lb	А	1.0	\$3,163	11877	262	45.2	17.9	94.8
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
3	EDDC*	2 lb	А	1.0	\$2,894	10921	247	44.2	17.4	93.2
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	С							
	Topsin	20 fl oz								
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	F							
21	EBDC*	2 lb	AB	1.1	\$3,021	11186	254	44.0	17.4	94.7
	VCP-035	7.6 fl oz	C-F							
16	EBDC*	2 lb	A	1.1	\$2,923	11215	244	45.9	17.1	93.5
	EBDC* + Topguard + Microthiol Disperss	2 lb + 14 fl oz + 10 lb	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin + Microthiol Disperss	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 10 lb	С							
	EBDC* + Enable	2 lb + 8 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Microthiol Disperss	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 10 lb	E							
	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	F							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Application dates for all treatments: A-6/30, B-7/5, C-7/19, D-8/8, E-8/21, F-9/5

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 6 of 8)

			Applic	CLS****						
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Timing	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% C.IP
			***	28-Sep					000	001
18	EBDC*	2 lb	А	1.3	\$3,109	11601	264	44.0	17.8	95.2
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	C							
	Proline	1.6 fl oz	C							
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	П							
	Topsin	20 fl oz								
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							
17	EBDC* + GF-4536	2 lb + 20.5 fl oz	B,D,F	1.3	\$2,767	10235	243	42.1	17.1	93.4
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	C,E							
19	EBDC* + OxiDate 5.0	2 lb + 32 fl oz	A	1.4	\$2,899	11075	248	44.6	17.1	94.5
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	C							
	Proline + OxiDate 5.0	1.6 fl oz + 32 fl oz	Ŭ							
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	D							
	Topsin + Oxidate 5.0	20 fl oz + 32 fl oz								
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +	E							
	OxiDate 5.0	32 fl oz	-							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT +	2 lb + 7 fl oz +	F							
45	OxiDate 5.0	32 fl oz			AO TOT	10700	0.45	110	40.0	
15	EBDC* + Brownool +	2 ID	A	1.4	\$2,797	10786	245	44.0	16.9	94.3
	EBDC + PIOVySOI +	2 ID + 5 II 02 +	В							
	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Microthial Disperse	10 lb	С							
	EBDC* + Priaxor +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Topsin	20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Proline +	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz +								
	Microthiol Disperss	10 lb	E							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
12	EBDC*	2 lb	А	1.4	\$2,807	10716	245	43.7	17.4	93.1
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +								
	Proline + NDemand +	1.6 fl oz + 1 gal +	В							
	Boron	1 qt								
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	0							
	Topsin	20 fl oz	C							
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +								
	NDemand + Boron	1 gal + 1 qt	U							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT +	2 lb + 7 fl oz +								
	NDemand + Boron	1 gal + 1 qt	Г							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Application dates for all treatments: A-6/30, B-7/5, C-7/19, D-8/8, E-8/21, F-9/5

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.



Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 7 of 8)

			Annlia	CLS****]		
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Timina	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	%	%
			***	0-9					SUC	CJP
7	EBDC*	2 lb	Δ	28-Sep	¢0 027	10820	243	44.5	17.4	02.7
'	EBDC* + Provinced	2 lb + 5 fl oz	R	1.4	φ2,007	10020	243	44.5	17.4	92.1
	EBDC + Priavor +	2 ID + 5 II OZ	D							
	Tonsin	20 fl oz	С							
	FBDC* + Inspire XT	$2 \ln \theta = 2$ 2 lb + 7 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 nt	F							
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 2 pt 2 lb + 11 fl oz +								
	Proline	1.6 fl oz	F							
5	EBDC*	2 lb	А	1.4	\$2.833	10683	251	42.5	17.3	94.4
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В		. ,					
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
	Topsin	20 fl oz	C							
	EBDC* + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	Е							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							
2	EBDC*	2 lb	А	1.4	\$3,107	11674	258	45.2	17.6	94.7
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	B							
	Proline	1.6 fl oz								
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	С							
	Topsin	20 fl oz								
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E -							
	EBDC* + Inspire X I	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F		* 0 = 00	10171	0.40			
20	EBDC*	2 lb	AB	1.5	\$2,798	10471	242	43.3	17.2	93.0
	Proline	5.7 fl oz	C-F						17.0	
9	EBDC* + Topguard	2 lb + 14 fl oz	В	1.5	\$3,101	11515	257	44.8	17.3	95.4
	EBDC ⁺ + Super Tin +	2 ID + 8 fl 0Z +	С							
	TOPSIN									
	EBDC* + Enable	2 ID + 8 II 02								
	EBDC" + Super Tin	2 ID + 8 TI OZ	E							
0		2 ID + 13 II OZ	F	4 5	¢0.404	400.44	007	45.0	47.0	05.7
ŏ		2 ID	A	1.5	\$3,181	12041	207	45.2	17.8	95.7
	EBDC + Priovor +	2 ID + 3 II OZ	D							
		20 fl oz	С							
	FBDC* + Inspire XT	$2 \ln \theta = 7 \ln \theta z$	D							
	EBDC* + Flint Extra	2 lb + 3 6 fl oz	F							
	EBDC* + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	F							
22	EBDC*	2 lb	A B	1.6	\$3,020	12212	271	45.1	18.1	95.7
	VCP-035 + VCP-820	7.6 fl oz + 4.5 at	C-F		<i></i>					

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Application dates for all treatments: A-6/30, B-7/5, C-7/19, D-8/8, E-8/21, F-9/5

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.



Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 8 of 8)

No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing ***	CLS**** Rate 0-9 28-Sep	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
13	EBDC*	2 lb	А	1.6	\$2,827	10712	254	42.1	17.1	95.4
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	Е							
	EBDC* + Priaxor +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	Е							
	Topsin	20 fl oz	Г							
6	EBDC*	2 lb	A	1.6	\$2,969	11196	242	46.1	17.0	93.4
	EBDC* + Topguard	2 lb + 14 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	С							
	lopsin	20 fl oz								
	EBDC* + Enable	2 lb + 8 fl oz								
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	E							
	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	F							
11	EBDC*	2 lb	A	1.8	\$2,865	10671	248	43.1	17.3	93.7
	EBDC* + Copper	2 lb + 2 pt	B-F							
10	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	А	1.8	\$2,856	10897	249	43.9	17.4	93.6
					. ,					
	EBDC" + Super Tin	2 ID + 8 II OZ	В							
	Tonsin	2 10 ± 0 11 02 ±	С							
	FBDC* + Provvsol	20 H 62 2 lb + 5 fl 07	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
	EBDC* + Inspire XT	2 lb + 7 fl oz	F							
23	Chitosan 90+	.5 gal	B-F	2.5	\$3,138	11540	262	44.1	17.7	95.3
1	Untreated Check			3.1	\$2,717	9611	233	41.2	16.6	93.0
				4 5	#0.000	44000	050	44.0	47.0	04.0
				1.5	\$2,938	11083	252	44.0	17.3	94.3
	0/ D%			0.4	270.5	956.7	13.0	3.1	0.6	2.0
C\	/ %0			19.5	6.5	6.1	3.7	5.1	2.4	1.5

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Application dates for all treatments: A-6/30, B-7/5, C-7/19, D-8/8, E-8/21, F-9/5

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury, and 9 = leaves completely dead.

Comments: This study was designed to compare fungicide programs in conventional or less tolerant Cercospora leafsport Varieties.



Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 1 of 6)

Tr Va Pla Ha Ro Ap	ial Quality: Good nriety: BTS-1183 anted: May 15th arvested: Oct 23rd ots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps ow Spacing: 22 in. oplication: JD 3520 tractor mo	Soil Add Prev unted plot spraye	Info: Cla % OM: 2. P: Very H Mn: High led N: 35 vious Cro r, compres	ay Loam .3 pH: 7.4 High K: F B: High Ibs. 2X2, op: Corn ssed air, 1		Rhizoc Level: Low Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 22.37 in. Beets/100 ft: 169				
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing ***	CLS**** Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
10	EBDC*	2 lb	А	2.0	\$2,424	10074	219	45.7	18.6	86.4
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	E							
3	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	В	2.0	\$2,986	12422	272	45.7	19.2	93.0
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	F							
17	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	B-F	2.1	\$2,785	11678	259	45.4	18.8	91.9
24	EBDC* EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb 2 lb + 11 fl oz +	A B	2.3	\$3,132	13212	276	47.8	18.5	95.5
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	F							
13	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	С	2.4	\$2,508	10295	224	46.0	18.5	86.9
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	E							
9	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	В	2.4	\$2,784	11574	252	45.8	18.4	91.8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	F							
27	EBDC*	2 lb	Α	2.5	\$3,045	12584	273	46.1	18.8	94.2
	EBDC* + BAS 75211F	2 lb + 6.5 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + BAS 75211F	2 lb + 6.5 fl oz	D							
5	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	В	2.5	\$2,796	11580	252	45.9	18.7	90.8
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Enable	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
8	EBDC*	2 lb	Α	2.6	\$2,697	11258	247	45.5	18.8	90.1
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz. Except Trt 27

***Application dates for all treatments: A - 7/5, B - 7/12, C - 7/26, D - 8/11, E - 8/30, F - 9/13

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.


Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 2 of 6)

			Applic	CLS****					%	%
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Timing ***	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	SUC	CJP
25	EBDC*	2 lb	А	2.8	\$2.912	12258	261	46.9	18.7	92.5
	Serifel + Revytek	4 oz + 15 fl oz	В		<i>+_,•</i> · -					•==•
	Serifel + Super Tin + Topsin	4 oz + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	Serifel + Revytek	4 oz + 15 fl oz	F							
12	EBDC*	2 lb	Α	2.8	\$3,044	12509	267	46.8	18.4	94.1
	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	E							
26	EBDC*	2 lb	Α	2.9	\$2,841	11931	259	46.1	18.4	92.7
	EBDC* + Veltyma	2 lb + 10 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Veltyma	2 lb + 10 fl oz	F							
22	EBDC* + Growthful Foliar	2 lb + 12.8 fl	Α	2.9	\$2,513	10649	235	45.4	19.0	87.7
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline + Growthful Foliar	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz + 12.8 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin + Growthful Foliar	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 12.8 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Provysol + Growthful Foliar	2 lb + 5 fl oz + 12.8 fl oz	F							
16	EBDC*	2 lb	B-F	2.9	\$3,149	12935	281	46.1	18.7	95.7
15	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	A-F	2.9	\$2,932	12373	265	46.7	18.6	93.2
11	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	С	2.9	\$2,564	10567	232	45.6	18.9	87.5
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	E							
23	EBDC*	2 lb	А	3.0	\$2,676	11159	248	45.0	19.2	89.5
	EBDC* + Revytek	2 lb + 15 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Revytek	2 lb + 15 fl oz	F							
21	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	BDF	3.0	\$2,798	11513	248	46.3	18.8	90.1
14	EBDC*	2 lb	A-F	3.0	\$3,052	12617	271	46.5	18.8	93.9
4	EBDC*	2 lb	Α	3.0	\$3,052	12670	277	45.7	18.9	94.7
	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 10 + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Enable	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz. Except Trt 27

***Application dates for all treatments: A - 7/5, B - 7/12, C - 7/26, D - 8/11, E - 8/30, F - 9/13

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Answer Plot, Bach - 2023

(Page 3 of 6)

No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing* **	CLS**** Rate 16-Oct	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
7	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В	3.1	\$2,418	10164	228	44.4	18.4	88.5
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
19	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	ABDF	3.4	\$3,089	12784	281	45.4	19.0	95.0
20	EBDC*	2 lb	BDF	3.6	\$2,994	12180	270	45.2	18.8	93.6
6	6 EBDC* 2 lb A				\$2,820	11836	263	44.9	18.7	92.8
	EBDC* + Provysol 2 lb + 5 fl oz									
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
2	EBDC*	2 lb	А	3.6	\$2,595	10926	239	45.7	18.8	88.8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz B									
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	D								
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	F							
18	EBDC*	2 lb	ABDF	4.5	\$2,458	10102	226	44.6	18.3	87.9
1	Untreated Check			5.0	\$2,467	9867	226	43.5	18.2	88.1
Δv	Average				\$2 797	11610	254	45 7	18 7	Q1 /
				2.3	42,131	1600.0	25 1	- 	0.6	51.4
	LSD 5%			0.0	422.5	1089.8	35.1	2.2	0.0	5.4
C\	CV%				10.7	10.3	9.8	3.4	2.3	4.2

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz. Except Trt 27

****Application dates for all treatments: A - 7/5, B - 7/12, C - 7/26, D - 8/11, E - 8/30, F - 9/13

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

Comments: This study was designed to examine fungicide programs with a CR+ variety.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Parr, Brown City - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low
Variety: C-G151	% OM: 3.7 pH: 6.9 CEC: 13.3	Problems: None
Planted: May 10th	P: Very High K: High	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.
Harvested: Oct 9th	Mn: Medium B: Medium	Rainfall: 20.99 in.
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Beets/100 ft: 225
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Corn	

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 100 psi, 25 gpa

			Applic	CLS****					%	%
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Timing	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	SUC	CJP
17	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 nt	B-F	14-Sep	\$3.498	12849	269	47.8	18 1	95.1
13	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 2 pt 2 lb + 13 fl oz	C	0.0	\$3,522	12689	270	46.9	18.2	95.2
10	EBDC*+ Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	E	0.0	\$0,0 <u>2</u> 2	12000	2.0	10.0	10.2	00.2
16	EBDC*	2 lb	B-F	0.1	\$3,521	12755	267	47.9	18.1	95.0
23	EBDC*	2 lb	А	0.2	\$3,541	12926	269	48.0	18.2	94.9
	EBDC* + Revytek	2 lb + 15 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Revytek	2 lb + 15 fl oz	F							
2	EBDC*	2 lb	А	0.3	\$3,433	12627	260	48.6	17.7	94.8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	F							
24	EBDC*	2 lb	А	0.3	\$3,515	13037	262	49.8	17.9	94.6
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	F							
10	EBDC*	2 lb	А	0.3	\$3,537	12844	260	49.4	17.7	94.8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	Е							
7	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В	0.3	\$3,461	12676	264	48.0	18.0	94.7
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
14	EBDC*	2 lb	A-F	0.4	\$3,543	12868	266	48.4	18.1	94.7

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz. Except Trt 27 & 28

***Application dates for all treatments: A - 6/30, B - 7/7, C - 7/18, D - 8/1, E - 8/21, F - 9/1

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.



Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 5 of 6)

No.Treatment**Rate/ATiming** (14-Sep)Rate (14-Sep)Net \$/ARWSARWSTT/A x				Applic	CLS****					0/_	0/_
4 EBDC* 2 lb A 0.4 \$3,475 12698 264 48.1 17.9 95.0 4 EBDC* + Minerva 2 lb + 13 fl oz B 0.4 \$3,475 12698 264 48.1 17.9 95.0 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + D D 0.4 \$3,619 13223 271 48.9 18.2 95.1 3 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 2 lb + 8 fl oz + D D 0.4 \$3,619 13223 271 48.9 18.2 95.1 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 5 fl oz + D D 0.4 \$3,619 13098 267 49.0 18.1 94.9 Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz + 20 fl	No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Timing**	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	SUC	C.IP
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		555.04		*	14-Sep	AO 1TE			10.1		
EBDC* + Minerva 2 lb + 3 fl oz B 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz D 3 EBDC* + Enable 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 16 fl oz D 3 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 2 lb + 13 fl oz 0.4 \$3,619 13223 271 48.9 18.2 95.1 25 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz 4 oz + 15 fl oz B 0.4 \$3,551 13098 267 49.0 18.1 94.9 Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz B 0.4 \$3,551 13098 267 49.0 18.1 94.9 Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz D <t< td=""><td>4</td><td>EBDC*</td><td>2 lb</td><td>A</td><td>0.4</td><td>\$3,475</td><td>12698</td><td>264</td><td>48.1</td><td>17.9</td><td>95.0</td></t<>	4	EBDC*	2 lb	A	0.4	\$3,475	12698	264	48.1	17.9	95.0
EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz D 3 EBDC* + Enable 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 16 fl oz + 16 fl oz + 16 fl oz + 20 fl oz B 0.4 \$3,619 13223 271 48.9 18.2 95.1 3 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 16 fl oz + 20 fl oz - 20 fl oz D 5 5 13028 271 48.9 18.2 95.1 21b + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz F D 5 5 13098 267 49.0 18.1 94.9 25 EBDC* 2 lb + 5 fl oz F D 5 5 13098 267 49.0 18.1 94.9 Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz F D 5 5 13098 267 49.0 18.1 94.9 2 EBDC* 2 lb + 3 fl oz + 20 fl oz D D 5 5 5 13098 267 47.4 18.0 95.3 2 BDC* 2 lb + 13 fl oz + 20 fl oz F D 6 6.4 \$3,536 12896 <t< td=""><td></td><td>EBDC[*] + Minerva</td><td>2 lb + 13 fl oz +</td><td>В</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		EBDC [*] + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz +	В							
EBDC* + Enable 2 lb + 8 fl oz F Image: Constraint of the state of the stat		EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	20 fl oz	D							
3 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz B 0.4 \$3,619 13223 271 48.9 18.2 95.1 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 5 fl oz F D		EBDC* + Enable	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F	1						
EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz D EBDC* + Provysol 2 lb + 5 fl oz F 25 EBDC* 2 lb A Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz B Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz B Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz B Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz F Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz F Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz F Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz F 20 fl oz D A Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz + 20 fl oz F 21b + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz E A 20 fl oz B O.4 \$3,499 12670 267 47.4 18.0 95.3 BBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz B O.4 \$3,536 12896 268 48.1 18.0 95.3 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz D D D D	3	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	В	0.4	\$3,619	13223	271	48.9	18.2	95.1
EBDC* + Provysol 2 lb + 5 fl oz F Image: Constraint of the second se		EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	F							
Serifel + Revytek 4 oz + 15 fl oz B Serifel + Super Tin + Topsin 4 oz + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz D Serifel + Super Tin + Topsin 4 oz + 15 fl oz F 12 EBDC* 2 lb A EBDC* 2 lb + 13 fl oz C 2 lb + 8 fl oz + EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz E 9 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz B 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz B 0.4 \$3,536 12896 268 48.1 18.0 95.3 9 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz D A 53,536 12896 268 48.1 18.0 95.3 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 1.6 fl oz D A 53,536 12896 268 48.1 18.0 95.3 28 EBDC* + Cohere + Justified + HM1028 2 lb + 4 oz + 4 oz + 24 oz A 0.5 \$3,527 13237 272 48.7 18.1 95.9	25	EBDC*	2 lb	А	0.4	\$3,551	13098	267	49.0	18.1	94.9
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Serifel + Revytek	4 oz + 15 fl oz	В							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Serifel + Super Tin + Topsin	4 oz + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Serifel + Revvtek	4 oz + 15 fl oz	F							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	12	FBDC*	2 lb	A	0.4	\$3,499	12670	267	47.4	18.0	95.3
EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz E Image: Constraint of the state o		EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	C		<i></i>					
EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 20 fl oz E 6 7			2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
9 EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz B 0.4 \$3,536 12896 268 48.1 18.0 95.3 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz D D P </td <td></td> <td>EBDC" + Super Tin + Topsin</td> <td>20 fl oz</td> <td>E</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		EBDC" + Super Tin + Topsin	20 fl oz	E							
28 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin EBDC* + Cohere + Justified EBDC* + Delaro + Proline + Cohere + Justified + 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz D 0.5 \$3,527 13237 272 48.7 18.1 95.9	۹	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	В	04	\$3 536	12896	268	48.1	18.0	95.3
EBDC* + Delaro + Proline 2 lb + 1 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz D EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz F 28 EBDC* + Cohere + Justified + 4 oz + 4 oz + 24 oz A EBDC* + Delaro + Proline + Cohere + Justified + 1.6 fl oz + 4 oz + 4 oz + 24 oz A 0.5 \$3,527 13237 272 48.7 18.1 95.9	Ŭ		20 fl oz		0.4	ψ0,000	12000	200	40.1	10.0	50.0
EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz F F Image: Comparison of the text of tex of tex of text of tex of text of tex of text of tex o		EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	D							
28 EBDC* + Cohere + Justified + HM1028 2 lb + 4 oz + 4 oz + 24 oz A 0.5 \$3,527 13237 272 48.7 18.1 95.9 28 EBDC* + Delaro + Proline + Cohere + Justified + 2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz + 4 oz + B 0.5 \$3,527 13237 272 48.7 18.1 95.9		EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	F	1						
28 EBDC* + Cohere + Justified 2 lb + 4 oz + A + HM1028 4 oz + 24 oz A EBDC* + Delaro + Proline + 2 lb + 11 fl oz + Cohere + Justified + 1.6 fl oz + 4 oz +			20 fl oz	'							
EBDC* + Delaro + Proline +2 lb + 11 fl oz +Cohere + Justified +1.6 fl oz + 4 oz +	28	EBDC* + Cohere + Justified + HM1028	2 lb + 4 oz + 4 oz + 24 oz	А	0.5	\$3,527	13237	272	48.7	18.1	95.9
		EBDC* + Delaro + Proline +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	D							
HM1028 4 oz + 24 oz		HM1028	4 oz + 24 oz	Б							
EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz +		EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
+ Cohere + Justified + 20 fl oz + 4 oz + C		+ Cohere + Justified +	20 fl oz + 4 oz +	С							
HM1028 4 oz + 24 oz		HM1028	4 oz + 24 oz								
EBDC* + Provisol + Cohere 2 lb + 5 fl oz +		EBDC* + Provinced + Cohore	2 lb + 5 fl oz +								
+ Justified + HM1028 $4 \text{ oz} + 4 \text{ oz} + D$		+ Justified + HM1028	4 oz + 4 oz +	D							
24 oz			24 oz								
18 EBDC* 2 lb A B D F 0.5 \$3,504 12634 259 48.7 17.7 94.6	18	EBDC*	2 lb	ABDF	0.5	\$3,504	12634	259	48.7	17.7	94.6
22 EBDC* + Growthful Foliar 2 lb + 12.8 fl oz A 0.6 \$3,413 12598 264 47.8 17.9 94.9	22	EBDC* + Growthful Foliar	2 lb + 12.8 fl oz	A	0.6	\$3,413	12598	264	47.8	17.9	94.9
EBDC* + Delaro + Proline + 2 lb + 11 fl oz +		EBDC* + Delaro + Proline +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +								
Growthful Foliar 1.6 fl oz + B		Growthful Foliar	1.6 fl oz +	В							
12.8 fl oz			12.8 fl oz								
EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin 2 lb + 8 fl oz +		EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz +								
+ Growthful Foliar 20 fl oz + D		+ Growthful Foliar	20 fl oz +	D							
12.8 fl oz			12.8 fl oz								
EBDC* + Provysol + 2 lb + 5 fl oz + Growthful Foliar 12 8 fl oz F		EBDC* + Provysol + Growthful Foliar	2 lb + 5 fl oz +	F							

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz. Except Trt 27 & 28

***Application dates for all treatments: A - 6/30, B - 7/7, C - 7/18, D - 8/1, E - 8/21, F - 9/1

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 6 of 6)

ſ	Арр			CLS****					0/	0/
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Timing	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% С ID
			***	14-Sep					300	CJP
6	EBDC*	2 lb	A	0.6	\$3,480	12802	265	48.3	18.0	94.7
	EBDC* + Provysol	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Priaxor + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
5	EBDC* + Minerva	2 lb + 13 fl oz	В	0.6	\$3,680	13363	267	50.0	18.1	94.9
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Enable	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
19	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	ABDF	0.7	\$3,555	12955	271	47.8	18.2	95.2
21	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	BDF	0.8	\$3,648	13188	268	49.3	17.9	95.5
20	EBDC*	2 lb	BDF	0.8	\$3,477	12479	266	47.0	18.1	94.7
11	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	С	0.8	\$3,555	12846	267	48.1	18.2	94.6
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	E							
26	EBDC*	2 lb	A	0.9	\$3,676	13529	268	50.5	18.0	95.2
	EBDC* + Veltyma	2 lb + 10 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Veltyma	2 lb + 10 fl oz	F							
27	EBDC*	2 lb	A	1.0	\$3,489	12700	270	47.0	18.4	94.7
	EBDC* + BAS 75211F	2 lb + 6.5 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	С							
	EBDC* + BAS 75211F	2 lb + 6.5 fl oz	D							
1	Untreated Check			1.1	\$3,528	12479	264	47.2	18.1	94.5
15	EBDC* + Copper*	2 lb + 2 pt	A-F	1.3	\$3,490	12915	267	48.4	17.8	95.7
8	EBDC*	2 lb	A	1.5	\$3,513	12840	264	48.6	17.9	94.8
	EBDC* + Super Tin + Topsin	2 lb + 8 fl oz + 20 fl oz	В							
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	D							
	EBDC* + Super Tin	2 lb + 8 fl oz	F							
Av	Average			0.6	\$3,528	12872	266	48.3	18.0	95.0
LS	LSD 5%			1.1	N.S.	954.9	9.9	3.1	0.5	0.8
C\	CV%				5.4	5.3	2.6	4.6	2.2	0.6

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick - Copper = Badge

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz. Except Trt 27 & 28

***Application dates for all treatments: A - 6/30, B - 7/7, C - 7/18, D - 8/1, E - 8/21, F - 9/1

****Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

Comments: This study was designed to examine fungicide programs with a CR+ variety.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Cercospora Programs Ontario CR+

Parr, Brown City - 2023

Tr	ial Quality: Good	Soil	Info: Lo	am				Rhizoc L	.evel: Lo	W		
Va	riety: C-G151		% OM: 3	3.7 pH: 6	.9 CEC: 1	3.3		Problems: None				
Pla	anted: May 10th		P: Very I	High K :	High			Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.				
На	rvested: Oct 10th		Mn: Med	dium B:	Medium			Rainfall:	21.02 in			
Ple	ots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 rep	s Add	ed N: 35	blbs. 2X2,	120 lbs. Si	dedress		Beets/10	00 ft: 216			
Ro	ow Spacing: 22 in.	Prev	vious Cro	op: Corn								
Ap	plication: JD 3520 tracto	or mounted plot sp	orayer, co	mpressed	air, 100 ps	si, 25 gpa						
Π			Applic	CLS***					0/	0/		
No.	Treatment*	Rate/A	Date	Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	/0 CIP		
			**	28-Sep					000	001		
2	Penncozeb	2 lb	A	1.0	\$2,793	11633	261	44.7	17.4	95.6		
	Penncozeb + Cevya	2 lb + 5 fl oz	С									
	Penncozeb	2 lb	E									
	Penncozeb + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	Н									
	Penncozeb	2 lb	J									
4	Penncozeb + Cevya	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В	1.2	\$2,701	11297	259	43.6	17.4	95.4		
	Penncozeb + Topsin +	2 lb + 20 fl oz +	F									
	Priaxor	8 fl oz	Г									
	Penncozeb + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	I									
3	Penncozeb	2 lb	А	1.2	\$2,824	11854	268	44.2	17.9	95.6		
ľ	Penncozeb + Cevya	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В									
ľ	Penncozeb + Topsin +	2 lb + 20 fl oz +	-									
	Priaxor	8 fl oz	F									
	Penncozeb + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	I									
0	Penncozeb +	2 lb + 2 lb	В	1 2	¢0 627	10006	252	42.7	17.0	05.2		
9	ChampION	2 10 + 2 10	D	1.5	φ∠,037	10990	202	43.7	17.0	95.5		
	Penncozeb +	$2 \ln \pm 2 \ln$	D									
	ChampION	2 10 + 2 10	D									
	Penncozeb +		E									
	ChampION	2 10 + 2 10	Г									
	Penncozeb +	2 lb + 2 lb	C									
	ChampION		G									
	Penncozeb +											
	ChampION	2 10 + 2 10	1									
8	Penncozeb	2 lb	А	1.3	\$2,708	11338	262	43.3	17.5	95.6		
	Penncozeb + Cevya	2 lb + 5 fl oz	С									
	Penncozeb +		_									
	ChampION	2 ID + 2 ID	E									
	Penncozeb + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	Н									
	Penncozeb +											
	ChampION	2 ID + 2 ID	J									

*All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz.

**Application Dates for all treatments: A-6/30, B-7/7, C-7/7, D-7/18, E-7/26, F-8/1, G-8/17, H-8/17, I-9/1, J-9/5

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Cercospora Programs Ontario CR+

Parr, Brown City - 2023

(Page 2 of 2)

No.	Treatment*	Rate/A	Applic Date **	CLS*** Rate 28-Sep	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
5	Penncozeb	2 lb	А	1.3	\$2,733	11327	259	43.7	17.4	95.6
	Penncozeb + Cevya	2 lb + 5 fl oz	В							
	Penncozeb	2 lb	F							
	Penncozeb + Proline	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz	I							
7	Penncozeb + Cevya + ChampION	2 lb + 5 fl oz + 2 lb	В	1.4	\$2,634	11070	254	43.5	17.2	95.3
	Priaxor + Topsin + ChampION	8 fl oz + 20 fl oz + 2 lb	F							
	Penncozeb + Proline + ChampION	2 lb + 5.7 fl oz + 2 lb	I							
6	Penncozeb	2 lb	В	1.5	\$2,808	11565	260	44.5	17.3	95.8
	Penncozeb	2 lb	D							
	Penncozeb	2 lb	F							
	Penncozeb	2 lb	G							
	Penncozeb	2 lb	I							
1	Untreated Check			2.4	\$2,752	11010	260	42.4	17.4	95.7
Av	Average			1.4	\$2,732	11343	259	43.7	17.4	95.5
LS	LSD 5%			0.3	N.S.	N.S.	11.5	2.0	0.6	N.S.
C\	CV%			21.5	6.7	6.5	3.8	3.9	3.2	0.5

*All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz.

**Application Dates for all treatments: A-6/30, B-7/7, C-7/7, D-7/18, E-7/26, F-8/1, G-8/17, H-8/17, I-9/1, J-9/5

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead

Comments: Study was designed to test programs for managing Cercospora leafspot that can be used in Ontario.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Evaluate Sticker / Spreaders added to Fungicides for Cercospora

Parr, Brown City - 2023

Trial Quality: Good Variety: C-G932NT Planted: May 10th Harvested: October 10th Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft, 4 reps Row Spacing: 22 in. Soil Info: Loam
%OM: 3.7 pH: 6.9 CEC: 13.3
P: Very High K: High
Mn: Medium B: Medium
Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress
Prev Crop: Corn

Rhizoc Control: Low Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 21.02 in. Beets/100 ft: 224

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 100 psi, 25 gpa

No.	Sticker	Rate/A		CLS*** Rate	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	%	%
			IIming	14-Sep					500	CJP
9	WC-618	.25% v/v	A-E	0.0	\$3,047	11435	269	42.6	17.9	95.7
6	MasterLock + Reguard	6.4 fl oz + 12 fl oz	A-E	0.1	\$3,052	11638	270	43.1	17.9	95.9
13	Cohere + Justified + HM1028	4 oz + 4 oz + 12 oz	A-E	0.3	\$2,968	11328	265	42.7	17.6	96.1
4	Reguard	12 fl oz	A-E	0.3	\$3,017	11436	264	43.3	17.7	95.6
12	BountiFul + Nufilm 17	12.8 fl oz + 16 oz	A-E	0.4	\$2,971	11328	268	42.3	17.8	95.9
11	WC-250 + WC-634	.25% v/v + 16 fl oz	A-E	0.4	\$3,002	11315	264	42.8	17.5	96.1
7	WC-250	.25% v/v	A-E	0.4	\$3,087	11583	270	43.0	17.9	95.9
5	Reguard + Diligence	12 fl oz + 1.5 fl oz	A-E	0.4	\$2,885	11036	253	43.7	17.0	95.5
10	WC-701	4 fl oz	A-E	0.4	\$3,010	11402	268	42.5	17.8	96.1
3	MasterLock	6.4 fl oz	A-E	0.7	\$2,987	11248	264	42.7	17.5	95.9
2	None		A-E	0.7	\$3,138	11732	272	43.1	18.1	95.7
8	WC-450	3 fl oz	A-E	0.8	\$2,986	11215	262	42.8	17.3	96.2
1	Untreated Check		1.7	\$2,808	10005	254	39.5	17.1	95.2	
A١	/erage		0.5	\$2,997	11285	265	42.6	17.6	95.8	
LS	SD 5%		0.6	185.3	660.4	9.9	2.1	0.5	0.7	
C'	/%		80.6	4.3	4.1	2.6	3.4	2.2	0.5	

Spray Program for treatments**

- A. EBDC* (1.6 qt) + Sticker
- B. Provysol (5 fl oz) + EBDC* (1.6 qt) + Sticker
- C. Supertin (8 fl oz) + EBDC* (2 lb) + Sticker
- *EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick @ 2 lb

**Application dates for all treatments: A-7/7, B-7/14, C-8/9, D-8/29, E-9/12

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

Comments: This study was designed to test adjuvants for improvement in Cercospora leafspot control with a fungicide program.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.

- D. Priaxor (8 fl oz) + EBDC* (2 lb) + Sticker
- E. Proline (5.7 fl oz) + EBDC* (2 lb) + Sticker



Adama Cercospora Fungicide Efficacy

ER · BIG CHIEF Answer Plot - Bach, MI - 2023

Tri Va Pla Ha Ro Ap	ial Quality: Good riety: SX-2296N anted: May 15th rvested: October 2 ots: 6 rows X 38 ft. ow Spacing: 22 in. oplication: JD 3520	24th , 4 reps tractor mounted plot	Soil Info: C % OM: 2 P: Very H Mn: High Added N: 3 Previous Cr sprayer, com	lay Loam Aigh K: Tigh K: Tigh B: Hig 5 lbs. 2X2 T op: Soyl pressed a	7.4 CE High h 2, 120 lbs beans hir, 100 ps	C: 12.3 5. Sidedres si, 25 gpa	s	Rhizoc Problen Seeding Rainfall Beets/1	Level: L ns: None g Rate: 4 : 22.57 in 00 ft: 153	ow e I.1 in n. 3
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing***	CLS**** Rate 16-Oct	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
4	EBDC*	2 lb	А	5.1	\$2,615	10914	294	37.1	18.9	97.4
	D	F 7 0								

4	LBDC	2 10	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5.1	Ψ2,013	10314	234	57.1	10.5	57.4
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	B-D							
2	EBDC*	2 lb	А	5.8	\$2,644	10898	283	38.5	18.5	96.6
	Soratel 250 EC	9.6 fl oz	B-D							
3	EBDC*	2 lb	А	6.0	\$2,442	10103	277	36.5	18.1	96.9
	Soratel 250 EC	10.9 fl oz	B-D							
10	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	6.1	\$2,543	10564	288	36.8	18.7	96.7
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	ВD							
6	EBDC*	2 lb	А	6.4	\$2,459	10362	279	37.1	18.4	96.2
	Delaro + Proline	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	B-D							
9	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	6.5	\$2,429	10031	274	36.6	18.4	95.3
	Soratel 250 EC	10.9 fl oz	ВD							
13	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	6.6	\$2,577	10557	292	36.2	18.9	96.9
	Maxentis	15.6 fl oz	ВD							
12	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	6.9	\$2,464	10294	280	36.8	18.6	95.9
	Delaro + Proline	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	ВD							
7	EBDC*	2 lb	А	6.9	\$2,492	10205	293	34.8	18.7	97.9
	Maxentis	15.6 fl oz	B-D							
5	EBDC*	2 lb	А	7.0	\$2,104	8794	254	34.6	17.8	93.6
	Topguard	10 fl oz	B-D							
8	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	7.3	\$2,483	10236	273	37.5	18.6	94.7
	Soratel 250 EC	9.6 fl oz	ВD							
11	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	8.0	\$2,350	9944	277	35.9	18.0	96.8
	Topguard	10 fl oz	ВD							
1	Untreated Check			9.0	\$2,075	8301	261	31.8	17.5	95.5
Av	erage			6.7	\$2,437	10093	279	36.2	18.4	96.2
LS	D 5%			0.7	222.8	890.5	21.8	2.0	1.0	2.2
C١	/ %			7.5	6.4	6.2	5.5	3.9	3.8	1.6

* EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Application Dates: A - 7/5, B - 7/19, C - 8/9, D - 8/30

**** Cercospora Rate (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

Comments: Study was designed to test Adama fungicides for Cercospora leafspot efficacy.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.



Adama Cercospora Fungicide Efficacy

- BIG CHIEF Parr - Brown City, MI - 2023

Tri Va Pla Ha Pla Ro Ap	al Quality: Good riety: SX-2296N anted: May 10th rvested: October 10 ots: 6 rows X 38 ft., w Spacing: 22 in. oplication: JD 3520 tr	Soil Info: Lo % OM: 3. P: Very H Mn: Medi Added N: 35 Previous Cro rayer, compre	am 7 pH: 6 ligh K: F um B: 5 lbs. 2X2 op: Corn essed air,	.9 CEC: High Medium , 120 lbs. 100 psi, 2	13.3 Sidedres 25 gpa	S	Rhizoc Level: Low Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 21.02 in. Beets/100 ft: 191			
No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing***	CLS**** Rate 28-Sep	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
2	EBDC*	2 lb	А	2.3	\$2,897	10609	268	39.5	18.1	95.2
	Soratel 250 EC	9.6 fl oz	B-D							
10	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	2.4	\$2,933	10802	275	39.4	18.2	96.0
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	ВD							
3	EBDC*	2 lb	А	2.4	\$2,995	10975	274	40.0	18.5	95.1
	Soratel 250 EC	10.9 fl oz	B-D							
9	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	2.5	\$2,890	10580	272	39.0	18.1	95.7
	Soratel 250 EC	10.9 fl oz	ВD							
8	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	2.6	\$3,009	10994	285	38.6	18.6	96.8
	Soratel 250 EC	9.6 fl oz	ВD							
6	EBDC*	2 lb	A	2.6	\$3,028	11259	285	39.5	18.6	96.7
	Delaro + Proline	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	B-D							
13	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	2.9	\$2,949	10732	268	40.1	18.2	94.7
	Maxentis	15.6 fl oz	B D							
12	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	2.9	\$2,953	10913	284	38.4	18.6	96.7
	Delaro + Proline	11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	ВD							
7	EBDC*	2 lb	A	2.9	\$2,890	10508	272	38.6	18.1	96.0
	Maxentis	15.6 fl oz	B-D							
4	EBDC*	2 lb	A	2.9	\$2,933	10854	277	39.2	18.2	96.3
	Proline 480 SC	5.7 fl oz	B-D							
11	EBDC*	2 lb	AC	3.1	\$2,793	10440	272	38.3	18.2	95.5
	Topguard	10 fl oz	B D							
5	EBDC*	2 lb	A	3.1	\$2,928	10773	263	41.1	18.2	93.8
	Topguard	B-D								
1	Untreated Check		4.6	\$2,873	10237	278	36.8	18.5	95.7	
Av	erage	2.9	\$2,928	10744	275	39.1	18.3	95.7		
LS	D 5%		0.7	N.S.	N.S.	15.5	3.2	N.S.	1.9	
C٧	/ %		17.6	6.9	6.7	3.9	5.7	2.6	1.4	

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz

***Application Dates: A - 7/7, B - 7/25, C - 8/21, D - 9/5

****Cercospora Rate (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

Comments: Study was designed to test Adama Fungicides for Cercospora leafspot efficacy.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. **Bold:** Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.



Evaluating Sipcam Fungicides for Cercospora Leafspot Control Parr, Brown City - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low
Variety: BTS-197N	% OM: 3.7 pH: 6.9 CEC: 13.3	Problems: None
Planted: May 10th	P: Very High K: High	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.
Harvested: October 10th	Mn: Medium B: Medium	Rainfall: 21.02 in.
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Beets/100 ft: 214
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Corn	

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 100 psi, 25 gpa

No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Timing* ***	CLS*** Rate 28-Sep	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% suc	% CJP
8	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	2.4	\$3,007	11180	264	42.4	17.8	95.2
	Cercos + Proline	23 fl oz + 5 fl oz	BCE							
7	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	2.4	\$2,896	10893	258	42.2	17.5	95.1
	Minerva + Proline	9.9 fl oz + 5 fl oz	BCE							
10	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	2.6	\$3,005	005 11118 272		40.8	18.3	95.3
	Inspire XT	7 fl oz	BCE							
6	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	2.8	\$3,043	11333	264	42.9	17.9	94.8
	SA-0650101 + Proline	20.5 fl oz + 5.7 fl oz	BCE							
9	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	2.9	\$3,146	11646	277	42.1	18.5	95.6
	Proline	5 fl oz	BCE							
5	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	3.1	\$2,999	11146	262	42.5	17.7	95.1
	SA-0650101 + Proline	20.5 floz + 5 fl oz	BCE							
2	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	3.4	\$2,968	10858	271	40.1	18.2	95.2
	SA-0650101	41 fl oz	BCE							
3	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	3.5	\$3,103	11339	269	42.1	18.2	94.9
	SA-0650110	41 fl oz	BCE							
4	EBDC*	2 lb	A D	4.3	\$2,973	10875	259	42.1	17.7	94.7
	SA-0650120	41 fl oz	BCE							
1	Untreated Check		4.6	\$2,886	10284	261	39.4	17.7	95.0	
Av	verage			3.2	\$3.003	11067	265.7	41.7	17.9	95.1
LS	SD 5%			0.7	230.1	819.9	10.4	2.6	0.5	N.S.
C\	/%			15.7	5.3	5.1	2.7	4.2	2.1	0.7

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz.

***Cercospora Rating (0-9 Scale): 0 = no spots, 1 = Very few spots, 5 = up to 25% injury and 9 = leaves completely dead.

****Application Dates: A-7/7, B-7/25, C-8/9, D-8/28, E-9/12

Comments: This study was designed to study Sipcam fungicides for Cercospora leafspot.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column. MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY EXTENSION

Michigan State University

AgBio**Research**

Evaluation of foliar fungicides to manage Cercospora leaf spot of sugar beet in Michigan, 2023

Chris Bloomingdale and Jaime Willbur, Michigan State University

Location: Frankenmuth (SVREC)	Treatment Timings: 14-day interval starting at 35 DSV
Planting Dates: May 12, 2023	Pesticides: see table
Soil Type: Loam	O.M.: 5.0 pH: 7.5
Replicates: 4	Variety: SX-1278N

Summary: Significant CLS pressure was observed uniformly throughout this study; all fungicide programs had significantly lower AUDPCs than the non-treated control (P < 0.0001). AUDPCs for fungicide programs ranged between 88.8 and 155.0, while the control program had an AUDPC of 245.5. No differences were observed among estimated yields (P > 0.05), however, all programs had numerically greater yields (5.1-14.4 t/A) than the control (3.6 t/A). All fungicide programs had significantly greater sugar content (P < 0.01) and RWST than the control (P < 0.01). Heavy rainfall and high Rhizoctonia root rot pressure severely impacted yield in the trial, overall resulting in the lack of significant differences.

Table 1. Area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) and yield parameters from the tested fungicide programs.

No.	Treatment, Rate ^a , and Timing ^b	AUDP	C ^{c, d}	Yield (t/A)	Sugar	(%)	RWST	'e
1	Non-treated Control	254.5	а	3.6	13.3	d	183.4	d
2	Manzate Max (1.6 qt) ACE;	104.0	cd	8.3	14.5	bc	204.3	bc
	Propulse (13.6 fl oz) BD; Super Tin (8 fl oz) CE							
3	Manzate Max (1.6 qt) ACE;	102.5	cd	12	15.2	ab	217.9	ab
	Proline (5.7 fl oz) BD; Super Tin (8 fl oz) CE							
4	Manzate Max (1.6 qt) ACE; Delaro (11 fl oz) BD;	134.9	bc	5.1	14.6	bc	206.0	bc
	Proline (1.71 fl oz) BD; Super Tin (8 fl oz) CE							
5	Manzate Max (1.6 qt) ACE; Delaro (11 fl oz) BD;	114.6	cd	14.4	14.8	bc	210.0	bc
	Luna Privilege (2 fl oz) BD;							
	Proline (1.71 fl oz) BD; Super Tin (8 fl oz) CE							
6	Manzate Max (1.6 qt) ACE;	155.0	b	13.6	14.3	с	199.9	c
	Luna Flex (13.6 fl oz) BD; Super Tin (8 fl oz) CE							
7	Manzate Max (1.6 qt) ACE;	103.1	cd	8.7	14.5	bc	204.5	bc
	Luna Flex (13.6 fl oz) BD;							
	Propulse (13.6 fl oz) BD; Super Tin (8 fl oz) CE							
8	Provysol (4 fl oz) A; Manzate Prostick (2 lb) ACE;	121.8	bcd	11.6	15.1	ab	214.3	abc
	Super Tin (8 fl oz) BD; Badge (32 fl oz) B;							
	Proline (5 fl oz) C; Priaxor Xemium (6.7 fl oz) D							
9	Lucento (5.5 fl oz) A;	92.8	d	10.3	15.8	ab	227.2	а
	Manzate Prostick (2 lb) ACE;							
	Super Tin (8 fl oz) BD; Topsin M WSB (10 oz) B;							
	Topguard (14 fl oz) C;							
	Priaxor Xemium (6.7 fl oz) D							
10	Inspire XT (7 fl oz) A; Badge (32 fl oz) A;	88.8	d	9.5	15.2	ab	215.5	abc
	Super Tin (8 fl oz) BD; Topsin M WSB (10 oz) B;							
	Proline (5 fl oz) C; Manzate Prostick (2 lb) CE;							
	Priaxor Xemium (6.7 fl oz) D							

^a All rates, unless otherwise specified, are listed as a measure of product per acre. MasterLock was added to all tank mixes at a rate of 0.25 % v/v.

^b Application letters code for the following dates: A=3 Jul, B=19 Jul, C=1 Aug, D=14 Aug, and E=31 Aug.

^c Area under the disease progress curve was calculated using disease severity scores (0-10 scale) collected 3 Jul, 23 Jul, 8 Aug, 22 Aug, and 7 Sep.

^d Column values followed by the same letter were not significantly different based on Fisher's Protected LSD (α =0.05). If no letter, then means were not significantly different.

^e Pounds of recoverable white sugar per ton of beets.





Fungicide resistance screening of Cercospora beticola populations in Michigan, 2022-23

Alexandra Hernandez¹, Sarah Ruth¹, Chris Bloomingdale¹, Mio Sato-Cruz¹, Daniel Bublitz¹, Linda E. Hanson^{1,2}, and Jaime F. Willbur¹; ¹Michigan State University; ²USDA-ARS

Background: Multiple fungicide groups are commonly used and registered for Cercospora leaf spot (CLS) management in sugar beet including methyl benzimidazole carbamates (MBC or benzimidazole, FRAC group 1), quinone outside inhibitors (QoI or strobilurins, FRAC group 11), demethylation inhibitors (DMI or triazoles, FRAC group 3), organo-tins (FRAC group 30), and multi-site contact activity (FRAC group M03) classes. Reduced sensitivity to QoI, MBC, DMI, and organo-tin fungicides has been detected in *C. beticola* populations in Michigan (Weiland and Halloin 2001, Kirk et al. 2012, Bolton et al. 2012a, Rosenzweig et al. 2015, Rosenzweig et al. 2020). Because of the fluctuating levels of resistant isolates, continuous monitoring is necessary for prompt identification and proactive management of shifts in *C. beticola* sensitivities. PCR-based methods to detect mutations associated with fungicide resistance could provide timely and field specific guidance to improve CLS management, but they must provide information that is reliable and relevant to field efficacy of the compounds.

Methods: CLS-symptomatic leaf samples were collected from mid-July through the end of October. In 2021, 2022, and 2023, east-central Michigan sugar beet fields were sampled across nine counties and 29, 30, and 17 locations, respectively. Approximately eight lesions from 8-15 leaves were collected at each timepoint and field site, and mono-conidial isolates were obtained from each lesion.

In vitro fungicide sensitivity testing was conducted using a spiral gradient plating method which determined the effective concentrations required to inhibit mycelial growth by 50% (EC₅₀) for each active ingredient of interest (Förster et al. 2004; Torres-Londoño et al. 2016; Rosenzweig et al. 2020). Isolates were tested for sensitivity to pyraclostrobin, thiophanate-methyl, difenoconazole, tetraconazole, prothioconazole, fenbuconazole (2021 only), mefentrifluconazole, and triphenyltin hydroxide.

In vitro methods were compared to rapid polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) assays which detect point mutations associated with fungicide resistance. QoI resistance was determined using the G143A point mutation present in the fungal mitochondrial cytochrome b gene of *C. beticola* isolates previously characterized to be resistant to pyraclostrobin, with EC50 values >100 ppm (Rosenzweig et al. 2015). MBC resistance was determined using the E198A point mutation present in the beta-tubulin gene of *C. beticola* isolates previously characterized to be resistant to benzimidazole, with EC₅₀ values \geq 60 ppm (Rosenzweig et al. 2015). DMI resistance was associated with the Glu169 (GAA to GAG) mutation present in the C-14 alpha-demethylase gene of *C. beticola* isolates characterized to be highly resistant to epoxiconazole, with EC₅₀ values of 65-115 ppm (Nikou et al. 2009).

Results:

<u>Objective 1</u> - Monitor levels of resistance to critical fungicide groups across Michigan growing regions. Isolates with reduced sensitivity were identified for every active ingredient tested. Resistance to DMI fungicides varied by active ingredient; isolates of *C. beticola* exhibited the highest level of resistance to prothioconazole followed by tetraconazole (Figure 1). Mefentrifluconazole and difenoconazole results were significantly positively correlated, indicating that the mechanisms of resistance to pyraclostrobin were observed across Michigan (Figure 1). Some reduced sensitivity to triphenyltin hydroxide was observed for isolates tested in this study. However, the degree of resistance was lower than that of other fungicide





classes with no isolates having EC_{50} values >10 ppm. Resistance to low doses of organotin fungicides has also been observed in North Dakota and Minnesota (Secor et al. 2019).



Figure 1. Box plots demonstrating the distribution of *in vitro* fungicide sensitivity to difenoconazole, fenbuconazole, mefentrifluconazole, prothioconazole, pyraclostrobin, tetraconazole, and triphenyltin hydroxide for *C. beticola* isolates collected in 2022. The upper limit of these assays were 17.6 ppm for difenoconazole, 17.9 ppm for fenbuconazole, 17.6 ppm for mefentrifluconazole, 17.8 ppm for prothioconazole, and 17.7 ppm for tetraconazole. The upper limit was 88.4 ppm for pyraclostrobin, 89.3 ppm for thiophanate methyl, and 17.8 ppm triphenyltin hydroxide.

Table 1. Frequencies of C. beticola resistance to four triazole active ingredients detected using in vitro sensitivity testing in 2022

	No	No		% Resista	int ^a	
County	locations	Samples	Difenoconazole	Mefentrifluconazole	Tetraconazole	Prothioconazole
Arenac	3	12	50.0	16.7	33.3	66.7
Bay	22	124	35.8	38.2	70.5	92.3
Clinton	3	18	41.2	42.9	50.0	100.0
Gratiot	4	24	40.0	25.0	57.1	90.9
Huron	11	77	15.0	21.7	90.5	100.0
Midland	1	4	75.0	66.7	50.0	100.0
Saginaw	7	33	46.4	32.3	83.3	93.5
Sanilac	6	40	18.9	23.5	85.7	96.7
Tuscola	7	41	44.1	31.4	84.2	100.0
Total	64	373	40.7	33.1	67.2	93.3

^a Isolates with EC_{50} values ≥ 1 ppm were considered resistant (Bolton et al. 2012b). While regions with high frequencies of resistant isolates are at greater risk for reduced efficacy of fungicides with these active ingredients, resistance rates are based on laboratory testing only and are not a direct measure of in-field control.



MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY



Table 2. Frequencies of *C. beticola* resistance to QoI, MBC and organotin active ingredients detected using *in vitro* sensitivity testing in 2022

SIO

TEN

			% Resistant ^a						
County	No. locations	No. Samples	Pyraclostrobin	Triphenyltin hydroxide	Thiophanate methyl				
Arenac	3	12	100.0	10.0	-				
Bay	22	124	100.0	25.2	91.7				
Clinton	3	18	100.0	46.7	27.3				
Gratiot	4	24	100.0	15.0	18.2				
Huron	11	77	100.0	35.8	100.0				
Midland	1	4	100.0	0.0	-				
Saginaw	7	33	100.0	15.2	70.0				
Sanilac	6	40	100.0	44.4	96.3				
Tuscola	7	41	100.0	34.3	83.3				
Total	64	373	100.0	25.2	69.5				

^aIsolates with EC_{50} values ≥ 1 ppm for pyraclostrobin and triphenyltin hydroxide and ≥ 5 ppm for thiophanate methyl were considered resistant (Secor et al. 2010, Bolton et al. 2012b). While regions with high frequencies of resistant isolates are at greater risk for reduced efficacy of fungicides with these active ingredients, resistance rates are based on laboratory testing only and are not a direct measure of in-field control.



Figure 2. Heat map showing the correlation coefficients for *in vitro* fungicide sensitivity to difenoconazole, fenbuconazole, mefentrifluconazole, prothioconazole, pyraclostrobin, tetraconazole, and triphenyltin hydroxide for *C. beticola* isolates. Significance is denoted by the number of asterisks in the bottom right half of the heat map; a *p*-value < 0.05, < 0.01, and < 0.0001 is represented by '*', '**', and '***', respectively.



AgBioResearch





<u>Objective 2</u> - Evaluate rapid testing as a tool to monitor C. beticola sensitivity to critical fungicide groups. Results for the three PCR-RFLP assays were successfully obtained from 399 isolates in 2021 and 498 isolates in 2022. A subset of these (63 isolates in 2021 and 370 isolates in 2022) were tested for in vitro fungicide sensitivity and compared with the PCR-RFLP results. The benzimidazole PCR marker predicted resistance to thiophanate-methyl with 99% accuracy. In 2021, approximately 68% of isolates had the mutation associated with MBC resistance compared to 74% in 2022 while in 2022. All the tested isolates contained the genetic mutation associated with QoI resistance. However, the pyraclostrobin EC_{50} values measured by spiral plating ranged from 0.79 ppm (lower limit of assay) to 88.37 ppm (upper limit). In vitro sensitivity of C. beticola isolates was significantly impacted by the presence of the mutation associated with DMI resistance (p < 0.0001). However, responses were not consistent between active ingredients. The mutation used in this study successfully predicted levels of insensitivity (> 1 ppm; Bolton et al. 2012b) for the triazoles difenoconazole and mefentrifluconazole but not for tetraconazole or prothioconazole. Resistance to triazoles is a complex trait controlled by multiple genes (Rangel et al. 2020). This study will continue to explore other mutations associated with DMI resistance to tetraconazole and prothioconazole (Spanner et al. 2021) and evaluate the mutations' ability to predict fungicide sensitivity.

Overall Summary:

- The PCR-RFLP rapid detection technique was highly accurate at predicting MBC resistance, and • the number of *C. beticola* isolates with resistance is increasing from 2021 to 2022.
- The genetic tests used in this study were not sufficient for accurately predicting QoI or DMI in vitro sensitivity for C. beticola isolates.
- Insensitivity to active ingredient concentrations above 1 ppm was observed for all active ingredients tested, but resistance was particularly widespread for the DMIs prothioconazole and tetraconazole as well as the QoI pyraclostrobin.

Future Directions:

Isolates collected in 2023 will be tested using the spiral gradient method and compared to 2021 and 2022 resistance levels to assess shifts in C. beticola populations. A subset of fields was sampled multiple times over the growing season and seasonal changes in resistance will be tracked and compared to the fungicide programs used. Additional mutations associated with DMI resistance will be tested for their ability to predict isolate sensitivity. Newer qPCR techniques (Shrestha et al. 2020) will also be investigated for rapid screening optimization.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Michigan sugar beet industry for access to these fields and thank Michigan Sugarbeet Advancement and the Michigan Sugar Company for collection of sample materials. This work is supported by the Michigan Sugar Company, Michigan State University Project GREEEN, USDA-ARS, and the Beet Sugar Development Foundation.





Impact of cover crops and pH on *Cercospora beticola* growth and survival for management of Cercospora leaf spot of sugar beet, 2022-23

Alexandra Hernandez¹, JaeJun Park¹, Chris Bloomingdale¹, Sarah Ruth¹, Kim Cassida¹, Linda E. Hanson^{1,2}, and Jaime F. Willbur¹; ¹Michigan State University; ²USDA-ARS

Objective 1: Evaluate the use of cover crops for management of Cercospora leaf spot in sugar beet.

A laboratory inhibition assay was preformed to evaluate the direct impact of cover crop seedlings on the growth on *C. beticola*. In this study, 'Wheeler' rye, crimson clover, yellow mustard, common oat, and oilseed radish seeds (Johnny's Selected Seeds) underwent sterilization, germination, and placed on to sugarbeet leaf extract agar and soil extract agar. This exercise was replicated four times for each seed and media type in combination with two characterized isolates from the USDA-ARS fungal collection. Observations were recorded and compared to negative control by measuring the isolate radial growth on both the seed-bearing and seedless sides at one-week and two-week intervals. After two weeks, all seedling types except mustard significantly reduced *C. beticola* growth for both media types (Fig. 1). Experiments will be repeated in 2024.



Figure 1. The percentage of *C. beticola* isolate growth on sugar beet leaf extract agar with the cover crop seedling compared to without the seedling after one and two weeks. Bars with the same letter were not significantly different based on Fisher's Protected LSD ($\alpha = 0.05$).

A small-scale greenhouse experiment will be established in the spring of 2024. Subsamples of infected leaf residue will be weighed, placed in mesh bags, and placed under three inches of soil in 8-inch pots. In the top three inches of soil each cover crop treatment will be planted with 4 replicate pots of each seed type. After one month, *C. beticola* spores will be recovered, observed, and tested for viability.

A field-scale cover crop study was established in 2023 at Saginaw Valley Research and Extension Center in Frankenmuth, MI and has been maintained by the Potato and Sugar Beet Pathology program with direction and guidance by the Forages and Cover Crops program. In the first season, the research field was planted with the beet variety SX-1278. The field was inoculated with a 1×10^4 *C. beticola* conidia/ml suspension on July 3. The sugar beets were topped and harvested in mid-Sept then CLS infected leaf material was incorporated prior to planting of cover crop treatments on Sept 18. The following cover crop treatments were planted: cereal rye (var. Wheeler), an oats and crimson clover mixture, and oilseed radish (var. Defender). The study was arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replicates





surrounded by 10-ft wheat buffer zones. Cover crop establishment was measured on Oct 19 using plant stand densities and biomass dry weights (data not shown). Soil samples will be collected from each plot area and crops will be terminated as advised in the spring of 2024. Following cover crop termination, rotational corn will be planted. Spore levels will be monitored using highly susceptible sentinel beets (Bublitz, McGrath, and Hanson 2021). A final soil sample will be collected at harvest and will be submitted for pH and nutrient testing and fractions may be submitted for assessment of microbial activity.

Objective 2: Investigate the effects of pH on C. beticola infection in sugar beet leaves.

The role of pH on *C. beticola* establishment and survival was tested in laboratory and field experiments. CLS lesions were sampled from infected and non-infected sugar beet varieties from a grower field. Eight different varieties were used for this experiment with 20 leaves collected from each of the three replicate variety plots. Twenty symptomatic and asymptomatic leaf areas from at least five different leaves per field replicate were placed into 2 mL of sterile deionized water, ground, and the pH was measured. Change in pH was not significantly different between varieties (Fig. 2). The pH of healthy tissue was not significantly different from the pH of the CLS lesion tissue (P > 0.05).



Figure 2. Change in pH of CLS lesion tissue compared to healthy tissue of eight sugar beet varieties from various companies and across CLS resistance levels.

Laboratory experiments were conducted to test the impact of soil and foliar amendments on *C. beticola* isolate growth. Soil extract agar and sugar beet leaf extract agar were amended with Humax and Fulmax (JH Biotech) solutions according to the rates for foliar and soil application for the respective media type. Two *C. beticola* isolates (described above) were placed on amended and non-amended media. The diameter of isolate growth was then measured one and two weeks after inoculation. Humax amendment increased the pH from 6 to 8 and significantly reduced growth of *C. beticola* isolates (P < 0.001). Field applications of these products in CLS management will be investigated.

Overall Summary:

- Rye seedlings consistently resulted in the greatest inhibition of *C. beticola* mycelial growth. Mustard, radish, and clover seedlings also inhibited *C. beticola* growth, but to a lesser extent.
- The pH of sugar beet leaf material was consistently greater in CLS-symptomatic tissue compared to healthy tissue. Additionally, *C. beticola* mycelial growth was inhibited for Humax amendments that increased pH in artificial media. Further investigations of the role of pH are necessary.

Acknowledgements: JaeJun Park was a student in the Michigan State University High School Honors Science/Mathematics/Engineering Program (HSHSP) and participated in this research during summer 2023. This work is supported by the Michigan Sugar Company, USDA-ARS, Project GREEEN, and the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project 1020281.





Development and validation of a spore-based *Cercospora beticola* risk model for improved application timing and management of Cercospora leaf spot on sugar beets, 2022-23

Alexandra Hernandez¹, Chris Bloomingdale¹, Cheryl Trueman³, Linda E. Hanson^{1,2}, and Jaime F. Willbur¹; ¹Michigan State University; ²United States Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service; ³Syngenta Canada

Methods: A preliminary model was created in 2021 to predict elevated *C. beticola* spore numbers using a threshold of 35 spores. The model was created using daily numbers of aerial spores collected in sugar beet fields using a Burkard spore trap in Michigan from 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022 and from Ontario, Canada 2019, 2020, and 2021 early in the season (May to July). Environmental factors were evaluated for their impact of spore number. Stepwise regression analyses were conducted to assess potential models.

Weather variables highly correlated to spore counts were identified and logistic modeling was used to predict elevated spore levels ($R^2 = 0.18$, P < 0.0001). The model predicted the chance that daily spore abundance was \geq 35 (Spore35) based on number of hours with leaf wetness (\geq 25%) from 11AM to 10AM (DurLW), average daily air temperature (°C) from 11AM to 10AM (AvgTemp), and maximum daily wind speed (km/h) (MaxWS). The following equation was used to predict risk for elevated aerial spores:

Spore35 = 0.1132*DurLW + 0.1285*AvgTemp + 0.0369*MaxWS - 5.0814

A validation field study was conducted to test the ability of this model to assist in fungicide application timing and improved management (details below). The field treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three treatments applied to a CLS-susceptible variety in 2023.

Location: Frankenmuth, MI (SVREC)	Treatment Timings: see table
Planting Dates: May 12, 2023 (Harvest: October 2)	Pesticides: see table
Soil Type: Loam	O.M.: 5.0 pH: 7.5
Replicates: 4	Variety: SX-1278

Table 1. Model validation treatment programs tested in 2023. All models were based off of the Spore35 model at using various initiation criteria. After initiation, subsequent spray timings followed a 14-day (calendar) or model-based interval.

Trt	Program	Initiation Criteria ^a	Actual Initiation Date	# Арр.	App. Interval
1	Non-treated control	-			
2	Model 1	50% + DSV 3 or 4	6/30/23	6	14-day
3	Grower standard ^b + calendar interval	50 DSV	7/10/23	6	14-day
4	Grower standard + model interval	50 DSV	7/10/23	4	After 14 days, apply when 50% + DSV 3 or 4
5	Model 2	50% + DSV 3 or 4 + 40% row closure	7/19/23	5	14-day
6	Model 3	40% + DSV 3 or 4 + 50% row closure	7/25/23	5	14-day

^a Model 1, 2, and 3 programs were initiated based on the Spore35 model threshold of 50% likelihood of 35 or more *C. beticola* spores paired with a BEETcast DSV value of 3 or 4 on the same day.

^b Grower standard program as follows: Manzate Max (1.6 qt) ABCDE; Inspire XT (7 fl oz) BD; Badge (1.5 pt) F. Application letters code for the following dates: A=10 Jul, B= 25 Jul, C=8 Aug, D=22 Aug, E=5 Sept, F=16 Aug, and G=30 Aug.



Figure 1. Area under the disease progress curve (A) was calculated using disease severity scores (0-10 scale) collected July 7 to Aug 5, 2023. Percent sugar (B) was measured post-harvest. Significant differences are indicated by different letters based on Fisher's Protected LSD (α =0.05).

Summary: The treatments in this study did not result in any significant differences in yield. All treatments resulted in significantly reduced AUDPC compared to the non-treatment control. The earliest model initiation (Model 1) showed a significant reduction in AUDPC compared to the Grower standard with the extended model interval and the two delayed model initiations (Model 2 and 3). Numerically, Model 1 resulted in the lowest AUDPC, which was not significantly different from the grower standard with calendar interval. The grower standard with the extended model interval had significantly higher disease pressure compared to the grower standard with the calendar interval.

All spray programs resulted in significantly greater sugar content than the non-treated control. The percent sugar was greatest in the grower standard with the calendar spray interval but was not significantly different from treatments based on Model 1, 2, or 3. Percent sugar was significantly reduced in the grower standard program with extended model-based spray interval. In this study, where a highly CLS-susceptible variety was combined with severe CLS pressure, extending the interval between applications based on spore-based risk was not beneficial, as indicated by the increase in AUDPC and the reduction in sugar percentage. Early-season suppression of CLS using model-based initiation thresholds, however, shows potential to improve foliar management. Further model refinement is in progress.

Acknowledgements: This work is supported by the Michigan Sugar Company, USDA-ARS, Project GREEEN, and the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project 1020281.





Fungicide resistance screening for *Alternaria* spp. causing Alternaria leaf spot of sugar beet, 2022-23

Emily Jordyn Weedon¹, Linda Hanson^{1,2}, Daniel Bublitz, Jan Byrne, and Laura Miles¹ and Jaime F. Willbur¹; ¹Michigan State University; ²USDA-ARS

Background: While generally considered a minor disease of sugar beets, reports of Alternaria leaf spot (ALS) disease prevalence and severity have been on the rise. In 2015, Michigan growers reported significant yield reduction because of premature defoliation caused by ALS (Rosenzweig et al., 2017). Increased *in vitro* resistance has subsequently been reported for *Alternaria* spp. from sugar beet (Rosenzweig et al., 2017; Rosenzweig et al., 2017). Interestingly, sensitivity was found to increase after DMI-resistant isolates of *C. beticola* were exposed to prolonged cold temperatures of -20°C (Karaoglanidis and Thanassoulopoulos, 2002; Arabiat et al., 2017). Studies of potential biological trade-offs in resistant *Alternaria* spp. are lacking. Further investigations will improve understanding of pathogen biology and diversity and guide management of beet leaf spot diseases in Michigan.

Objective 1: Characterize virulence and fungicide resistance of *Alternaria* spp. isolates from sugar beet.

Spore suspensions were collected from symptomatic sugar beet leaves from Michigan fields across six counties in 2022. Suspensions were adjusted to 1×10^4 conidia/ml using a hemocytometer. The collected 74 isolates were tested for virulence using a detached leaf-assay using 2-month-old sugar beets grown in the MSU Plant Science Greenhouses of the susceptible beet variety, CR-059. Lesion developments were recorded daily after two days post inoculation for five days. This experiment was repeated twice.

Initial *in-vitro* fungicide sensitivity was collected for six fungicide active ingredients registered for management of leaf spot diseases in sugar beet in Michigan. These included four demethylation inhibitor (DMI) fungicides (FRAC 3) difenoconazole, mefentrifluconazole, prothioconazole, and tetraconazole, as well as triphenyltin-hydroxide (FRAC 30), and thiophanate methyl (FRAC 1) (Rosenzweig et al, 2017; Rosenzweig et. 2019). Plates were fungicide amended using a gradient spiral dilution method (Förster et al, 2004) and spore suspensions were streaked onto them. The effective concentrations to inhibit mycelial growth by 50% (EC₅₀) were determined four days post-inoculation. This experiment was repeated twice.

Results: In the virulence assay, lesion diameters ranged from 0.49 mm to 18.96 mm, and 57 isolates resulted in more severe symptoms than a previously characterized virulent *A. alternata* isolate, P23 (Jayawardana, 2022). In the initial fungicide sensitivity screening, isolates were phenotypically categorized as previously defined by Rosenzweig et al. (2019) as resistant ($EC_{50} > 100$ ppm), insensitive ($EC_{50} = 50-100$ ppm), moderately insensitive ($EC_{50} = 10-50$ ppm), reduced sensitive ($EC_{50} = 1-10$ ppm), and sensitive (EC50 < 1 ppm). Percentages of isolates characterized as insensitive were: 0% for difenoconazole, 0% for mefentrifluconazole, 22% for prothioconazole, 37% for tetraconazole, 81% for thiophanate-methyl, and 18% for triphenyltin hydroxide (Figure 1).









Figure 1: Initial fungicide sensitivity across 74 *Alternaria spp.* from 2022. The p-values denoting differences between isolates were: 0.004 (mefentrifluconazole), 0.5 (difenoconazole), <0.0001 (prothioconazole), <0.001 (tetraconazole), <0.0001 (thiophanate-methyl), and <0.001 (triphenyltin hydroxide).

Objective 2: Evaluate potential cold temperature effects on fluctuations in fungicide sensitivity. Seven *Alternaria spp.* isolates (including the previously characterized *A. alternata* isolate P23 (Jayawardana, 2022)), and seven *C. beticola* from 2022 were placed into three temperature-controlled environments (20°C, 4°C, and -20°C) using a split-plot design. Fungicide sensitivity was tested using a gradient spiral dilution method (Förster et al, 2004) against difenoconazole, tetraconazole, thiophanate-methyl, or triphenyltin hydroxide. Screening began an initial two weeks and then continued every subsequent month for seven months.

Results: Preliminary data suggest that exposure to 4°C for two months has significantly increased sensitivity to tetraconazole in *Alternaria* spp. isolates (P=0.003). However, no significant changes were detected in isolate sensitivity to difenoconazole after exposure to any of the temperatures (P=0.145) (shown in Figure 2). As expected, significant differences in sensitivity were also not detected for thiophanate-methyl or triphenyltin hydroxide. Data collection for the remaining timepoints is ongoing for both *Alternaria* spp. and *C. beticola*.







Figure 2: Preliminary EC₅₀ values across *Alternaria* spp. isolates after incubation in each environment for two months. Exposure to 4°C significantly increased sensitivity to tetraconazole in *Alternaria* spp. isolates (P=0.003). Thus far, no significant changes were detected in isolate sensitivity to difenoconazole (P=0.145), thiophanate-methyl (P>0.05), or triphenyltin hydroxide (P>0.05).

Overall Summary:

- Similar levels of insensitivity were observed for tetraconazole and prothioconazole across *Alternaria* spp. isolates. Difenoconazole and mefentrifluconazole also had comparable responses with many isolates being classified as sensitive or reduced sensitive. Most isolates were classified as insensitive for thiophanate-methyl.
- Prolonged cold exposure has potential to significantly affect sensitivity against DMI fungicides according to preliminary results with *Alternaria* species. Further analyses are ongoing for both leaf spot pathogens.

Future Directions: Data collection is ongoing for cold-environment experiments and will be repeated. Both *Alternaria* spp. and *C. beticola* isolates collected from 2023 will be characterized for virulence and fungicide sensitivity. Additionally, *Alternaria* spp. isolates will be further characterized to identify the species present in Michigan fields. Pyraclostrobin (QoI, FRAC 11) with the addition of SHAM (salicylhydroxamic acid), will be added to the fungicide screenings to represent QoI applications used to manage leaf spot diseases.

Acknowledgements: We thank the Michigan sugar beet industry for access to field sites and thank Michigan Sugarbeet Advancement and the Michigan Sugar Company for collection of sample materials. This work is supported by the Michigan Sugar Company, Michigan State University Project GREEEN, and USDA-ARS.

Nematode Trial Pioneer - Big Chief Michligan Sugar Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good Variety: BTS-197N & C-G021 Planted: April 25th Harvested: September 25th Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps Row Spacing: 22 in. Soil Info: Clay Loam
% OM: 3.1 pH: 7.6 CEC: 18.3
P: Very High K: High
Mn: High B: High
Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress
Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 18.35 in Beets/100 ft: 206

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate	Variety	RWST	Vig 0-	jor* 10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
					21-Aug	21-Jul					
6	1405	1.0 oz/cwt	C-G021	271	7.8	8.9	\$3,449	10849	40.1	18.2	95.2
5	Aveo	4.14 ml/unit seed	C-G021	267	8.0	8.6	\$3,389	10662	39.9	18.0	95.3
2	Aveo	4.14 ml/unit seed	BTS-197N	265	7.9	8.4	\$3,054	9612	36.3	18.0	94.7
4	Untreated Check		C-G021	262	7.7	8.5	\$3,224	10113	38.6	17.8	94.8
3	1405	1.0 oz/cwt	BTS-197N	260	7.9	8.8	\$2,894	9110	35.0	17.8	94.4
1	Untreated Check BTS-197N			254	8.6	8.8	\$2,957	9274	36.6	17.5	94.1
A١	verage		263	8.0	8.6	3161	9937	37.8	17.9	94.7	
LSD 5%				10.1	0.7	N.S.	184.3	578.1	2.4	0.5	0.8
C/	/%			2.6	5.6	6.3	3.9	3.9	4.3	1.7	0.6

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This trial was designed to test products from IndigoAg (1405) and Valent (Aveo) for efficacy on Nematodes.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.



Trial Quality: Good Variety: C-G021, BTS-197N Planted: April 24th Harvested: September 25th Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps Row Spacing: 22 in. Soil Info: Clay Loam % OM: 3.1 pH: 7.6 CEC: 18.3 P: Very High K: High Mn: HIgh B: High Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 18.35 in. Beets/100 ft: 199

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	RWST	Eggs + Jv's	Cysts	Eggs	Jv's	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
5	Zironar C-G021	6 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	268	32.5	1.0	12.5	20.0	\$3,686	11593	43.2	18.1	95.2
3	Zironar BTS-197N	12 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	264	135.5	2.5	100.0	35.5	\$2,846	8989	34.1	17.9	94.7
6	Zironar C-G021	12 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	264	315.0	6.0	245.0	70.0	\$3,216	10150	38.5	18.0	94.7
4	4 Untreated Check C-G021				262	N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	\$3,224	10113	38.6	17.8	94.8
2	Zironar BTS-197N	6 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur	261	78.0	1.5	55.0	23.0	\$3,145	9897	38.0	17.7	94.9
1	1 Untreated Check BTS-197N					N.A	N.A	N.A	N.A	\$2,957	9274	36.6	17.5	94.1
Average				262	140.3	2.8	103.0	37.1	\$3,179	10003	38.1	17.8	94.7	
LSD 5%				N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	499.1	1565.4	5.27	N.S.	1.0	
C	V%				3.7	151.0	122.0	178.0	88.7	10.4	10.4	9.2	2.4	0.7

Comments: Zironar is a product from FMC that has an effect of managing nematodes and root disease.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.



Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Clay Loam	Rhizoc					
Variety: C-G932NT	% OM: 3.1 pH: 7.6 CEC: 18.3	Cerc Co					
Planted: April 24th	P: Very High K: High	Probler					
Harvested: September 25th	Mn: High B: High	Seeding					
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Rainfal					
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover	Beets/1					
Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa							

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 18.32 in. Beets/100 ft: 134

Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic	RWST	Vigor** 0-10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	%	%
			Timing*		21-Aug				SUC	CJP
4	Vantacor	1.2 fl oz	А	262	7.6	\$3,346	10268	39.2	18.0	94.1
	Premium MSO	1 %v	A							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	В							
	LI 700	0.25 %v	В							
3	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	A	257	7.7	\$3,319	10176	39.6	17.8	93.8
	LI 700	0.25 %v	A							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	В							
	LI 700	0.25 %v	В							
2	Vantacor	1.2 fl oz	A	253	7.6	\$3,377	10373	41.1	17.0	95.4
	Premium MSO	1 %v	A							
	Vantacor	1.2 fl oz	В							
	Premium MSO	1 %v	В							
6	Delegate	3 oz	A	250	7.7	\$3,218	10071	40.3	17.1	94.5
	Premium MSO	1 %v	A							
	Delegate	3 oz	В							
	Premium MSO	1 %v	В							
5	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	A	250	7.4	\$3,292	10103	40.5	17.3	94.1
	LI 700	0.25 %v	A							
	Vantacor	1.2 fl oz	В							
	Premium MSO	1 %v	В							
1	Untreated Check		247	7.8	\$3,276	9979	40.4	17.0	94.3	
A١	verage			253	7.6	\$3,305	10162	40.2	17.4	94.4
LS	SD 5%			11.8	0.3	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
C	V%			3.1	2.8	5.4	5.3	5.9	3.8	1.1

*Application: A - 2 If (5/26), B - 6 If (6/5)

**Vigor 0-10 rating: 10 is best

Comments: This study was designed to test Vantacor Insecticide. Insect pressure was low in the study.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. **Bold:** Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.

Evaluating Cyst Nematode Resistance in Sugar Beet Varieties through Greenhouse Experiments

Ali Yaghoubi and Marisol Quintanilla. Michigan State University, Department of Entomology.

In 2023, several sugar beet varieties were evaluated for resistance to sugar beet cyst nematode (SBCN) infection in a greenhouse trial. The specific varieties and their source companies are outlined in Table 1.

No.	Variety	company sources
1	G932NT	ACHSEEDS
2	G151	ACHSEEDS
3	2332NT	Hilleshog
4	HM9865	Hilleshog
5	HM2361	Hilleshog
6	HILL2238NT	Hilleshog
7	SX2296N	SESVANDERHAVE
8	SV101N	SESVANDERHAVE

Table 1. Sugar beet varieties and their respective company sources.

Sugar beets were planted in 1.5-liter pots at the Michigan State University research greenhouse on June 15, 2023. The experiment utilized a randomized complete block design with five replications per treatment. The pots were filled with a soil mixture of 3 parts sand and 1 part field soil. On June 26, 2023, 2,000 eggs of the sugar beet cyst nematode (SBCN) were inoculated into each pot after seedling germination. After allowing two months for SBCN population establishment and beet growth, the pots were sampled to quantify SBCN females and eggs per 100 cc soil, as well as beet weights.

Beet weights analysis revealed that G932NT exhibited a significantly higher yield compared to G151 and HM2361 (Figure 1).

Analysis of the SBCN cysts in each sugar beet variety after two months of inoculation indicated that G932NT and SX2296N significantly had the lowest number of cysts per 100 cc of soil, while HM9865 and G151 showed the highest number of cysts in the rhizosphere of sugar beet roots (Figure 2).

Furthermore, examination of SBCN eggs after crushing cysts in each sugar beet variety demonstrated that G932NT, SX2296N, HILL2238NT, and SV101N significantly had the lowest number of eggs per 100 cc of soil, whereas G151 exhibited the highest number of eggs in the rhizosphere of sugar beet roots (Figure 3).

This experiment will be repeated in the greenhouse and field microplots to further validate the results.







Figure 2. The average number of sugar beet cyst nematode (SBCN) cysts per 100 cc soil recovered from different sugar beet varieties at two months after inoculation. Different letters indicate significant differences between varieties according to Tukey's HSD test at $p \le 0.05$.



Figure 3. The average number of sugar beet cyst nematode (SBCN) eggs per 100 cc soil recovered from different sugar beet varieties at two months after inoculation. Different letters indicate significant differences between varieties according to Tukey's HSD test at $p \le 0.05$.

The authors gratefully acknowledge ACHSEEDS, Hilleshog, and SESVANDERHAVE seed companies for generously providing sugar beet variety seeds used in this research. Additionally, we thank Michigan Sugar Company and the USDA-NIFA for providing financial support that made this work possible.



Nitrogen Application Strategies Trial

Blumfield West - Richville, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good Variety: BTS-197N Planted: April 27th Harvested: October 31st Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps Row Spacing: 22 in. Soil Info: Clay Loam
% OM: 1.9 pH: 7.3 CEC: 15.4
P: Very High K: Medium
Mn: High B: Medium
Added N: See Individual Treatments
Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 19.84 in. Beets/100 ft: 126

Application: Pre-plant was applied broadcast. 2X2 was applied with the planter. 6 and 12 lf applications were applied as a fluted coulter application or streamed on with a sprayer.

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Applic		Vigor*** 0-10	Net \$/ <u>A</u>	RWSA	RWST	T/A_	%	%
			Timing	Method	21-Aug					SUC	CJP
1	Untreated Check				7.9	\$2,451	9806	282	34.9	19.8	93.2
2	Nitrogen	160 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.3	\$2,717	11726	269	43.6	19.2	92.4
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In Furr							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	ΑιΓιαπ	in-i un							
3	Nitrogen	120 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.0	\$2,571	11206	253	44.3	19.1	90.4
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2							
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In Furr							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz		in-i un							
4	Nitrogen	60 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	6.1	\$3,113	13450	288	46.8	19.0	96.1
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2							
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In Furr							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz		in-i un							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	60 lbs + 2.64 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
5	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2	7.3	\$2,624	11537	262	44.5	19.1	91.7
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In Eurr							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	ALFIAIIL	III-Full							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	60 lbs + 2.64 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	60 lbs + 2.64 fl oz	12 lf	Streamer							
6	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2	7.7	\$2,916	12646	282	44.8	18.9	95.3
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz									
	Nitrogen + Anvol	120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
7	Nitrogen	60 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.5	\$2,859	12433	268	46.3	19.4	92.0
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2							
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Dlant	In Eurr							
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz		III-FUII							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	60 lbs + 2.64 fl oz	6 lf	Fluted Coulter							

*Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-Sul, 4 gal) + Phosphorus (10-34-0, 6 gal)

**Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-sul, 4 gal)

***Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.



Nitrogen Application Strategies Trial

Blumfield West - Richville, MI - 2023

(Page 2 of 3)

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Vigor*** 0-10 21-Aug	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
8	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2	7.8	\$2,653	11590	264	43.8	19.1	91.9
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz	6 lf	Fluted Coulter							
9	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2	7.4	\$2,950	12779	268	47.7	18.8	93.1
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz	12 lf	Streamer							
10	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	7.4	\$2,687	11693	280	41.7	19.3	93.8
	Nitrogen + Sulfur + Anvol	160 lbs + 4 gal + 6.57 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
11	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr	8.1	\$2,562	11190	266	42.1	19.2	91.9
	Nitrogen + Anvol**	160 lbs + 6.57 fl oz	6 lf	Fluted Coulter							
12	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2	7.8	\$2,423	10020	265	37.9	19.5	91.1
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
13	Nitrogen**	60 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.6	\$2,698	11701	258	45.3	19.3	90.6
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	100 lbs + 4.26 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
14	Nitrogen**	40 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.4	\$2,661	11625	259	44.9	19.3	90.7
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	80 lbs + 2.64 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							

*Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-Sul, 4 gal) + Phosphorus (10-34-0, 6 gal)

**Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-sul, 4 gal)

***Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.



Nitrogen Application Strategies Trial

Blumfield West - Richville, MI - 2023

(Page 3 of 3)

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Applic Method	Vigor*** 0-10 21-Aug	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% suc	% CJP
15	Nitrogen	40 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.4	\$2,828	11889	286	41.5	19.3	95.0
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Nitrogen + Anvol**	80 lbs + 1.7 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
16	Nitrogen	40 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.3	\$3,131	13304	280	47.5	19.0	94.8
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2							
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	40 lbs + 1.7 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
17	Nitrogen	40 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	8.1	\$2,760	11719	262	45.0	19.3	91.1
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2X2							
	Azteroid FC 3.3 Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Nitrogen + Anvol	20 lbs + .84 fl oz	6 lf	Streamer							
Av	erage		7.5	\$2,741	11783	270	43.7	19.2	92.7		
LS	D 5%				1.6	433.8	1735.1	28.9	5.2	0.4	4.5
C∖	/%				14.9	11.1	10.4	7.5	8.4	1.6	3.4

*Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-Sul, 4 gal) + Phosphorus (10-34-0, 6 gal)

**Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-sul, 4 gal)

*****Vigor** 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This trial is designed to examine nitrogen application strategies. Nitrogen was applied as 28% UAN in most cases, unless noted differently above.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.

N & K Fertility Trial Early Harvest PIONEER · BIG CHIEF MICHIGAN SUGAR Blumfield West - Richville, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Clay Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low
Variety: BTS-197N	% OM: 1.9 pH: 7.3 CEC: 15.4	Cerc Control: Good
Planted: April 27th	P: Very High K: Medium	Problems: None
Harvested: September 19th	Mn: High B: Medium	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: See individual treatments	Rainfall: 16.4 in.
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/clover	Beets/100 ft: 181
Application: 2X2 on planter. 6 If stage incorporated	l with fluted coulter (between rows).	

Vigor*** Applic Applic % % RWSA RWST No. Treatment Rate/A Net \$/A T/A 0-10 SUC Timing Method CJP 21-Aug 20-Ju 1 **Untreated Check** \$2,913 8603 247 34.9 7.4 8.0 16.9 94.7 2 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 7.5 8.1 \$3,037 9052 249 36.4 17.0 94.8 2x2 3 Potassium 150 lbs 26-Apr PPI 6.9 8.0 \$3,200 9539 259 36.8 17.5 95.3 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 Potassium PPI 4 300 lbs 26-Apr 7.3 8.0 \$3,091 9242 262 35.2 17.6 95.5 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 8.1 5 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 7.3 9239 248 37.3 17.0 \$3.062 94.6 Nitrogen + Anvol 40 lbs + 1.7 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer 39.1 6 Potassium 150 lbs 26-Apr PPI 7.6 8.5 \$3,363 10130 259 17.5 95.3 Nitrogen* At Plant 40 lbs 2x2 Nitrogen + Anvol 40 lbs + 1.7 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer Potassium 300 lbs PPI 7.4 \$3,384 10197 264 7 26-Apr 8.0 38.6 17.7 95.6 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 Nitrogen + Anvol 40 lbs + 1.7 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer 94.6 Nitrogen* 9381 239 8 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 7.5 8.4 \$3,110 39.3 16.4 Nitrogen + Anvol 120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer 9 Potassium 150 lbs 26-Apr PPI 7.8 8.5 \$3,144 9483 244 38.8 16.7 94.6 Nitrogen* At Plant 2x2 40 lbs 120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz 6 lf** Nitrogen + Anvol Streamer 7.9 \$3.274 9872 255 10 Potassium 300 lbs 26-Apr PPI 8.5 38.7 17.2 95.2 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 Nitrogen + Anvol 120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 7.6 8.3 \$3.056 9220 237 39.0 16.2 94.7 11 Nitrogen + Anvol 160 lbs + 6.78 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer 12 Potassium 150 lbs 26-Apr PPI 7.9 8.5 \$3.025 9133 237 38.4 16.4 94.2 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 160 lbs + 6.78 fl oz 6 lf** Nitrogen + Anvol Streamer 13 Potassium 300 lbs 26-Apr PPI 7.8 8.5 \$3,430 10331 244 42.5 16.8 94.5 Nitrogen* 40 lbs At Plant 2x2 Nitrogen + Anvol 160 lbs + 6.78 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer Average 7.5 8.3 \$3,161 9494 250 38.1 17.0 94.9 LSD 5% 0.9 0.9 309.1 912.6 13.4 3.7 0.7 1.1 CV% 7.8 6.8 2.9 8.2 6.7 3.7 6.8 0.8

*Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-Sul, 4 gal) + Phosphorus (10-34-0, 6 gal)

Conversions: 150 lbs of actual potassium (250 lbs Potash), 300 lbs potassium (500 lbs Potash). 40 lbs of actual nitrogen (13 gal/A UAN 28%), 60 lbs N (20 gal/A UAN 28%), 120 lbs N (40 gal/A UAN 28%), 160 lbs N (53 gal/A UAN 28%).

**6 If application date: 6/7

***Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This trial compared nitrogen and potassium rates and the impact on yield and sugar when harvested early in the season.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost. **Bold:** Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.

N & K Fertility Trial Late Harvest PIONEER · BIG CHIEF BIUMFIELD West - Richville, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Clay Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low								
Variety: BTS-197N	% OM: 1.9 pH: 7.3 CEC: 15.4	Cerc Control: Good								
Planted: April 27th	P: Very High K: Medium	Problems: None								
Harvested: October 31st	Mn: High B: Medium	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.								
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: See Individual Treatments	Rainfall: 19.84 in.								
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/clover	Beets/100 ft: 169								
Application: 2X2 on planter. 6 If st	Application: 2X2 on planter. 6 If stage incorporated with fluted coulter (between rows).									

	_		Applic	Applic	Vigo	or***		514/0	DWOT		%	%
NO.	Treatment	Rate/A	Timing	Method	-0	10	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWSI	I/A	SUC	CJP
1	Untreated Check				ZT-Aug	20-Jui	\$2,222	12220	284	46.0	18.0	05.7
2	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	∆t Plant	2v2	7.0	8.0	\$3,332	13480	288	46.9	19.9	93.7
2	Potassium	150 lbs	26-Anr	PPI	7.8	8.6	\$3 182	12851	200	46.4	20.1	91.6
Ŭ	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2	1.0	0.0	φ0, 10 <u>2</u>	12001	211	10.1		01.0
4	Potassium	300 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.5	8.8	\$3.631	14651	309	47.4	20.6	95.4
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2								
5	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2	7.6	7.6	\$3.008	12299	270	45.5	19.8	91.1
	Nitrogen	40 lbs	0.1644	0								
	Anvol	1.7 fl oz	6 IT^^	Streamer								
6	Potassium	150 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.6	8.5	\$3,305	13490	279	48.3	19.5	93.2
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2								
	Nitrogen + Anvol	40 lbs + 1.7 fl oz	6 lf**	Streamer								
7	Potassium	300 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.5	8.5	\$3,171	12961	279	46.5	20.5	91.0
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2								
	Nitrogen + Anvol	40 lbs + 1.7 fl oz	6 lf**	Streamer								
8	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2	7.5	7.8	\$3,154	12884	271	47.6	19.4	92.3
	Nitrogen + Anvol	120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz	6 lf**	Streamer								
9	Potassium	150 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.5	8.1	\$3,156	12897	269	48.0	19.8	90.9
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2								
	Nitrogen + Anvol	120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz	6 lf**	Streamer								
10	Potassium	300 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.6	8.5	\$3,203	13087	270	48.4	20.1	90.6
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2								
	Nitrogen + Anvol	120 lbs + 5.12 fl oz	6 lf**	Streamer								
11	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2	7.8	8.0	\$3,031	12392	260	47.7	19.3	90.7
	Nitrogen + Anvol	160 lbs + 6.78 fl oz	6 lf**	Streamer								
12	Potassium	150 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	7.8	8.4	\$3,385	13810	275	50.3	19.8	91.8
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2								
	Nitrogen + Anvol	160 lbs + 6.78 fl oz	6 lf**	Streamer								
13	Potassium	300 lbs	26-Apr	PPI	6.9	7.9	\$3,635	14818	307	48.2	20.5	95.3
	Nitrogen*	40 lbs	At Plant	2x2								
Nitrogen + Anvol 160 lbs + 6.78 fl oz 6 lf** Streamer												
Av	Average					8.2	\$3,272	13304	280	47.5	19.9	92.6
LS	LSD 5%					1.1	318.8	1275.0	18.5	2.9	0.7	2.7
C\	/%				6.8	9.0	6.8	6.7	4.6	4.2	2.6	2.1

*Treatment includes Sulfur (Thio-Sul, 4 gal) + Phosphorus (10-34-0, 6 gal)

Conversions: 150 lbs of actual potassium (250 lbs Potash), 300 lbs potassium (500 lbs Potash). 40 lbs of actual nitrogen (13 gal/A UAN 28%), 60 lbs N (20 gal/A UAN 28%), 120 lbs N (40 gal/A UAN 28%), 160 lbs N (53 gal/A UAN 28%).

**6 If application date: 6/7

***Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This trial compared nitrogen and potassium rates and the impact on yield and sugar when harvested late in the season.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost. **Bold:** Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.



Yoder, Pigeon - 2023

Trial Quality: Good Soil Info: Sandy Loam Rhizoc Level: Low Variety: BTS-1183 % OM: 2.4 pH: 7.7 CEC: 12.9 Cerc Control: Good Planted: May 22nd P: Very High K: Very High Problems: None Harvested: October 10th Mn: Very High B: High Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft, 4 reps Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress Rainfall: 15.76 in. Previous Crop: Wheat Row Spacing: 22 in. Beets/100 ft: 235

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic	Applic	RWST	Vigor* 0-10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	%	%
			Timing	Method		31-Aug				500	CJP
6	UAN 28% + 10-34-0 + Thio-Sul	8 gal + 6 gal + 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	281	8.8	\$2,271	9437	33.5	18.2	97.1
	Azteroid FC 3.3 + Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz + 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	Boron 10% + WC-250	16 fl oz + .25% v/v	8-10 lf	Broadcast							
5	UAN 28% + 10-34-0 + Thio-Sul	8 gal + 6 gal + 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	279	8.8	\$2,271	9436	33.9	18.6	95.7
	Azteroid FC 3.3 + Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz + 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	WC-597 + WC-250	16 fl oz + .25% v/v	8-10 lf	Broadcast							
1	UAN 28% + 10-34-0 + Thio-Sul	8 gal + 6 gal + 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	277	8.8	\$2,068	8576	30.9	18.2	96.3
	Azteroid FC 3.3 + Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz + 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
3	UAN 28% + 10-34-0 + Thio-Sul	8 gal + 6 gal + 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	272	8.8	\$2,187	9102	33.5	18.2	95.6
	Azteroid FC 3.3 + Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz + 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	WC-596 + WC-250	32 fl oz + .25% v/v	8-10 lf	Broadcast							
2	UAN 28% + 10-34-0 + Thio-Sul	8 gal + 6 gal + 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	268	8.7	\$2,162	8989	33.6	18.6	93.7
	Azteroid FC 3.3 + Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz + 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	WC-250	.25% v/v	8-10 lf	Broadcast							
4	UAN 28% + 10-34-0 + Thio-Sul	8 gal + 6 gal + 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	267	8.8	\$2,341	9732	36.3	18.2	94.7
	Azteroid FC 3.3 + Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz + 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr							
	WC-772 + WC-250	32 fl oz + .25% v/v	8-10 lf	Broadcast							
Av	Average					8.7	\$2,217	9212	33.6	18.3	95.5
LS	D 5%				N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	4.1	N.S.	N.S.
C\	/%				5.5	1.1	11.6	11.1	8.1	2.3	2.4

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This study was designed to test CHS plant health products for an improvement in sugar and yield.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.

CHS Sidedress

ONEER · BIG CHIEF	Dlumfield	\M/oct	Dichvillo	2022
ICHIGAN SUGAR	Diuiiiieiu	VVESI,	RICHVIIIE -	2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Sandy Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low
Variety: BTS-197N	% OM: 1.9 pH: 7.3 CEC: 15.4	Cerc Control: Good
Planted: April 27th	P: Very High K: Medium	Problems: None
Harvested: October 31st	Mn: High B: Medium	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in.
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Rainfall: 19.84 in.
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover	Beets/100 ft: 151

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing/	Applic	RWST	Vigor* 0-10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	%	%	B/1	00																																							
			Date	Method		21-Aug				SUC	PUR	26-May	12-May																																							
3	UAN 28%	18 gal	25-Apr	PPI	290	7.1	\$3,296	13863	47.7	19.3	95.6	150.3	125.6																																							
	Azteroid 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr																																																
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	ΑιΓιάπ	III-I UII																																																
	UAN 28%	17 gal	6 lf	Streamer																																																
	WC 597	32 fl oz	011	oucamer																																																
2	UAN 28%	18 gal	25-Apr	PPI	288	7.0	\$3,353	14100	49.0	19.5	94.6	153.9	133.2																																							
	Azteroid 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr																																																
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	Arriant	in-i un																																																
	UAN 28%	17 gal	6 lf	Streamer																																																
	WC 379	32 fl oz	011	Streamen																																																
4	UAN 28%	18 gal	25-Apr	PPI	278	7.3	\$3,262	13729	49.4	18.7	95.2	156.0	142.1																																							
	Azteroid 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr																																																
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	7.0110110	in r un																																																
	UAN 28%	17 gal	6 lf	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer	Streamer									
	WC 765	32 fl oz	011	oucanici																																																
1	UAN 28%	18 gal	25-Apr	PPI	269	7.4	\$3,068	12928	47.9	18.9	93.3	142.7	119.8																																							
	Azteroid 3.3	6.3 fl oz	Δt Plant	In-Eurr																																																
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz		in-i un																																																
	UAN 28%	17 gal	6 lf	Streamer																																																
Average		281	7.2	\$3,245	13655	48.5	19.1	94.7	150.7	130.2																																										
L	LSD 5%				N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.																																							
C	V%				7.1	10.5	11.5	10.9	5.0	3.5	2.8	19.6	24.2																																							

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This study was designed to test CHS products applied sidedress with 28% UAN to examine increases in in sugar and yield.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.
PIONEER · BIG CHIEF
MICHIGAN SUGAR

Rate: 4.1 in.

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Loam	Rhizoc Level: Low
Variety: HIL-9865	% OM: 3.5 pH: 7.6 CEC: 27.0	Cerc Control: Good
Planted: May 16th	P: Very High K: Very High	Problems: None
Harvested: September 28th	Mn: High B: High	Seeding Rate: 4.1 in
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress	Rainfall: 16.14 in.
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover Mix	Beets/100 ft: 229
Application: ID 3520 tractor mount	ed plot spraver, compressed air, 15 3 gpa - Foliar 7" h	hand

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5' band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing/	Applic	RWST	Vig 0-	Vigor* 0-10		Net \$/A RWSA	T/A	% SUC	% CIP	Beets/ 100 ft
			Date	methoa		31-Aug	24-Jul	\$/A			500	CJP	8-Jun
8		Andersor	າຣ		229	9.2	9.1	\$2,273	7787	34.0	15.4	96.0	216
	UAN 28%	8 gal											
	10-34-0	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Thio-Sul	4 gal											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz			1								
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz											
	Season Pass Diamond	5 gal	At Plant	In-Fur									
	Biopass	1 pt											
	Korrect Plus	1 gal	5-Jul	Broadcast									
	Korrect Plus	1 gal	28-Aug	Broadcast									
11		Aqueus	5	_	227	9.4	9.1	\$2,246	7559	33.2	15.4	95.5	227
	UAN 28%	8 gal											
	10-34-0	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Thio-Sul	4 gal											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz											
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur									
	Growthful Soil	22 oz											
	Growthful Foliar	12.8 fl oz	10-Aug	Broadcast									
	Growthful Foliar	12.8 fl oz	1-Sep	Broadcast									
3		Envita			226	9.5	9.4	\$2,192	7560	33.5	15.4	95.3	220
	UAN 28%	4 gal		0)/0									
	10-34-0 This Cul	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
		4 gai 6 3 fl oz											
	Azlerolu FC 3.3	0.3 11 02 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Eur									
	Envita	32 fl oz		in-i ui									
	Envita	3.2 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast									
10		Aqueus		Dioduot	223	9.1	9.1	\$2,142	7159	32.0	15.8	93.3	231
	UAN 28%	8 gal											
	10-34-0	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Thio-Sul	4 gal											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz											
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur									
	Growthful Soil	22 oz											

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.



Plant Health Trial

Gruehn - Pigeon, MI - 2023

(Page 2 of 3)

			Applic	Annlia		Vig	or*				0/	0/	Beets/
No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Timing/	Applic Method	RWST	0-'	10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	5UC	CIP	100 ft
			Date	Methoa		31-Aug	24-Jul				000	001	8-Jun
2		Envita			220	9.1	9.0	\$2,097	7302	33.4	15.9	92.4	234
	UAN 28%	8 gal											
	10-34-0	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Thio-Sul	4 gal											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz											
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur									
	Envita	3.2 fl oz											
	UAN 28%	13.3 gal	6 lf	Broadcast									
	Envita	3.2 fl oz	-										
4		Envita			219	9.4	9.1	\$2,158	7404	33.7	15.5	93.5	235
	10-34-0	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Thio-Sul	4 gal											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz											
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur									
	Envita	3.2 fl oz											
	Envita	3.2 fl oz	6 lf	Broadcast									
7	A	Idersons			216	9.3	8.6	\$2,082	7110	32.9	16.2	90.7	230
	UAN 28%	8 gal											
	10-34-0	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Thio-Sul	4 gal											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz											
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz		In Euro									
	Season Pass	5 gal	At Plant	in-Fur									
	Diamond	1 nt											
10	Biopass				015	0.4	0.4	¢0.470	7444	24.0	15 5	02.0	220
12					215	9.4	9.1	\$2,179	7441	34.0	15.5	93.0	230
	UAN 20%	o yai 6 gol		222									
	This Sul	o gai 4 gal	AL PIAN	272									
		4 yai											
	Azterola FC 3.3	0.3 II 0Z		In Eur									
	Crowthful Soil	4 11 02	AL PIAN	III-Fui									
	Growthful Foliar	12 0 fl oz	5 1.1	Draadaaat									
	Growthful Foliar	12.0 II 02		Broadcast									
	Growthful Foliar	12.0 II 02	15-Jui	Broadcast									
	Growthful Foliar	12.0 II 02	15 Aug	Broadcast									
	Growthful Foliar	12.0 II 02	15-Aug	Broadcast									
E	Glowiniui Foliai		I-Sep	Broadcast	011	0.2	0.0	¢2.045	60.40	22.0	15.0	02.0	220
э	LIAN 200/				211	9.3	9.0	ə∠,045	094 0	JZ.9	10.2	92.ŏ	228
	10-31-0	o yai 6 gal	At Plant	282									
			ALF Idill	272									
		-+ yai 6 3 fl 07											
	Azierolu i C 3.3 Muetana Mayy	4 fl 07	At Plant	In Eur									
	SD 1	3 0 2	ALF Idill	III-FUI									
	0F-1	o yai											

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.



Plant Health Trial

Gruehn - Pigeon, MI - 2023

(Page 3 of 3)

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing/	Applic Method	RWST	Vig 0-'	or* 10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	% SUC	% CJP	Beets/ 100 ft
0		Gantac	Date		208	0 1	24-Jui 8 8	¢1 951	6570	31.6	15.6	01.0	o-Jun 220
9	UAN 28% 10-34-0 Thio-Sul Azteroid FC	7 gal 5 gal 3 gal 6.3 fl oz	At Plant	2X2	200	5.1	0.0	φ1,331	0379	51.0	10.0	91.0	220
	Mustang Maxx Gantac Pro	4 fl oz 12 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur									
1	UAN 28% 10-34-0 Thio-Sul	8 gal 6 gal 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	206	9.2	9.3	\$1,983	6641	32.2	15.4	91.1	233
	Azteroid FC Mustang Maxx	6.3 fl oz 4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Fur									
6	UAN 28% 10-34-0 Thio-Sul	DPH 8 gal 6 gal 4 gal	At Plant	2X2	204	9.0	9.1	\$1,880	6442	31.5	15.6	90.2	239
	Azteroid FC Mustang SP-1 Companion Max	6.3 fi 62 4 fl oz 3 gal 8 oz	At Plant	In-Fur									
Average				217	9.2	9.1	\$2,102	7161	33.0	15.6	92.9	229	
LSD 5%				N.S.	0.3	0.7	286.3	923.6	1.9	0.5	4.6	19.2	
C\	/%				7.9	2.3	5.1	9.5	9.0	4.1	2.4	3.4	5.9

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: Study was designed to test products for sugar and yield improvements.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.



Gruehn - Pigeon, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Loam
Variety: HIL-9865	% OM: 3.5 pH: 7.6 CEC: 27.0
Planted: May 16th	P: Very High K: Very High
Harvested: September 28th	Mn: High B: High
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover
Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot	sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 16.14 in. Beets/100 ft: 233

ication: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa - Foliar 7" band Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5' band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic	Applic Method	RWST	Vig	or*	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	% SUC	% C IP	Beets/ 100 ft
			, ming	Method		31-Aug	24-Jul				300	CJF	8-Jun
3	UAN 28%	8 gal			222	9.1	9.2	\$1,765	7061	31.8	15.7	93.4	228
	10 - 34 - 0	6 gal											
	Thio-Sul	4 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Sure Activate	2 qt	/ ter lant	2/12									
	Sure Plen-T Sweet	1 pt											
	Sure Z/Micro	6 fl oz											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr									
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz											
2	UAN 28%	8 gal			218	9.2	8.8	\$1,905	7619	34.9	15.3	93.9	229
	10 - 34 - 0	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	Thio-Sul	4 gal											
	Azteroid FC 3.3	6.3 fl oz											
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz	At Plant	In-Furr									
	Sure Crop Pop-Up	3 gal							0011		1 = 1		
1	UAN 28%	8 gal		0)/0	206	9.2	9.3	\$1,660	6641	32.2	15.4	91.1	233
	10 - 34 - 0 This Out	6 gal	At Plant	2X2									
	I NIO-SUI	4 gai											
	Azterold FC 3.3	6.3 TI OZ	At Plant	In-Furr									
	Mustang Maxx	4 fl oz											
A	/erage				216	9.2	9.1	\$1,783	7075	32.7	15.6	92.8	233.0
LSD 5%			N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.A	N.S.	2.1	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
C	V%				6.0	3.5	4.3	N.A	9.2	4.2	2.7	2.7	7.8

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This study was designed to test plant health products from Sure Crop for improvements in sugar content and yield.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fertilizer and application cost.



Clover vs Radish Trial Sylvester Farms, Fairgrove - 2023

Trial Quality:	Good	Soil Type:	Loam	Rhiz Control:	Foliar 4-6 lf (12 oz)
Variety:	C-G932NT	Fertilizer:	2x2: 8 gal 28%, 5 gal 10-		
Planted:	April 13th		34-0, 7 gal Thiosul, 1 qt		
Harv/Samp:	September 28th		28%	Cerc Control:	Low level : 7
Plot Size:	6 reps	Prev Crop:	Wheat - see treatments		applications
Row Spacing:	20 inch	Weather: Dr	y early, Wet late	Other Pests:	Sugarbeet Cyst
Seeding Rate:	64,000				Nematode

Treatment	t Net \$/A Gross \$/A RWSA RWST T/A		T/A	% Sugar	% CJP	Populations 100 Ft. of		
								32 Day
Clover	\$2,588	\$2,613	10453	276	37.8	18.5	95.3	189
Check	\$2,559	\$2,559	10236	269	38.0	18.1	95.4	165
Radish	\$2,463	\$2,551	10205	266	38.3	38.3 17.8		183
Average	\$2,537	\$2,574	10298	271	38.0	18.1	95.3	179
LSD 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	8.5	N.S.	0.5	N.S.	22.8
CV %	4.5	4.4	4.4	2.5	2.5	2.0	0.2	9.9

Comments: Here in Michigan, a popular crop to have in rotation with sugarbeet is wheat. Often, beets will be planted after wheat that has had some type of cover crop grown after harvest. This trial examined the impact different types of cover crops have on sugarbeet yield. Two of the most common cover crops, red clover and oilseed radish, were compared along with a check which had no cover crop. The clover (50/50 Michigan Mammoth & medium red) was planted into the wheat with a drill in spring of 2022, and the oilseed radish (Defender) was planted with a drill following wheat harvest in the summer of 2022. The check had a herbicide application to control weeds. 2023 was the fourth year this trial was conducted. The results from the first year (2020 beet crop) showed that both cover crops had a positive impact on yield, increasing RWSA, tons per acre, and gross revenue as compared to the check, while in the second year (2021 beet crop), the clover cover treatment had a significantly lower RWSA, RWST, % sugar, and gross revenue as compared to the other two treatments. When expenses were included, there was no significant difference between any of the treatments. For the 2022 beet crop, the radish treatment had significantly higher tons per acre, RWSA, gross revenue, and net revenue than the other two treatments. In the fourth year of trialing (2023 beet crop), % sugar and RWST were significantly higher for the clover and check treatments over the radish treatment. Tonnage trended higher in the radish treatment although not significant. Revenue was not significant between treatments, neither gross or net. Dead beet counts were not taken in 2023, but in the previous three years of testing, the radish treatment had significantly fewer dead beets than the clover treatment. Expenses for each treatment were kept the same year after year, and are as follows: Clover, \$25 total per acre, including \$20/acre for seed (\$2/lb, 10lb/acre) and \$5/acre frost seeding with a UTV; Radish, \$88.25 total per acre, including \$26.25/acre for seed (\$1.75/lb, 15lb/acre), \$15/acre seeding with grain drill, \$15/acre tillage (vertical tillage or high speed disk), and \$32/acre nitrogen (\$0.36/lb, 75lb/acre, \$5/acre application); No Cover Crop Check, \$10/acre for herbicide application. To see the results from the first year, go to page 78 of the 2020 REACh Research Results book. To see the results from the second year, go to page 84 of the 2021 REACh Research Results book. For results from 2022, see page 115 of the 2022 REACh Research Results book.

\$/A: Gross dollars per acre calculated using \$.25 per pound of RWSA; Net includes costs in the comments.





AgBioResearch MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Sugarbeet Yield Response to Intensive Nutrient Management

Maria Kenneth Lane Suplito, Graduate Student Kurt Steinke, Associate Professor, Soil Fertility and Nutrient Management Michigan State University See <u>soil.msu.edu</u> for more information

Location: Saginaw Valley Research & Extension Center	Pre-plant soil: 7.7 pH, 2.8% OM,			
	16 CEC, 20 ppm Olsen P, 171 ppm K			
Planting Date: 27 April 2023 (Harvest 24 Oct 2023)	Replications: 4			
Variety and Population: C-G049 (4 in. spacing)	Tillage: Conventional, 30-in. row			

Treatment Name	Fertilizer	Fertilizer grade	Amount (A ⁻¹)	Placement	Timing
Standard N (SN)	Urea ammonium nitrate (UAN)	28-0-0	13.3 gal	2x2	Planting
	UAN	28-0-0	40 gal	Side-dress	2-4 LF
SN + in-furrow P	liquid ammonium phosphate	10-34-0	5 gal	In-furrow	Planting
SN + PPI ag. lime	Agricultural lime	32% Ca	2 tons	Broadcast	Pre-planting
SN + SD ATS	UAN	28-0-0	13.3 gal	2x2	Planting
	UAN	28-0-0	37.5 gal	Side-dress	2-4 LF
	ATS	12-0-0-26S	5.6 gal	Side-dress	2-4 LF
SN + Foliar B	Sodium borate	16.5% B	0.5 lb	Foliar	Weekly in July
$SN + Band K_2O$	K ₂ O L1qu1d	0-0-28	30.8 gal	Band	Early July
SN + Late N	UAN	28-0-0	26.7 gal	Side-dress	2-4 LF
Tutur	UAN	28-0-0	13.3 gal	Side-dress	ZWASD
treatments)	Agricultural lime	32% Ca	2 tons	Broadcast	Pre-planting
	UAN	28-0-0	13.3 gal	2x2	Planting
	liquid ammonium phosphate	10-34-0	5 gal	In-furrow	Planting
	UAN	28-0-0	24.2 gal	Side-dress	2-4 LF
	ATS	12-0-0-26S	5.6 gal	Side-dress	2-4 LF
	UAN	28-0-0	13.3 gal	Side-dress	2WASD
	Sodium pentaborate	14% B	0.5 lb	Foliar	Weekly in July
	K ₂ O Liquid	0-0-28	30.8 gal	Band	Early July
Nontreated check	No fertilizer added		NA	NA	NA

Table 1. Fertilizer rate, timing, and methods of application.

Summary: As spring weather variability and unpredictability of disease occurrence continue to increase along with better recognition of soil spatial differences, growers are increasingly looking for more intensive nutrient management strategies. While individual added fertilizers associated with sugar beet yield gaps were previously explored, their combined influence with Michigan standard N management (160 lbs. N A⁻¹) to improve root yield and recoverable sugar within an intensive management perspective has not yet been investigated. The objective of this study was to investigate the root yield and recoverable sugar response of sugar beet to different fertilization practices reflecting a stepwise increase in management intensity using as baseline standard N treatment (160 lbs. N A⁻¹). Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Treatments represented stepwise increases in management intensity from 1) a baseline of 160 lbs N acre⁻¹ (Standard N, SN), 2) SN + in-furrow P, 3) SN + PPI Lime, 4) SN + SD ATS, 5) SN + Foliar B, 6) SN + Liquid K₂O, 7) SN + late-applied N, 8) All treatment combinations SN + in-furrow P + PPI Lime + SD ATS + Foliar B + Liquid K₂O + Late N, and 9) nontreated check (Table 1).

Trial quality was good. Growing season precipitation differed by -19% for 2023 (April – October) from the 30-year mean. June 2023 rainfall was reduced by -55%. July and August precipitation increased by +96% and +55%. The warmer soil temperatures during establishment improved emergence.

Root Yield and Recoverable Sugar

The mean root yield of standard N treatment (SN) was 34.8 tons A^{-1} and significantly increased by pre-plant broadcast lime (SN + PPI ag. lime, +5.3 tons A^{-1}) and in-furrow P (SN + in-furrow P, +6.1 tons A^{-1}) (Table 2). Intensive management also increased root yield by +5.9 tons A^{-1} . All fertilizer treatments except non-treated check surpassed Michigan's root yield average (> 28.8 tons A^{-1}).

The average recoverable sugar of SN was 260.2 lbs. RWST and 9,064.9 lbs. RWSA (Table 2). The intensive management increased recoverable sugar by +14.2 lbs. RWST and +2,078.6 lbs. RWSA. Regarding added individual treatments, SN + PPI ag. lime increased recoverable sugar by +16.8 lbs. RWST and +2,032.4 lbs. RWSA. Also, SN + in-furrow P added +1,950.6 lbs. RWSA.

Another important aspect of recoverable sugar is sugar percentage and purity extraction. All treatments other than banded liquid K₂O increased the % sugar (Table 3). Purity extraction remained comparable across fertilizer treatments (Table 3, p = 0.8634).

Using orthogonal contrasts, a group comparison of fertilizer treatments was performed (Table 4). Implementing intensive management increased root yield (p = 0.0242) and recoverable sugar (p = 0.0067, 0.0067) as compared with standard N treatment.

Expected Economic Profit

The mean treatment costs and potential economic profitability (PEP) of SN were USD 111.2 A⁻¹ and USD 2,016.0 A⁻¹, respectively (Table 5). All fertilizer treatments significantly increased the treatment costs (USD 26.9 – 676.6 A⁻¹, p < 0.0001) with intensive management having the highest additional cost, followed by SN + Band K (USD 524.1 A⁻¹). Consequently, SN + Band K significantly reduced the PEP by USD -629.16 A⁻¹ (p = 0.002). Application of pre-plant agricultural lime and in-furrow P increased PEP by USD +448.8 A⁻¹ (p = 0.0194) and USD +436.4 A⁻¹ (p = 0.0226), accordingly.

Preliminary Conclusions

Intensive management increased both root yield and recoverable sugar. However, due to the high treatment cost, it did not significantly increase potential profit. The early-season added fertilizers (in-furrow P or pre-plant agricultural lime) increased root yield and recoverable sugar thereby improving potential profit. The presence of precipitation (0.6 inches) and critical soil test P concentrations in the responsive range supported successful in-furrow P fertilization. The limited influence of pre-plant broadcast agricultural lime on root yield and recoverable sugar (2022, no impact; 2023, positive) and early-season Mn deficiency at 6-8 leaf stage demonstrate the variable impacts of liming alkaline soils especially during dry soil conditions. Further investigation might be necessary to determine how long it takes for liming materials to start changing soil properties that may negatively affect sugar beet production.

Table 2. Sugarbeet yield and recoverable sugar (RWST and RWSA) at Richville, MI, 2023. Mean sugarbeet yield and recoverable sucrose of standard nitrogen treatment (SN) displayed. All other treatments display change in sugarbeet yield or recoverable sugar using a single degree of freedom contrasts[†].

	Root Yield ‡	Recoverable Sugar				
Treatment	——T A ⁻¹ ——	——RWST——	RWSA			
Standard N (SN)	34.8	260.2	9,064.9			
SN + in-furrow P	$+6.1^{**}$	+9.4	$+1,950.6^{**}$			
SN + PPI Lime	$+5.3^{**}$	$+16.8^{**}$	$+2,032.4^{**}$			
SN + SD ATS	+1.9	+7.7	+757.5			
SN + Foliar B	-1.7	+10.5	-121.4			
SN + Liquid K ₂ O	-2.3	+4.1	-456.5			
SN + Late N	-1.1	+8.2	-31.4			
Intensive (all treatments)	+5.9**	$+14.2^{*}$	+2,078.6**			
Nontreated check	22.1	263.3	5,874.7			

 \dagger Asterisks indicate thresholds of significance (*, P < 0.10; **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001). Nontreated check is not included in the analysis.

 \ddagger Michigan 2022 average sugar beet yield = 28.8 tons A⁻¹ <u>Field Crops.pdf (usda.gov)</u>

		Sugar	Purity
Treatment		%	
Standard N (SN)		17.5 d	96.1
SN + In-furrow P		18.0 abc	95.9
SN + PPI Ag. lime		18.5 a	95.9
SN + SD ATS		17.9 bc	96.0
SN + Foliar B		18.1 abc	95.9
SN + Band K		17.8 cd	96.0
SN + Late N		18.0 bc	95.9
Intensive		18.3 ab	95.9
	P > F	**	NS
Non-treated Check		17.6	95.9

Table 3. Sugarbeet sucrose percentage and purity extraction as influenced by the multiple fertilizer treatments applied in early and mid-season growth stages at Richville, MI., 2022-2023.§

\$ Asterisks indicate thresholds of significance (NS, P> 0.10, *, P < 0.10; **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001). Nontreated check is not included in the analysis.

Table 4. Sugarbeet yield and recoverable sugar using single degree of freedom contrasts at Richville, MI, 2023. §

	Root Yield	Recov	erable Sugar
Treatment	——T A ⁻¹ ——	RWST	RWSA
SN vs. Intensive	0.0242	0.0067	0.0067

§ Non-treated checks are not included in the analysis.

Table 5. Treatment costs (fertilizer and application) and potential economic profitability at Richville, MI, 2023. Mean treatment costs and potential economic profitability of standard nitrogen treatment (SN) are displayed. All other treatments display change using a single degree of freedom contrasts §.

	Treatment Cost	Potential Profitability
Treatment †		-USD A ⁻¹
Standard N (SN)	111.2	2,016.0
SN + In-furrow P	$+26.9^{***}$	$+436.4^{**}$
SN + PPI Ag. lime	$+38.0^{***}$	$+448.8^{**}$
SN + SD ATS	$+17.5^{***}$	+164.2
SN + Foliar B	$+30.1^{***}$	-53.6
SN + Band K	$+524.1^{***}$	-629.2**
SN + Late N	$+11.3^{***}$	-14.7
Intensive	$+676.6^{***}$	-180.4
Non-treated Check	0.00	1,380.3

 \S Asterisks indicate thresholds of significance (*, P < 0.10; **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001). Nontreated check is not included in the analysis.





AgBioResearch MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Adjusting Nitrogen Management for Early and Conventional Sugarbeet Harvest

Spencer Fleming, Graduate Student Kurt Steinke, Associate Professor, Soil Fertility and Nutrient Management Michigan State University See <u>soil.msu.edu</u> for more information

Location: Saginaw Valley Research & Extension Center	Variety: C-G049
Population: 52,000 (4-in. spacing)	Tillage: Conventional, 30-inch rows
Soil Type: Tappan-Londo-Loam	Replications: 4
Planting date: 27 April 2023 (Harvest 21 Aug 2023 -	Pre-plant soil : 7.7 pH, 2.5% OM,
Early, 24 Oct 2023- Regular)	14 CEC, 14 ppm Olsen P, 141 ppm K

Summary: There are very few data indicating how early or how late N can be applied to sugarbeet and the resultant effects on sugar quality. Although the early harvest campaign is critical to ensure beet processing is complete by the end of March, early sugarbeet harvests compound the N application issue as a significant percentage of greater N rates are utilized by the plant later in the season. Weather variability continues to impact Michigan sugarbeet acres resulting in extremely dry or moderately wet time periods that not only affect N response but may also push early harvest beet acres to later harvest or regular harvest beet acres may get moved earlier based upon state yield and sugar estimates. When these changes occur, producers have little data available to help determine how much N or how early or late N can be applied and what impacts these changes have on sugar quality. Additional data on nutrient management adjustments in-season are critical to help producers adjust to uncertain weather and harvest logistics.

Treatments were arranged as a randomized complete block split-plot design with four replications. The whole plot factor consisted of harvest timing (early vs. conventional). Fertilizer strategy was the subplot factor and split into two rates of starter fertilizer (starter vs no starter at 60 lb. N/A applied 2x2) and two sidedress N rates of low (60 lb N/A) vs high (100 lb. N/A) applied in either early June, July, or August.

Preliminary Results

Early 2023 growing season (April-June) precipitation was down -48% from the 30-year average with April cumulative precipitation down 15% below average. Row fill (%) was slowed in treatments receiving starter fertilizer due to some saltation during extremely dry soil conditions. May and June rainfall remained -72% and -56% below the 30-year average resulting in slower row closure with starter fertilizer treatments. Once precipitation resumed mid-season (July-

August), row closure recovered with few differences between the starter/no starter application. Overall growing season precipitation (April-October) was below the 30-year average by -13%.

Harvest Timing: Regarding harvest date, conventional harvest timing obtained significant increases of +17.9 T/A, +81.4 RWST, and +4.3% sucrose as compared to early harvest timing (Table 3). In this current study year, the conventional harvest timing had an additional 64 days of growing season. Profitability was also significantly affected with mean profit from early harvest at \$981/A as compared to \$1999/A conventional harvest (Table 6). Treatment costs and individual treatment profitability can be found in tables 4 and 5.

Early Harvest: No significant differences occurred from starter fertilizer or sidedress application timing or rate on root yield, purity, or RWSA (Table 2). Compared to a zero N plot, 60 lb N/A as starter without any sidedress N treatment reduced sugar % by 0.6%, increased tonnage 0.6 T/A, and reduced RWST by 11 lbs. Among early harvest treatments not receiving starter fertilizer, no % sugar or RWST differences occurred between June or July sidedress timings but the August sidedress timing, as expected, did begin to reduce both % sugar and RWST as the August sidedress application timing was only ~3 weeks prior to the early harvest Among early harvest treatments receiving starter fertilizer, few % sugar or RWST differences occurred between the June, July, or August sidedress timings or rates with the exception for starter plus low rate of July sidedress significantly improved both % sugar and RWST. Despite potential profitability ranging between \$835/A to \$1103/A, no statistical differences due to starter application, sidedress timing, or sidedress rate were observed (Table 5).

Conventional Harvest: No significant differences occurred from starter fertilizer or sidedress application timing or rate on root yield, % sugar, purity, RWSA, or RWST (Table 2). Tonnage for this harvest timing ranged from 22.9 to 37.0 T/A. Starter fertilizer applied as 60 lb N/A 2x2 at-planting did result in about a 4 T/A difference as compared to no starter application. Despite potential profitability ranging between \$1430/A to \$2296/A, no statistical differences due to starter application, sidedress timing, or sidedress rate were observed (Table 5).

Preliminary Conclusions

Projects such as this are not intended to highlight the pitfalls or benefits from any single nutrient management practice within an individual growing season but rather to gather more data that will allow the grower to remain fluid with their N management practices. Scenarios will arise (e.g., extreme weather conditions) where growers may have to pivot from early to conventional harvest or vice versa. The extremely dry start to the 2023 growing season undoubtedly influenced both sugarbeet growth and development and N movement and availability within the rootzone. Field studies will continue in 2024 to gain additional information on how early or how late N applications can be made and the corresponding changes in sugar quality.

Treatment	Fertilizer	Fertilizer	Rate	Amount	Placement	Timing†
Name	applied	grade	(N A ⁻¹)	(A ⁻¹)		
No Starter + June SD (low)	Urea ammonium nitrate (UAN)	~	~	~	~	~
	UAN	AN 28-0-0 60 lb. 20.2 gal		Side-dress	6-8 LF	
No Starter + June SD (high)	UAN	~	~	~	~	~
		28-0-0	100 lb.	33.6 gal	Side-dress	6-8 LF
No Starter + July SD (low)	UAN	~	~	~	~	~
		28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	Side-dress	14-16 LF
No Starter + July SD (high)	UAN	~	~	~	~	~
		28-0-0	100 lb.	33.6 gal	Side-dress	14-16 LF
No Starter + August SD	UAN	2	~	~	~	~
(low)		28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	Side-dress	16-18 LF
No Starter + August SD	UAN	~	~	~	~	~
(high)		28-0-0	100 lb.	33.6 gal	Side-dress	16-18 LF
No Starter + No SD	~	~	~	~	~	~
Starter + June SD (low)	UAN	28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	2x2	Planting
		28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	Side-dress	6-8 LF
Starter + June SD (high)	UAN	28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	2x2	Planting
		28-0-0	100 lb.	33.6 gal	Side-dress	6-8 LF
Starter + July SD (low)	UAN	28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	2x2	Planting
		28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	Side-dress	14-16 LF
Starter + July SD (high)	UAN	28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	2x2	Planting
		28-0-0	100 lb.	33.6 gal	Side-dress	14-16 LF
Starter + August SD	UAN	28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	2x2	Planting
(low)		28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	Side-dress	16-18 LF
Starter + August SD	UAN	28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	2x2	Planting
(high)		28-0-0	100 lb.	33.6 gal	Side-dress	16-18 LF
Starter + No SD	UAN	28-0-0	60 lb.	20.2 gal	2x2	Planting
		\sim	\sim	\sim	\sim	~

Table 1. Sugar beet treatment design and application timing, Richville, MI, 2023.

Application Dates: Planting (Starter 2x2) – 27 April 2023; 6-8 leaf stage (side dress)– 01 June 2023;
14-16 leaf stage (side dress) – 01 July 2023; 16-18 leaf stage (side dress) – 01 August 2023

Treatment	Root Yield	Sucrose	Purity	Recoverable Sugar		
Early Harvest	-T A ⁻¹ -	%		-RWSA (lbs.)-	-RWST (lbs.)-	
NS* + June SD** (low)	13.9	14.0 bc	94.24	2767	199 bc	
NS + June SD (high)	14.3	13.7 cde	93.53	2750	193 cdef	
NS + July SD (low)	15.7	14.0 bcd	94.56	3049	197 bcd	
NS + July SD (high)	15.3	13.7 cde	94.42	2959	193 cdef	
NS + August (low)	12.8	13.3 f	93.4	2375	186 f	
NS + August (high)	15.2	13.5 ef	94.19	2887	189 ef	
NS + No SD	12.2	14.8 a	93.78	2636	214 a	
S*** + June SD (low)	15.4	13.5 ef	93.68	2685	190 def	
S + June SD (high)	16.4	13.7 cdef	93.81	3201	194 cde	
S + July SD (low)	15.7	14.2 b	93.74	3179	203 b	
S + July SD (high)	14.7	13.5 ef	93.64	2818	192 cdef	
S + August SD (low)	12.4	13.6 def	93.31	2383	193 cdef	
S + August SD (high)	15.3	13.7 cdef	93.64	2969	194 cde	
S + No SD	12.8	14.2 b	94.13	2602	203 b	
p-value	NS	0.0593	NS	NS	0.0403	
Conventional Harvest	-T A ⁻¹ -	%		-RWSA (lbs.)-	-RWST (lbs.)-	
NS + June SD (low)	33.1	18.3	95.86	9085	275	
NS + June SD (high)	37.0	18.2	95.94	10062	272	
NS + July SD (low)	29.9	18.3	96.07	8179	273	
NS + July SD (high)	34.1	18.3	96.17	9340	273	
NS + August (low)	28.7	18.3	95.71	7841	274	
NS + August (high)	27.6	17.6	96.05	7457	269	
NS + No SD	22.9	17.8	95.96	6088	264	
S + June SD (low)	35.8	18.8	96.06	10075	282	
S + June SD (high)	36.4	18.1	95.85	10125	273	
S + July SD (low)	35.5	18.4	95.93	9783	276	
S + July SD (high)	34.0	18.2	95.86	9317	273	
S + August SD (low)	33.9	17.8	95.95	8998	266	
S + August SD (high)	33.9	18.0	95.78	8873	262	
S + No SD	29.8	18.1	96.05	8108	272	
p-value	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table 2. Fertilizer effects on sugar beet root yield, recoverable sugar (RWST and RWSA), sucrose concentration, and purity, Richville, MI, 2023. †

*No Starter, **Side-dress, ***Starter

[†] Treatments were compared at 0.10 probability level, LSD. Values followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different.

Treatment	Root Yield	Recoverable Sugar	Sucrose
	T A-1		%
Early Harvest	14.42 b	193.98 b	13.83 b
Conventional Harvest	32.32 a	275.41 a	18.14 a
p-value	<0.0001	0.0002	0.0002

Table 3. Harvest date effects on sugar beet root yield, recoverable sugar (RWST), and sucrose concentration, Richville, MI, 2023. †

[†] Treatments were compared at 0.10 probability level, LSD. Values followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different.

<u>U</u>			
Treatment	Fertilizer Cost ††	Application Cost [*]	Total Treatment Cost
		US\$ A ⁻¹	
NS + June SD (low)	36.36 d	11.3 b	47.66 d
NS + June SD (high)	60.54 c	11.3 b	71.84 c
NS + July SD (low)	36.26 d	11.3 b	47.66 d
NS + July SD (high)	60.54 c	11.3 b	71.84 c
NS + August (low)	36.36 d	11.3 b	47.66 d
NS + August (high)	60.54 c	11.3 b	71.84 c
NS + No SD	0 e	0 e	0 f
S + June SD (low)	72.73 b	14.28 a	87.01 b
S + June SD (high)	96.90 a	14.28 a	111.18 a
S + July SD (low)	72.73 b	14.28 a	87.01 b
S + July SD (high)	96.90 a	14.28 a	111.18 a
S + August SD (low)	72.73 b	14.28 a	87.01 b
S + August SD (high)	96.90 a	14.28 a	111.18 a
S + No SD	36.36 d	2.98 d	39.34 e
p-value	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001

Table 4. Sugar beet fertilizer and application costs, Richville, MI, 2023. †

†† UAN \$340 T⁻¹

2023 Custom Machine and Work Rate Estimates.

.https://www.canr.msu.edu/farm_management/uploads/files/2023%20MSU%20Custom%20Work%20Rates.pdf

Treatment	Gross Income¶*	Treatment Cost	Trucking Cost‡	Potential Profitability§
Early Harvest		US\$	A-1	
NS + June SD (low)	1101.73	47.66 d	69.51	984.54
NS + June SD (high)	1095.25	71.84 c	71.14	952.26
NS + July SD (low)	1228.45	47.66 d	78.13	1102.66
NS + July SD (high)	1178.22	71.84 c	76.38	1029.99
NS + August (low)	945.91	47.66 d	63.65	834.60
NS + August (high)	1149.56	71.84 c	76.00	1001.70
NS + No SD	1049.76	0 f	61.03	988.73
S + June SD (low)	1160.18	87.01 b	76.63	996.54
S + June SD (high)	1274.47	111.18 a	82.00	1081.28
S + July SD (low)	1266.03	87.01 b	78.25	1100.77
S + July SD (high)	1122.02	111.18 a	73.26	937.57
S + August SD (low)	949.17	87.01 b	61.65	800.51
S + August SD (high)	1182.19	111.18 a	76.50	994.50
S + No SD	1036.21	39.34 e	63.90	932.96
p-value	NS	<0.0001	NS	NS
Conv. Harvest		US\$	A-1	
NS + June SD (low)	2271.23	47.66 d	132.30	2091.27
NS + June SD (high)	2515.59	71.84 c	147.86	2295.88
NS + July SD (low)	2044.73	47.66 d	119.48	1877.59
NS + July SD (high)	2334.97	71.84 c	136.41	2126.72
NS + August (low)	1960.27	47.66 d	114.63	1797.97
NS + August (high)	1864.26	71.84 c	110.40	1682.01
NS + No SD	1522.1	0 f	91.73	1430.37
S + June SD (low)	2518.72	87.01 b	143.12	2288.59
S + June SD (high)	2488.24	111.18 a	145.62	2231.43
S + July SD (low)	2445.68	87.01 b	142.13	2216.54
S + July SD (high)	2329.21	111.18 a	136.15	2081.87
S + August SD (low)	2249.57	87.01 b	135.78	2026.78
S + August SD (high)	2218.17	111.18 a	135.65	1971.33
S + No SD	2026.95	39.34 e	119.10	1868.51
p-value	NS	<0.0001	NS	NS

Table 5. Sugar beet economic profitability, Richville, MI, 2023. †

[†] Treatments were compared at 0.10 probability level, LSD. Values followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different.

¶ Gross Income = root yield (ton A^{-1}) × RSWA × US\$ 0.25 lb⁻¹

 \ddagger Trucking cost = US\$4 ton⁻¹

§ Potential Profitability = Gross Income – (Treatment Cost + Trucking Cost)

*Early Harvest Yield and RWST converted through 2023 Michigan Sugar Early Delivery Premiums (9/5/2023), yield factor = early harvest root yield x 1.2481, RWST factor = early harvest RWST x 1.2762

Table 6. Harve	st date effects or	n sugar beet	profitability,	Richville,	MI, 2023.	†
		4 7		,	,	

Treatment	Potential Profitability
	US\$ A ⁻¹
Early Harvest	981.3 b
Conventional Harvest	1999.06 a
p-value	0.0002

[†] Treatments were compared at 0.10 probability level, LSD. Values followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different.



Trial Quality: Good

Variety: C-G932NT

Planted: April 24th

Row Spacing: 22 in.

Harvested: September 25th

Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft, 4 reps

PIONEER · BIG CHIEF Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 18.35 in. Beets/100 ft: 128

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 30 psi, 15.3 gpa Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

Soil Info: Clay Loam

P: Very High K: High

Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover

Mn: High B: High

% OM: 3.1 pH: 7.6 CEC: 18.3

Added N: 35 lbs. 2x2, 120 lbs. sidedress

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Spray Damage <u>* 0-10</u>	Weed Damage ** 0-10	Vigor***	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% suc	% CJP
38	Azterknot + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	24.3 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	2 lf	9-Jun 0.0	21-Jun 1.8	21-Aug 7.8	\$3,301	10487	266	39.5	18.1	94.8
39	Azterknot + Roundup Powemax + Ammonium Sulfate + Warrant	24.3 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 3 pt	2 lf	0.0	2.1	7.8	\$3,163	10104	258	39.2	17.6	94.6
40	Azterknot + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Warrant + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	24.3 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 3 pt + 2 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf	0.0	2.3	8.2	\$3,059	9802	270	36.2	18.3	95.0
37	Azterknot	24.3 fl oz	2 lf	0.0	6.1	7.8	\$3,260	10347	273	37.9	18.5	94.9
25	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	0.1	0.3	8.3	\$3,236	10278	265	38.8	17.9	94.9
	Warrant + Roundup PowerMax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 lf									
27	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	0.1	0.3	8.0	\$3,317	10588	272	39.0	18.2	95.4
	Warrant + Roundup PowerMax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
3	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	0.1	0.3	7.8	\$3,214	10219	272	37.6	18.3	95.2
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. +2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
7	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	0.1	0.3	7.7	\$3,197	10190	272	37.5	18.2	95.5
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
19	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	0.1	0.4	7.8	\$3,242	10373	268	38.7	18.3	94.5
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
15	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	0.1	0.4	8.3	\$3,134	10002	272	36.8	18.2	95.4
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
23	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	0.1	0.3	8.1	\$3,270	10507	268	39.3	18.4	94.3
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									

Spray Damage* Ratings are on a scale of 0-10, 0 = no spray damage and 10 = total spray damage

Weed Damage** Weed Ratings are taken on a 0-10 scale, 0 = no weeds and 10 total weed coverage.

Vigor*** 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

				Spray	Weed							
No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic	Damage	Damage	Vigor***	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	%	%
			Timing	<u>* 0-10</u> 9- lun	<u>** 0-10</u> 21 lun	21-Aug					SUC	CJP
31	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	0.1	0.7	8.8	\$3,218	10309	276	37.3	18.6	95.2
	Warrant + Roundup	3 pt + 24 fl oz +										
	Powermax + Ammonium	17 lbs. + 2 fl oz +	2 lf									
	Maxx + Excalia	4 fl oz + 2 fl oz										
35	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	0.2	0.3	8.8	\$3,244	10437	270	38.6	18.3	95.0
	Warrant + Roundup	3 pt + 24 fl oz +										
	Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang	17 lbs. + 2 fl oz +	2 lf									
	Maxx + Excalia	4 fl oz + 2 fl oz										
5	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	0.2	0.4	7.8	\$2,993	9497	266	35.7	18.1	94.7
	Roundup Powermax +	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. +	2 If									
	+ Mustang Maxx	2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 11									
11	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	0.2	0.5	8.3	\$3,226	10327	269	38.4	18.3	94.6
	Roundup Powermax +	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. +	0.15									
	Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	211									
21	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	0.3	0.4	7.8	\$3,102	9927	270	36.8	18.3	94.8
	Outlook + Roundup	1 pt + 24 fl oz +					. ,					
	Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang	17 lbs + 2 fl oz +	2 lf									
33	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	0.4	0.4	8.3	\$3,330	10652	263	40.4	17.9	94.8
	Warrant + Roundup	3 pt + 24 fl oz +										
	Powermax + Ammonium	17 lbs. + 2 fl oz +	2 lf									
	Maxx	4 fl oz										
29	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	0.5	0.5	8.7	\$3,209	10227	269	38.0	18.3	94.8
	Warrant + Roundup	3 pt + 24 fl oz +										
	Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang	17 lbs. + 2 fl oz +	2 lf									
	Махх	4 fl oz										
1	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	0.5	0.9	8.0	\$2,952	9338	269	34.7	18.2	94.8
	Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger	24 fl oz + 17 lbs +	2 lf									
	+ Mustang Maxx	2 fl oz + 4 fl oz										
17	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	0.6	0.4	7.8	\$3,148	10025	265	37.8	18.0	94.8
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs + 2 fl oz + 17 lbs + 2 fl oz + 17 lbs + 12 fl oz + 1	2 lf									
	Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang	4 fl oz	2									
9	Ethotron	3 p t	Pre	0.8	0.7	8.1	\$3,422	10889	273	39.9	18.5	94.9
	Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. +	2 lf									
	+ Mustang Maxx	2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 11									
13	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	0.9	0.6	7.8	\$3,236	10270	266	38.6	18.0	94.9
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs + 2 fl oz +	2 If									
	Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang	4 fl oz	211									
2	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	4.4	0.8	7.5	\$3,164	10058	272	36.9	18.3	95.3
	Roundup Powermax +	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. +	2 If									
	+ Mustang Maxx	2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	211									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz	0.15									
	Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	+ 17 lbs.	6 It									

Spray Damage* Ratings are on a scale of 0-10, 0 = no spray damage and 10 = total spray damage

Weed Damage** Weed Ratings are taken on a 0-10 scale, 0 = no weeds and 10 total weed coverage.

Vigor* 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

(Page 3 of 8)

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Spray Damage <u>* 0-10</u>	Weed Damage ** 0-10	Vigor***	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
00	Duel Magnetic	0.41.0-	Due	9-Jun	21-Jun	21-Aug	¢0.704	0050	000	24.0	477	05.4
20	Dual Magnum Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz 16 fl oz + 24 fl oz	2 lf	4.7	0.4	7.8	\$2,794	8920	202	34.2	17.7	95.1
	Sulfate	+ 17 lbs.										
6	Dual Magnum + Ethotron Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mutang Maxx	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	Pre 2 lf	4.9	0.3	8.3	\$3,125	9968	273	36.5	18.4	95.2
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
14	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	5.3	0.3	7.6	\$3,307	10549	264	39.9	17.9	94.9
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
36	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	5.3	0.5	8.6	\$3,191	10316	274	37.6	18.5	95.1
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Oltra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
8	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	5.6	0.3	7.7	\$3,357	10751	265	40.7	18.1	94.4
	Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mutang Maxx + Excalia	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
10	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	5.6	0.5	8.3	\$3,191	10222	268	38.2	18.1	95.0
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
16	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	5.6	0.8	7.6	\$3,074	9872	270	36.5	18.1	95.5
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Oitra Biazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									

Spray Damage* Ratings are on a scale of 0-10, 0 = no spray damage and 10 = total spray damage

Weed Damage** Weed Ratings are taken on a 0-10 scale, 0 = no weeds and 10 total weed coverage.

Vigor*** 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

(Page 4 of 8)

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Spray Damage <u>* 0-10</u> 9-Jun	Weed Damage ** 0-10 21-Jun	Vigor*** 21-Aug	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
4	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	5.8	1.2	7.7	\$3,256	10403	268	38.9	18.1	95.2
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Oltra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
28	Dual Magnum	8 fl oz	Pre	5.9	0.6	7.6	\$2,947	9484	267	35.5	18.0	95.2
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
18	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	5.9	0.8	7.9	\$2,547	8198	263	31.2	18.0	94.5
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
20	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz		5.9	0.3	8.3	\$2,984	9622	268	36.0	18.2	94.6
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	1 pt + 24 floz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
12	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	6.1	0.6	8.1	\$3,422	11001	275	40.0	18.2	96.0
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
22	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	6.2	0.8	7.9	\$3,256	10467	269	38.9	18.2	94.9
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
24	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	6.5	0.7	7.9	\$3,109	10061	267	37.7	18.3	94.5
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	1 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									

Spray Damage* Ratings are on a scale of 0-10, 0 = no spray damage and 10 = total spray damage

Weed Damage** Weed Ratings are taken on a 0-10 scale, 0 = no weeds and 10 total weed coverage.

 $\textbf{Vigor}^{\star\star\star}~$ 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	Spray Damage * 0-10	Weed Damage ** 0-10	Vigor***	Net \$/A	RWSA	RWST	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
			Ũ	9-Jun	21-Jun	21-Aug						
32	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	6.5	0.8	8.9	\$3,186	10265	274	37.5	18.4	95.1
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz + 2 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
34	Ethotron	3 pt	Pre	6.6	0.2	7.9	\$3,183	10249	274	37.4	18.4	95.3
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
30	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	8 fl oz + 16 fl oz	Pre	6.9	0.4	8.4	\$2,907	9336	267	35.0	18.0	95.1
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	3 pt + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs. + 2 fl oz + 4 fl oz	2 lf									
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	16 fl oz + 24 fl oz + 17 lbs.	6 lf									
A١	verage			2.7	0.8	8.0	\$3,162	10114	269	37.6	18.2	95.0
LSD 5%					1.1	0.9	337.1	1057.9	12.9	3.7	0.6	1.1
C/	/%			23.6	102.5	7.9	7.6	7.5	3.4	7.1	2.5	0.8

Spray Damage* Ratings are on a scale of 0-10, 0 = no spray damage and 10 = total spray damage

Weed Damage** Weed Ratings are taken on a 0-10 scale, 0 = no weeds and 10 total weed coverage.

Vigor*** 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: This study was designed to examine stand loss and injury potential from herbicides. Emergence was variable in the study. Neither Dual Maginum, Ethotron or the combination of both reduced stand. Treatments with Ultra Blazer resulted in significant crop injury.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.

2023 Research Results 90



Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

(Page 6 of 8)

No	Trastmont	Applic	Applic Date	Stand B/100 ft	Stand B/100 ft
NO.	Treatment	Timing		26-May	11-May
31	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	202	172
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
29	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	200	163
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
36	Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	189	153
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
32	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	185	141
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
19	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	180	150
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
35	Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	178	147
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
34	Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	175	142
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
30	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	172	122
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
17	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	171	143
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
7	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	167	134
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
20	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	167	143
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
6	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	164	128
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
8	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	164	122
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		



Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

(Page 7 of 8)

No	Treatment		Applic Date	Stand B/100 ft	Stand B/100 ft
NO.		Timing		26-May	11-May
24	Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	164	138
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
26	Dual Magnum	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	160	120
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
10	Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	160	131
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx +	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
33	Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	157	122
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
9	Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	156	127
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx +	2 lf	26-May		
5	Dual + Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	156	131
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx +	2 lf	26-May		
25	Dual Magnum	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	155	121
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
23	Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	155	136
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
1	Dual Magnum	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	152	118
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
37	Azterknot	2 lf	26-May	152	137
18	Dual Magnum + Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	152	123
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
40	Azterknot + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Warrant + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May	152	120
38	Azterknot + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	2 lf	26-May	151	125
15	Dual Magnum	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	150	125
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
12	Ethotron	Pre- Emerge	27-Apr	150	122
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		



Sylvester - Akron, MI - 2023

(Page 8 of 8)

No	Treatment	Applic	Applic Date	Stand B/100 ft	Stand B/100 ft
NO.		Timing		26-May	11-May
3	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	150	113
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
27	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	150	115
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
28	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	149	114
	Warrant + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
11	Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	148	132
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
16	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	144	115
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
2	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	144	115
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
4	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	144	127
	Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx + Excalia	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
22	Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	141	119
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
39	Azterknot + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Warrant	В		139	115
14	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	133	100
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
	Ultra Blazer + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate	6 lf	5-Jun		
21	Ethotron	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	133	111
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sultate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
13	Dual Magnum	Pre-Emerge	27-Apr	130	97
	Outlook + Roundup Powermax + Ammonium Sulfate + Stinger + Mustang Maxx	2 lf	26-May		
Ave	rage			159	128
LSD				38.8	40.8
CV	5%			17.5	22.7



Sylvastar	Nematode	Akron		2023
SVIVESIEI	INCINALUUC -	ALIUII,	1011 -	· 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Clay Loam
Variety: C-G932NT	% OM: 3.1 pH: 7.6 CEC: 18.3
Planted: April 24th	P: Very High K: High
Harvested: September 25th	Mn: High B: High
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Wheat/Clover
Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot s	prayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 18.35 in. Beets/100 ft: 110

Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic Timing	RWST	Weed* Damage 0- 10	Vigor** 0-10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	% SUC	% CJP
4	Dual II Magnum	9 o 7		280	21-Jun	21-Aug	¢2 526	11127	20.7	10.0	05.2
4	Ethotron	8 02 24 oz	Pre-Emerge	200	5.5	7.9	\$3,520	11127	39.7	10.0	90.5
7	Metamitron Ethotron	64 oz 32 oz	Pre-Emerge	272	5.2	7.4	\$3,239	10254	37.8	18.3	95.1
3	Dual II Magnum Ethotron	8 oz 16 oz	Pre-Emerge	270	6.0	7.8	\$3,231	10187	37.7	18.2	95.3
2	Dual II Magnum Ethotron	8 oz 8 oz	Pre-Emerge	270	5.1	7.6	\$3,186	10027	37.2	18.2	95.0
1	Dual II Magnum	8 oz	Pre-Emerge	270	6.5	7.8	\$3,225	10135	37.5	18.3	94.9
5	Dual II Magnum	8 oz	Pre-Emerge	267	3.4	7.5	\$3,362	10628	39.7	18.2	94.8
	Ethotron	32 oz	r to Emerge								
6	Metamitron	64 oz	Pre-Emerge	266	7.3	7.5	\$2,980	9379	35.3	18.2	94.5
A١	verage			271	5.3	7.6	\$3,250	10248	37.8	18.3	95.0
LS	SD 5%			12.4	3.0	N.S.	445.3	1396.7	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
C	/%			3.1	37.9	4.9	9.2	9.2	8.8	2.2	0.6

Weed Damage** Weed Ratings are taken on a 0-10 scale, 0 = no weeds and 10 total weed coverage.

**Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: Study was designed to test stand loss with Pre-emergence herbicides that can help manage water hemp. Stand loss was observed with Metamitron. A slight trend was observed for stand loss with higher rates of Ethotron when combined with Dual II Magnum.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost.



Pre Emerge Trial

Sylvester Nematode - Akron, MI - 2023

(Page 2 of 2)

No	Troatmont	Pato/A		Stand B/100 ft	Dead B/100 ft
NU.		Nale/A	Applic Tilling		
2	Dual II Magnum	8 oz	At Plant	120	145
	Ethotron	8 oz	At Plant		
3	Dual II Magnum	8 oz	At Plant	114	144
	Ethotron	16 oz	At Plant		
5	Dual II Magnum	8 oz	At Plant	113	131
	Ethotron	32 oz	At Plant		
1	Dual II Magnum	8 oz	At Plant	111	134
4	Dual II Magnum	8 oz	At Plant	108	131
	Ethotron	24 oz	At Plant		
6	Metamitron	64 oz	At Plant	105	122
7	Metamitron	64 oz	At Plant	101	123
	Ethotron	32 oz	At Plant		



Valent Excalia

Answer Plot - Bach, MI - 2023

Trial Quality: Good	Soil Info: Clay Loam
Variety: SX-2296N	% OM: 2.3 pH: 7.4 CEC: 12.3
Planted: May 15th	P: Very High K: High
Harvested: October 24th	Mn: High B: High
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps	Added N: 35 lbs. 2X2, 120 lbs. Sidedress
Row Spacing: 22 in.	Previous Crop: Corn & Soybeans
Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted	plot sprayer, compressed air, 15.3 gpa

Rhizoc Level: Low Cerc Control: Good Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 22.57 in. Beets/100 ft: 128

Monosem 6-row Agronomy Planter, compressed air, 30 psi, 9 gpa - IF, 3.5" band

No.	Treatment	Rate/A	Applic	RWST	Vigor* 0-10	Vigor* 0-10	Net \$/A	RWSA	T/A	%	%
			Timing		31-Aug	24-Jul				SUC	CJP
4	Dual II Magnum	21.3 fl oz	6 lf	269	8.4	8.7	\$2,544	10246	38.1	18.6	94.1
1	Untreated Check		-	266	8.9	9.0	\$2,524	10094	37.9	18.4	93.8
5	Stinger	4 fl oz	6 lf	265	8.7	8.8	\$2,525	10156	38.4	18.6	93.1
6	Excalia	2 fl oz		264	8.4	8.4	\$2,496	10175	38.4	18.7	92.7
	Roundup Powermax	32 fl oz									
	Dual II Magnum	21.3 fl oz	6 lf								
	Nortron	4 fl oz									
	Asana XL	9.6 fl oz									
3	Excalia	2 fl oz		250	8.9	8.9	\$2,453	10020	40.0	18.9	90.1
	Roundup Powermax	32 fl oz									
	Stinger	4 fl oz	6 lf								
	Asana XL	9.6 fl oz									
	Exponent Synergist	8 fl oz									
2	Excalia	2 fl oz		243	9.1	9.1	\$2,260	9263	38.0	18.5	90.0
	Roundup Powermax	32 fl oz									
	Dual II Magnum	21.3 fl oz	6 lf								
	Asana XL	9.6 fl oz									
	Exponent Synergist	8 fl oz									
A١	/erage			260	8.7	8.8	\$2.467	9992	38.5	18.6	92.3
LS	SD 5%			24.9	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	3.3
C	V%			6.4	6.4	6.5	10.6	10.5	7.4	3.3	2.3

*Vigor 0 to 10 ratings, 10 is the best

Comments: Study was designed to test crop injury with products tank-mixed wih Excalia fungicide. No injury was observed in this trial.

\$/A: Payment calculated using early delivery adjustment where necessary, and a per pound payment of \$.25 minus fungicide and application cost. Bold: Results are not statistically different from top-ranking treatment in each column.



Sugarbeet tolerance to Ultra Blazer alone and tank-mixed with Warrant

Christy Sprague and Brian Stiles II, Michigan State University

Location: Richville (SVREC)	Application timings: PRE (April 26), 2 lf beets (May 22),			
	6 lf beets (June 7), 12 lf beets (July 5)			
Planting Date: April 26, 2023	Herbicides: see treatments			
Soil Type: Sandy clay loam	O.M.: 2.1 pH: 8.0			
Replicated: 4 times	Variety: Crystal G049RR			

Table 1. Sugarbeet tolerance to POST applications of Ultra Blazer (acifluorfen) applied at various sugarbeet stages and with various mixtures, 7 d after the 6 lf, 14 d after the 12-lf application and in late-August.

		Injury	Injury	Injury		
Herbicide treatments ^a	Timing	(June 14)	(July 19)	(August 21)	Yield	RWSA
		<u> % </u>	%	%	-ton/A-	-lb/A-
Roundup PowerMax 3 (30/20/20 fl oz)	2-, 6-, 12 lf	0	0	0	25.6	5902
Ultra Blazer (12/12 fl oz)	6-, 12 lf	21*	17*	7*	21.0	4713
Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz)	6 lf	23*	0	0	27.5	6319
Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz) + Warrant (3 pt)	6 lf	14*	2	0	27.2	6271
Ultra Blazer (16/16 fl oz)	6-, 12 lf	24*	17*	7*	23.0	5139
Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz) + Warrant (3 pt)	6-, 12 lf	14*	16*	9*	21.9	4992
Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz) + NIS (0.25%)	6 lf	19*	1	0	26.7	5983
Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz) + Warrant (3 pt) + NIS (0.25%)	6 lf	11*	2	1	26.1	6129
Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz)	12 lf	0	17*	7*	24.5	5542
Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz) + Warrant (3 pt)	12 lf	0	14*	8*	25.3	5860
LSD _{0.05} ^c		3.2	2.7	3.5	4.89	1210

^a Roundup PowerMax 3 was included in all postemergence treatments at the rates listed in the first treatment. These treatments also included AMS at 17 lb/100 gal.

^b Injury, yield and RWSA data with asterisks (*) are significantly different than the Roundup PowerMax 3 alone control.

^c Means within a column greater than least significant difference (LSD) value are different from each other.

Summary: Currently, options are extremely limited for POST control of glyphosate-resistant waterhemp in sugarbeet. Ultra Blazer (aciflurofen) is a Group 14 herbicide that has activity on pigweed species. Over the past several years we have conducted research evaluating sugarbeet safety to POST applications of Ultra Blazer. Ultra Blazer injury to sugarbeet consists of leaf speckling/bronzing. From our past trials it appeared tank-mixing Warrant with Ultra Blazer may reduce sugarbeet injury compared with Ultra Blazer alone. Initial sugarbeet injury was lower when Warrant was applied with Ultra Blazer at either the 6- or 12-lf sugarbeet stage. The addition of Ultra Blazer or Ultra Blazer + Warrant did not affect yield or RWSA compared with Roundup PowerMax 3 applied alone. However, when Ultra Bazer was applied twice, alone or with Warrant sugarbeet yield and RWSA tended to be lower compared with the highest yielding treatment. This research helps support Michigan's Section 18 registration for Ultra Blazer applications on sugarbeets at the 6-leaf stage or larger at a 16 fl oz/A rate and may provide evidence to allow for the inclusion Warrant to Ultra Blazer applications in the future.

Sugarbeet tolerance with Rinskor

Christy Sprague and Brian Stiles II, Michigan State University

Location: Richville (SVREC)	Application timings: (A) cotyledon-2 lf beets (May 22),				
	(B) 4-6 lf beets (May 31)				
Planting Date: April 26, 2023	Herbicides: see treatments				
Soil Type: Sandy clay loam	O.M.: 2.1 pH: 8.0				
Replicated: 4 times	Variety: Crystal G049RR				

Table 1. Sugarbeet tolerance with Rinskor (florpyrauxifen) under weed-free conditions 7 d after the first application, and 15 and 48 d after the last application.

			Injury			
Herbicide treatments ^a	Timing	7 DA-A	15 DA-B	48 DA-B	Yield	RWSA
		%	<u> % </u>	%	-ton/A-	-lb/A
Weed-free		0	0	0	25.6	5971
Rinskor (1.37/1.37 fl oz)	A, B	2	11*	9*	26.0	6206
Rinskor (1.37/1.37 fl oz) +	A, B	3	18*	13*	24.6	5650
Rinskor (2.06/1.37 fl oz) +	A, B	7*	20*	17*	22.4	5005
Rinskor (2.74/1.37 fl oz) +	A, B	7*	14*	12*	26.4	6087
Rinskor (5.5/2.74 fl oz) +	A, B	11*	25*	29*	21.1	4856
Rinskor (1.37/1.37 fl oz) + Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz)‡	Α, Β	17*	18*	6*	27.0	6135
Rinskor (2.06/1.37 fl oz) + Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) ‡	Α, Β	21*	19*	9*	21.6	4959
Rinskor (2.74/1.37 fl oz) + Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) ‡	Α, Β	25*	15*	4	26.5	6356
Rinskor (5.5/1.37 fl oz) + Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) ‡	Α, Β	23*	24*	23*	23.0	5357
Loyant (0.274/0.274 fl oz) †	A, B	8*	13*	7*	24.5	5685
LSD _{0.05} ^c		3.6	6.5	5.1	4.96	1225

^a Etho = Ethofumesate, RUP 3 = Roundup PowerMax 3, Dual = Dual Magnum; **†** = Destiny HC at 1.5 pt; **‡** = Destiny HC at 1.5 pt + AMSOL at 2.5% v/v.

^b Injury, yield and RWSA data with asterisks (*) are significantly different than the weed-free control.

^c Means within a column greater than least significant difference (LSD) value are different from each other.

Summary: Rinskor (florpyrauxifen) is a new arylpicolinate Group 4 herbicide. Currently, this active is sold as a different formulation (Loyant) in rice and has been used in sugarbeet in Europe. The goal of this research was to examine sugarbeet tolerance at various rates and tank-mixtures. Sugarbeet injury from Rinskor consisted of typical growth regulator injury, fused and elongated leaves. All rates of Rinskor resulted in significant sugarbeet injury. This year Rinskor applications did not reduce yield or recoverable white sugar per acre compared with the weed-free control. However, when compared to the highest yielding treatment, twice the high rate of Rinskor and the 2.74/1.37 fl oz/ rate of Rinskor applied with Dual + Ethofumesate + Roundup resulted in a slight reduction in sugarbeet yield and RWSA. We expect to continue to examine Rinskor and determine if there is a fit for weed control in Michigan sugarbeet production.

Waterhemp control with Rinskor in sugarbeet

Christy Sprague and Brian Stiles II, Michigan State University

Location: Shiawassee County	Application timings: (A) 2-lf beets (June 14),
	(B) 4-6 II beets (June 21)
Planting Date: May 23, 2023	Herbicides: see treatments
Soil Type: Sandy clay loam	O.M.: 1.9 pH: 6.7
Replicated: 4 times	Variety: Crystal G049RR

Table 1. Sugarbeet tolerance and waterhemp control with Rinskor alone and in tank-mixture 6 d after the first application, and 16 and 28 d after the last application.

		6 DA-A		16 D	A-B	28 DA-B	
Herbicide treatments ^a	Timing	Injury	Control	Injury	Control	Injury	Control
		%	%	%	%	%	%
Rinskor (1.37/1.37 fl oz) +	A, B	13	17	12	19	20	16
Rinskor (2.06/1.37 fl oz) +	A, B	17	22	15	15	21	21
Rinskor (2.74/1.37 fl oz) +	A, B	18	23	13	24	19	20
Rinskor (1.37/1.37 fl oz) + Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz)‡	A, B	25	62*	12	92*	11	92*
Rinskor (2.06/1.37 fl oz) + Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) ‡	Α, Β	25	63*	14	99*	16	96*
Rinskor (2.74/1.37 fl oz) + Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) ‡	A, B	25	67*	17	97*	24	93*
Loyant (0.274/0.274 fl oz) †	A, B	7	17	15	22	13	22
Roundup PowerMax 3 (25/25 fl oz) §	A, B	0	13	0	0	0	0
Ethofumesate (6 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) \ddagger	A, B	20	67*	2	96*	5	95*
Stinger HL (1.2/2.4 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) §	Α, Β	23	68*	18	90*	21	84
Stinger HL (1.2 fl oz) + Dual (1 pt) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz) fb Ultra Blazer (16	A fh B	18	63*	23	08*	23	06*
fl oz) + Rup 3 (25 fl oz)	A 10. D	10	05	23	20	23	20
LSD _{0.05} ^c		5.7	12.8	6.3	11.8	6.6	11.1

^a Etho = Ethofumesate, RUP 3 = Roundup PowerMax 3, Dual = Dual Magnum; † = Destiny HC at 1.5 pt; ‡ = Destiny HC at 1.5 pt + AMSOL at 2.5% v/v; § = AMSOL at 2.5% v/v.

^b Waterhemp data with asterisks (*) are not significantly different than the highest control within a column.

^c Means within a column greater than least significant difference (LSD) value are different from each other.

Summary: Rinskor (florpyrauxifen) is a new arylpicolinate Group 4 herbicide. Currently, this active is sold as a different formulation (Loyant) in rice and has been used in sugarbeet in Europe. The goal of this research was to examine waterhemp control from Rinskor and various tank-mixtures. Dry conditions early in the season resulted in erratic sugarbeet and waterhemp emergence. Significant precipitation did not occur until June 26 and continued throughout July and August improving the incorporation of the residual herbicide (Dual). All herbicide treatments with the exception of Roundup alone caused some sugarbeet injury. Rinskor alone had very little activity on waterhemp even after two applications at higher rates. The greatest waterhemp control included treatments that had overlapping Dual Magnum, Ethofumesate + Dual Magnum applied twice or a cleanup application of Ultra Blazer at 6-leaf sugarbeets following a Dual Magnum application. Currently, for waterhemp control the use of overlapping residual of a Group 15 herbicide (i.e., Dual, Warrant, or Outlook) will be important.

Waterhemp control with strategies using residual herbicides in sugarbeet

Location: Shiawassee County	Application timings: PRE (May 23), 2-lf beets (June 14),
	6-8 lf beets (July 11)
Planting Date: May 23, 2023	Herbicides: see treatments
Soil Type: Sandy clay loam	O.M.: 1.9 pH: 6.7
Replicated: 4 times	Variety: Crystal G049RR

Christy Sprague and Brian Stiles II, Michigan State University

Table 1. Waterhemp control 27 d after the first herbicide application, and 7 and 30 days after the last application (6-8 leaf beets).

		Waterhemp control ^b				
		July 11	July 18	Aug. 10		
Herbicide treatment	S ^a	(27 DA-2-lf)	(7 DA-6-lf)	(30 DA-6-lf)		
PREs	POST apps. at 2- and 6-lf beets	<u> % </u>	<u> % </u>	<u> % </u>		
None	Roundup PowerMax 3 (30/20 fl oz)	0	0	0		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Dual Magnum $(1.3 \text{ pt}) - 2 \text{ lf only}$	88*	89*	70		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Warrant $(3 \text{ pt}) - 2 \text{ lf only}$	87*	86	68		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Outlook (16 fl oz) $- 2$ lf only	92*	88*	71		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Dual Magnum $(1.3/1.3 \text{ pt})$	90*	94*	88*		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Warrant (3/3 pt)	85*	88*	79*		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Outlook (12/12 fl oz)	88*	90*	82*		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual Magnum (1pt)	83*	86	81*		
None	Etho (6 fl oz) + Dual Magnum (1pt)	87*	91*	77*		
None	Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz) $- 6$ lf only	0	91*	65		
None	U. Blazer + Warrant $(3 \text{ pt}) - 6 \text{ lf only}$	0	86	62		
None	Ethofumesate ^a (2/2 pt)	71	97*	75*		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	Ultra Blazer $(16 \text{ fl oz}) - 6 \text{ lf only}$	66	99*	82*		
Dual Magnum (0.5 pt)	U. Blazer + Warrant $(3 \text{ pt}) - 6 \text{ lf only}$	65	96*	83*		
Ethofumesate (3 pt)	Ultra Blazer (16 fl oz) $- 6$ lf only	60	93*	77*		
Ethofumesate (3 pt)	Dual Magnum (1.3/1.3 pt)	88*	92*	82*		
LSD _{0.05} ^c		12.6	11.3	14		

^a Roundup PowerMax 3 was included in all POST treatments at the rates listed in the first treatment. These treatments also included AMS at 17 lb/100 gal. All POST applications of ethofumesate (Etho) were applied with 1.5 pt/A of Destiny HC.

^b Waterhemp control evaluations with asterisks (*) are similar to the best waterhemp control treatment.

^c Means within a column greater than least significant difference (LSD) value are different from each other.

Summary: Residual herbicide programs may be the only way to effectively control glyphosate-resistant waterhemp in sugarbeet. A field trial was conducted evaluating several Group 15 herbicides (Dual Magnum, Outlook, and Warrant) and ethofumesate. Additionally, Ultra Blazer POST was examined for waterhemp control once sugarbeet was at the 6-leaf stage. Dry conditions early in the season resulted in erratic sugarbeet and waterhemp emergence and impacted waterhemp control. A PRE herbicide of Dual Magnum (0.5 pt) or Ethofumesate with an overlapping Group 15 herbicide applied at the 2-leaf stage was important for early season control within the first 50 days of planting. For later season waterhemp control an additional residual application was necessary. Applications of Ultra Blazer at the 6-8 lf stage also helped with control as long PRE was applied. Overall late-season waterhemp control was lower this year than in the past. The time between the 2- and 6-8 leaf (4 wks) application could have contributed to this. It is important to make sure the overlapping residuals are applied prior to any waterhemp emergence. We will continue to examine and refine waterhemp control strategies in sugarbeet.



IG CHIEF	Cruchn	Cohowoing	2022
SUGAR	Gruenn -	Sepewaling	- 2022

Trial Quality:				
Variety: C-G932NT				
Planted: May 10th 2022				
Harvested: October 5th 2022				
Plots: 6 rows X 38 ft., 4 reps				
Row Spacing: 22 in.				

Soil Info: Clay Loam
% OM: 2.4 pH: 7.6 CEC: 14.2
P: Very High K: Very High
Mn: Very High B: High
Added N: 125 lbs. PPI + 35 lbs. 2X2
Previous Crop: Oats

Rhizoc Level: Low Problems: None Seeding Rate: 4.1 in. Rainfall: 8.68 in. Beets/100 ft: 234 Taken out of Storage: 2/23/2023

Application: JD 3520 tractor mounted plot sprayer, compressed air, 100 psi, 25 gpa

	-		Applic	Storage	Harvest	Storage	o/ o 1 · · ·	Internal	External
NO.	I reatment**	Rate/A	Date	VS	RWSI	RWSI	% Shrink	Rot	Rot
6				Harvest	10 Suc/1		12.0	Rate	Rate
0	EDDC + Doloro +	2 ID	A	-2.9	310	315	13.9	0.3	0.0
	Proline + Vacciplant	1.6 fl oz + 16 fl oz	С						
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 b + 8 fl oz +							
	Topsin + Vacciplant	20 fl oz + 16 fl oz	E						
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +	Е						
	Vacciplant	16 fl oz	Г						
2	EBDC*	2 lb	A	12.6	318	330	9.8	0.5	0.6
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	В						
	Proline	1.6 fl oz							
	EBDC [*] + Super Tin +	2 ID + 8 TI OZ +	D						
		20 II 02	F						
1			1	0 0	215	224	17.0	1.0	0.6
2		2 lb	Δ	0.0	215	227	17.0	1.0	0.0
3	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 ID 2 lb + 11 fl oz +	A	22.2	315	337	17.3	0.0	0.5
	Proline + Vacciplant	1.6 fl oz + 16 fl oz	В						
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +							
	Topsin + Vacciplant	20 fl oz + 16 fl oz	D						
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +	F						
	Vacciplant	16 fl oz							
7	EBDC*	2 lb	A	9.7	314	324	12.7	0.5	0.6
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +	С						
	Proline + Vaccipiant	1.6 II OZ + 16 II OZ							
	Topsin + Vaccinlant	20 fl oz + 16 fl oz	E						
8	EBDC*	2 lb	А	14.8	314	329	13.7	0.3	0.6
	EBDC* + Delaro +	2 lb + 11 fl oz +			••••				
	Proline + Vacciplant	1.6 fl oz + 16 fl oz	С						
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	F						
	Topsin + Vacciplant	20 fl oz + 16 fl oz	L						

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz.

****Application Dates: A = 6/30, B = 7/12, C = 8/5, D = 8/16, E = 9/1, F = 9/9



UPL Storage Trial

Gruehn - Sebewaing - 2022

(Page 2 of 2)

No.	Treatment**	Rate/A	Applic Date	Storage Vs Harvest	Harvest RWST Ib Suc/T	Storage RWST Ib Suc/T	% Shrink	Internal Rot Rate	External Rot Rate
4	EBDC*	2 lb	А	3.2	310	313	14.6	0.5	0.8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	В						
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	р						
	Topsin + Vacciplant	20 fl oz + 16 fl oz	D						
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +	F						
	Vacciplant	16 fl oz	1						
5	EBDC*	2 lb	А	16.5	303	320	14.7	0.8	0.8
	EBDC* + Delaro + Proline	2 lb + 11 fl oz + 1.6 fl oz	С						
	EBDC* + Super Tin +	2 lb + 8 fl oz +	E						
	Topsin + Vacciplant	20 fl oz + 16 fl oz							
	EBDC* + Provysol +	2 lb + 5 fl oz +	F						
	Vacciplant	16 fl oz	I						
Average			10.6	313	324	14.3	0.5	0.6	
LSD 5%				12.5	15.5	5.6	0.7	0.4	
CV%				2.7	3.3	26.7	100.5	43.6	

*EBDC = Manzate Pro-Stick

**All treatments included MasterLock @ 6.4 fl oz.

****Application Dates: A = 6/30, B = 7/12, C = 8/5, D = 8/16, E = 9/1, F = 9/9

Comments: This study was focused on storage results from in field applications. Storage results were collected in spring 2023.





Impacts of Cercospora leaf spot, mechanical damage, and variety on postharvest beet rot susceptibility, 2022-23

Carly Hendershot¹, Chris Bloomingdale¹, Holly Corder¹, Tom Goodwill², Sarah Ruth¹, Randy Beaudry¹, Linda E. Hanson^{1,2}, and Jaime F. Willbur¹; ¹Michigan State University; ²USDA-ARS

Objective 1: Evaluate the impact of Cercospora leaf spot (CLS) field infection on storage rot symptom development on bruised beets. Previous results indicate CLS levels in the field do not affect rot development in handharvested beet roots for three pathogens and multiple varieties tested (Hendershot et al. REACh 2021, 2022). Feedback from the industry indicated CLS may impact storability following commercial harvest.

Methods: CLS was rated on the KWS scale of 0 (disease-free) to 10 (>50% necrotic). Visually healthy beets were harvested by hand (field location details below), washed with water, and bruised on one side using a 1.5-kg weight dropped from a 1-meter height. Beets were stored at 7 °C in plastic bags with wood shavings to reduce free moisture. At each timepoint, roots were removed from storage and inoculated with a known storage rot pathogen or with a sterile clarified V8 (CV8) plug as a control. Based on common pathogen genera identified from Michigan Sugar pile samples, virulent isolates of *Penicillium vulpinum, Botrytis cinerea*, and *Fusarium graminearum* were chosen for storage trials. Inoculated beets were incubated with the agar plug at ambient temperature for 7 days before the plug was removed. Rot length, width and depth were measured after 50 and 90 days for timepoints 1 and 2, respectively.

Location: Saginaw (SVREC)	Variety: C-G932NT	Harvest: 9/22/22
Planting Date: 4/29/2022	Inoculated: July 12, 2022	Replicates: 4 plots/treatment in field
High CLS Average at harvest: 7.86	Low CLS average at harvest: 1.86	

Summary: There was no significant difference in rot development between CLS levels for any pathogen during either timepoint. Bruising led to significantly increased length, width, and depth of rot for beets infected with *Botrytis cinerea* and *Penicillium vulpinum* 50 days postharvest (P < 0.05, Figure 1). Bruising impacted rot length at 90 days postharvest in beets inoculated with *P. vulpinum*, but no other pathogen or measurement was significant at that timepoint.



Figure 1: Mean measurements of necrotic tissue on beets after 50 days of incubation on bruised and non-bruised tissue. There was no significant difference in rot development between CLS levels for any pathogen at either 50- or 90-days postharvest timepoints (P > 0.05); thus, results are shown across low and high in-field CLS levels. Bars represent four replicate roots inoculated at the crown and mid-root.

Objective 2: Determine effect of CLS infection and pathogen colonization on respiration rate in storage. In 2021, there was no difference in rate of respiration per kilogram of beet weight between beets classified as having high and low CLS in the field (P > 0.05) across three storage pathogens and the tested variety (Hendershot et al. REACh 2022). Beets inoculated with *Botrytis cinerea* had a significantly increased respiration rate compared to other storage pathogens by the end of the storage period (P < 0.05); this observation was not related to in-season CLS levels (P > 0.05).

Summary: In 2022, storage rot development was poor in the inoculated respiration trial due to technical failure resulting in unfavorably cold conditions for infection. Our limited results indicate there was no significant difference in respiration rate between the beets inoculated with pathogens and those inoculated with the control (P > 0.05). For these reasons, we are currently repeating this trial during the 2023-24 storage period.

Objective 3: Determine susceptibility of varieties to post-harvest rot pathogens. Twelve commercial varieties grown in a Michigan Sugarbeet Advancement trial (details below) were tested for storage rot susceptibility. Beets were harvested by hand and stored at 7 °C in plastic bags with wood shavings. At each timepoint, visually healthy beets of each variety were removed from storage, washed, and cut into approximately 3-cm thick sections. Root sections were inoculated with a known storage rot pathogen or with a CV8 plug as a control. There were four replications of each variety x pathogen combination. Inoculated beets were incubated for 24 hours before removal of agar plugs. After one week at ambient temperature, the length and depth of rot were measured.

Location: Sandusky, Sanilac County	Varieties: BTS-1065, BTS-1606N, BTS-1703, C-G021,
Planting Date: May 8, 2022	C-G049, C-G675, C-G932NT, HIL-2332NT, HIL-2361,
Harvest: October 27, 2022	HIL-9865, SX-2295, SX-2296N

Summary: Significant variability in responses was observed among the twelve tested varieties, although no varieties consistently performed better or worse than others across the three tested pathogens (Figure 2). Interestingly, some varieties with the largest mean rot diameters for one pathogen may have exhibited the smallest diameters for another. These observations will inform future breeding efforts. This experiment is being repeated for the 2023-24 storage season.



Acknowledgements: This work is supported by the Michigan Sugar Company, USDA-ARS, Beet Sugar Development Foundation, and Project GREEEN. We also thank Michigan Sugarbeet Advancement and Michigan Sugar Company researchers and agronomists for their assistance in obtaining beet root samples.

Presented In Partnership





Education

(Publications, meetings, seminars, web resources, clinics, reporting sessions.)





Michigan Sugar Company 122 Uptown Drive, Suite 300 Bay City, MI 48708

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

BROUGHT TO YOU BY THESE PARTNERS:



